arXiv:1411.4716v1 [gr-qc] 18 Nov 2014

Cosmology: macro and micro

Jonathan Holland and George Sparling
Laboratory of Axiomatics, University of Pittsburgh

November 19, 2014

Abstract

A new approach to cosmology and space-time is developed, which emphasizes the description of the matter degrees of freedom of Einstein's theory of gravity by a family of Kähler-Einstein Fano manifolds.

Introduction

Galileo Galilei formulated the principle of relativity in his account of physics in a moving ship, the thought experiment of the advocate, Salviati [32].

"Shut yourself up with some friend in the main cabin below decks on some large ship, and have with you there some flies, butterflies, and other small flying animals. Have a large bowl of water with some fish in it; hang up a bottle that empties drop by drop into a wide vessel beneath it. With the ship standing still, observe carefully how the little animals fly with equal speed to all sides of the cabin. The fish swim indifferently in all directions; the drops fall into the vessel beneath; and, in throwing something to your friend, you need throw it no more strongly in one direction than another, the distances being equal; jumping with your feet together, you pass equal spaces in every direction. When you have observed all these things carefully (though doubtless when the ship is standing still everything must happen in this way), have the ship proceed with any speed you like, so long as the motion is uniform and not fluctuating this way and that. You will discover not the least change in all the effects named, nor could you tell from any of them whether the ship was moving or standing still. "

Johannes Kepler found universal structure in the orbits of the planets [47]:

"The square of the periodic times are to each other as the cubes of the mean distances."

He sought to bring order to the universe, using certain regular solids to try to parametrize the orbits.

"On the regular figures, the harmonic proportions they create, their source, their classes, their order, and their distinction into knowability and representability."

Sir Isaac Newton accounted for the motion of the planets and the trajectories of falling apples with his universal laws of gravity [54]. Newton's theory left two key issues unresolved: first it lacked a detailed theory of matter, required in principle, since the matter influences the gravitational field; second he was unable to address the issue of initial conditions: once the planets were in place he could predict their orbits, but he could not explain how they got there.

"Gravity explains the motions of the planets, but it cannot explain who sets the planets in motion."

"This most beautiful system of the sun, planets and comets, could only proceed from the counsel and dominion of an intelligent and powerful Being."

"To myself I am only a child playing on the beach, while vast oceans of truth lie undiscovered before me."

Also Newton's theory suffered from the problem of instantaneous action at arbitrarily large distances, which might seem unphysical.

"It is inconceivable that inanimate Matter should, without the Mediation of something else, which is not material, operate upon, and affect other matter without mutual Contact".

"That Gravity should be innate, inherent and essential to Matter, so that one body may act upon another at a distance thro' a Vacuum, without the Mediation of any thing else, by and through which their Action and Force may be conveyed from one to another, is to me so great an Absurdity that I believe no Man who has in philosophical Matters a competent Faculty of thinking can ever fall into it. Gravity must be caused by an Agent acting constantly according to certain laws; but whether this Agent be material or immaterial, I have left to the Consideration of my readers."

This latter problem became acute when Albert Einstein formulated his version of the relativity principle and introduced the concept of the maximal speed of propagation for causal influences [24]. Einstein realized that his ideas applied also to gravity: indeed from the modern viewpoint the maximal propagation speed is that of the gravitational disturbances [25].

Hermann Minkowski supplied the mathematical framework for Einstein's theory, developing the idea of space-time, unifying the three dimensions of space with the one dimension of time in a four-dimensional arena [50].

"The views of space and time which I wish to lay before you have sprung from the soil of experimental physics, and therein lies their strength. They are radical. Henceforth space by itself, and time by itself, are doomed to fade away into mere shadows, and only a kind of union of the two will preserve an independent reality."

Then over a period of about seven years, Einstein first understood that the force of gravity could be incorporated in the metrical geometry of space-time and then eventually hit on his theory of general relativity [26]. This theory has stood to this day. His field equations are conceptually extremely simple: they equate the Einstein tensor of the gravitational field (a purely geometrical concept, based on the space-time metric and calculable directly, given the metric) with the energy-momentum tensor of the matter. The key mathematical fact bolstering his theory was that both sides of this relation were divergence free. The key physical fact was that in the weak field limit his theory incorporated Newton's theory, with corrections which in particular explained the precession of the perihelion of Mercury. Einstein's theory was supported immediately by the Lagrangian formulation of the theory by David Hilbert [40].

However, like Newton's theory, Einstein's theory is incomplete: a priori one can take any metric, work out its Einstein tensor and declare that tensor (perhaps constrained somewhat by positivity conditions) to be also the matter tensor, reducing the field equations to a tautology. Also the same question about initial conditions lingers. Einstein tried to address this question by applying his theory to the large scale structure of space-time, thus inaugurating the mathematical study of cosmology [27]. Einstein's universe was static: the product of a spatial three-sphere of constant curvature with a trivial time direction: thus he could sidestep the question of origins: perhaps the universe was always the way it is. Unfortunately his theory was incorrect, disproved experimentally, when it was discovered by Edwin Hubble that the universe is expanding, so the problem of initial conditions persists [42]. Now the expansion is believed to be accelerating [60, 61]. In the present work, we embark on a new, comprehensive approach to analyzing the structure of Einstein's relativity. This approach has three main axes. First we generalize the idea of cosmological model, going significantly beyond the conventional framework. Remarkably, in the first steps of this process, we encounter a plethora of beautiful mathematics, involving the deepest ideas of modern mathematics. Second we uncover a duality inherent in general relativity: roughly speaking, this duality exchanges the canonical one-form and the Schouten one-form. Third, rather than bringing the study of local general relativity to bear on cosmology, we turn the procedure around: we use our cosmologies, to analyze the local structure of space-time. In the words of William Blake [8]:

"To see a world in a grain of sand
And a heaven in a wild flower,
Hold infinity in the palm of your hand,
And eternity in an hour".

We first discuss the last point. When studying the structure of curves in low dimensions, it is frequently useful to approximate a given curve near a given point of the curve by another simple curve, for example an osculating circle. This simpler curve encapsulates properties of the the given curve and the variation of these properties along the curve gives significant information. This idea was perfected by Élie Cartan, in his conformal connection: he imagines the local n-dimensional geometry possessing an osculating compact space of constant curvature, such as a sphere and the "rolling" of the sphere

along curves in the space encodes the conformal geometry of space-time [12].

Our strategy departs from that of Cartan in just the same way as we depart from standard cosmology: the standard cosmologies are of constant curvature, ours are not. Instead they depend on a certain finite number of parameters: we adapt these parameters to encode at the point of osculation the Ricci curvature of the space-time and its first two divergences at that point. Thus we construct a new way of viewing a space-time: on the one hand we have the intricate space-time of Einstein. On the other we have for each of Einstein's space-times a family of osculating space-times, each actually a cosmology, each depending on a modicum of parameters. So we have achieved a *geometrization of matter*. For this we rely on a feature of the Cartan conformal connection that in the connection itself the Ricci tensor appears explicitly, but the Weyl tensor appears only in the curvature. So we turn Einstein's equations around: we aim to dictate the required matter theory by developing the theory of the osculating cosmologies.

This may be construed as giving a formulation of the "multi-verse" much liked by inflation theorists: one could perhaps imagine a process where one of these osculating cosmologies liberates itself from the surrounding space-time and creates a new one. Here the fact that the "coupling constants" of the osculating cosmologies vary, is natural, since these constants are determined by the Ricci curvature of their envelope, which will usually vary with space-time point.

At this point the reader may be puzzled by the question of which cosmologies will be used. To answer this we need to describe our cosmologies and how we project that they describe our present cosmological arena. To put it most succinctly, our cosmologies will describe the period immediately before and perhaps a little after, the big-bang.

The current standard models of the universe are all conformally flat. This appears to accurately describe the large scale structure of the universe, even though, on small scales, the matter structure guarantees that the universe is not exactly conformally flat. However, even if we do not live now in a conformally flat regime, Sir Roger Penrose has proposed that in the distant past the universe must have had nearly vanishing Weyl curvature [58]. Briefly, his argument is that initially the matter was in a very high entropy state, so to allow room for the universe to evolve, the gravitational degrees of freedom had to be suppressed.

Then the evolution of the universe proceeds by transferring entropy from the matter into the gravitational degrees of freedom, allowing lower entropy structures such as galaxies and humans to form. There is then a connection between the arrow of time and his "Weyl curvature hypothesis": that the initial state of the universe had to have nearly vanishing Weyl curvature.

Paul Tod, building on ideas of Helmut Friedrich and others, showed that it is then consistent to imagine the big bang as purely a singularity of the metric, the conformal structure being smooth [31, 67]. In this case the space-time manifold may be consistently continued to a region prior to the big bang, at least as a conformal manifold. Making this precise, Tod hypothesizes that at the big bang hypersurface, $\mathcal{B}$, say, the intrinsic conformal metric and the trace-free part of the second fundamental form of $\mathcal{B}$ are regular on $\mathcal{B}$ (these form the conformal initial data): equivalently the hypersurface twistor structure of $\mathcal{B}$ is smooth (in this latter formulation, this allows for the possibility that parts of $\mathcal{B}$ might not be space-like). He then shows that an ordinary space-time can evolve consistently from such an initial configuration.

Accordingly we take as our mathematical departure point that a cosmology is, by definition, a conformally flat space-time. However we will always bear in mind that we would like to allow for small deviations from conformal flatness, so *we will aim at structures that are stable under small deformations.*

The simplest conformally flat geometry is easy to construct, in any dimension n: we take a real vector space $\mathbb{V}$ of dimension $n+2$, equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form g of signature $(2,n)$. The symmetry group of $\mathbb{V}$ is the orthogonal group of g, $\mathcal{O}(g)$. Then our space-time $\mathbb{M}$ is the space of rays of the null cone $g(x,x)=0$ of the origin of $\mathbb{V}$. The induced conformal structure of $\mathbb{M}$ is represented by the tensor $g(dx,dx)$ and is non-singular, of Lorentzian signature $(1,n-1)$ and conformally flat. The group $\mathcal{O}(g)$ then acts naturally on $\mathbb{M}$, as its group of conformal transformations. Then the general metric compatible with this conformal structure may be written $(f(x))^{-1}g(dx,dx)$; here $f(x)$ is a function on the null-cone (with the vertex removed) that is homogeneous of degree two: $f(tx)=t^2f(x)$, for any real $t\neq 0$. We usually assume, for convenience, that the function f is the restriction to the null-cone of a homogeneous function defined on $\mathbb{V}$ itself. These general metrics define our cosmologies, on the manifold $\mathbb{M}_f$, obtained by deleting the hyper-surface $f=0$ from $\mathbb{M}$.

A specific choice of the metric breaks the conformal invariance. The simplest choice is to take $f(x) = g(a,x)^2$, where a is a fixed non-zero element of $\mathbb{V}$. Then the metric is globally an affine Minkowski space-time in the case that a is null, $g(a,a) = 0$; then the symmetry group is reduced to the Poincaré group. In the case that a is timelike, $g(a,a) > 0$ the metric is of constant curvature, with positive cosmological constant and is globally a de-Sitter space [63]. In the case that a is space-like, $g(a,a) < 0$ the metric is of constant curvature, with negative cosmological constant and is globally an anti-de-Sitter space [9]. In the non-flat cases, the symmetry group is reduced to the orthogonal group of the space of vectors of $\mathbb{V}$ orthogonal to the vector a. These three cases form the backdrop of most modern cosmologies.

In our work, published earlier this year, we considered the case (in dimension four) that $f(x)$ has the form $g(a,x)^2 + g(b,x)^2$, where the vectors a and b in $\mathbb{V}$ are timelike and orthogonal; this reduces the symmetry group to the product of orthogonal groups, one in two dimensions, the other in n dimensions [41]. In the case that $g(a,a) = g(b,b)$ this reduces to the Einstein universe. We analyzed the case $g(a,a) \neq g(b,b)$. A remarkable feature of our metric is the automatic emergence of a sine-Gordon matter scalar field, which might be identified with a Higgs field, properly coupled to the metric. Also there is a natural timelike conformal Killing vector. These properties make the model potentially ideal for a description of the putative inflationary regime at the beginning of the universe [1, 19, 20, 30, 34, 35, 38].

Since the publication of our work, we found that a similar model has been constructed previously by cosmologists: called "natural inflation," it is due particularly to Kathleen Freese and her co-authors [30]. We are unsure whether or not our model is exactly equivalent to the natural inflation model, or perhaps slightly more general: our model depends on two parameters, one a "cosmological constant" and the other the mass of the sine-Gordon soliton; these are correlated by an energy inequality. We are not quite clear on the number of parameters in the natural inflation model. However, if we assume that ours is at least as general, it is (apparently) currently not ruled out by experiment and indeed, we believe, predicts that gravitational waves of the kind reported by BICEP2 will be confirmed to be real [6].

From the mathematical viewpoint, the most striking aspect of our model, which contrasts starkly with the (relatively featureless) standard models, is the natural appearance of elliptic functions: indeed, perhaps the most famous elliptic function, the Gauss mean value function, arises as a measure of the lifetime of our universe [33]. This feature prompted us to investigate further. In so doing it turned out to be natural to analyze the general quadratic $f(x)$: so $f(x) = h(x, x)$, where h is a non-zero symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{V}$, linearly independent of the metric g. Also, although, ultimately, we are concerned with real space-times, it emerges that the various structures are best understood when we work over the complex field. This gives us our basic family of cosmologies, on the projective space of $\mathbb{V}$, a complex vector space:

$$G = \frac{g(dx, dx)}{h(x, x)}, \quad x \in \mathbb{V}, \quad g(x, x) = 0, \quad h(x, x) \neq 0.$$

Then the hypersurface h plays the role of conformal infinity. It is generically non-null, but goes null on the triple quadric intersection $g(x, x) = h(x, x) = k(x, x) = 0$, where $k = hg^{-1}h$. This family of examples includes, of course all the previous examples as special cases. The non-trivial mathematical structure present in these cosmologies begins to reveal itself first that the triple quadric intersection where the conformal infinity goes null is precisely a $K3$ manifold, which supports a unique Calabi-Yau metric. Of all its many remarkable features, perhaps the most dramatic is that its second integral cohomology group has dimension 22 and is the lattice [22 , 45]:

$$E_8(-1) \oplus E_8(-1) \oplus U \oplus U \oplus U.$$

Here $E_8(-1)$ is the standard E_8 self-dual lattice with the quadratic form changed to its negative, and U is the two dimensional hyperbolic plane. Physically, following Dirac, the second cohomology group is linked to the idea of magnetic charge [20]. *So we see in the basic structure of matter, as viewed in the prism of Einstein's theory, a deep connection with the largest exceptional Lie group, even at a single point of space-time*

The overall structure is amazingly rich: the conformal infinity of the cosmology the space $g(x, x) = h(x, x) = 0$ is a Fano three-manifold, which generically, at least, supports a unique Kähler-Einstein metric. The set of projective lines on the Fano manifold is the Jacobian of a hyperelliptic curve of genus two. This hyperelliptic curve is that of the quadric pencil associated to g and h.

An important special case is when the quadric h is of the Battaglini type, meaning that the associated quadratic form factors as the Kulkarni–Nomizu product of the two quadrics in the twistor space itself, $h = q_1 \owedge q_2$ [3, 4, 5]. The Battaglini quadrics are of co-dimension one in the space of all quadrics. Geometrically, under the identification of the space of lines in the projective twistor space with the Klein quadric, the points of associated quadric line complex are lines whose intersections with the two quadrics q_1 and q_2 form a harmonic range.

This we take as important, firstly because the Battaglini quadrics possess an extra degree of symmetry not present in the general quadric h (the hyperellipic curve has an extra involution) and following particularly, Kepler, philosophically, we would like our cosmology to have as much structure as nature allows! Second because we are using our cosmologies to describe the microscopic structure of matter and quadrics in twistor space can be used, in particular to describe the world-lines of massive particles, since the lines on quadrics describe the conformal geodesics of Minkowksi space-time and in particular cases ordinary timelike geodesics [43]. Also sources, such as magnetic charge are nicely described by quadrics.

The following text is divided into four chapters with an Appendix.

In the first chapter, the general idea of duality is exposed for space-times: it arises quite naturally for any space-time possessing a Weyl structure, when appropriately formulated. Then, in four dimensions, the structure of metrics conformal to the conformally flat space-time of Klein are analyzed in detail.

In the second chapter the osculation theory is developed, using both the tractor calculus and the Fefferman-Graham theory and the key theorem is proved that at every point of space-time there is a canonical osculating cosmology [28, 29, 36, 66]. It emerges that the osculating cosmologies have exactly the right structure to encode the Q-curvature and the end of this chapter is devoted to a discussion of the apparently parallel role of conformally invariant gauge variance in both the theory of gravity and of electromagnetism, pointing the way to a possible unified theory completing an approach first put forward by Hermann Weyl [68, 69, 70].

In the third chapter, the quadric cosmologies used in the osculation theory are studied in their own right. First it is shown (in any dimension) that their geodesics form a remarkable completely integrable system, closely related to a famous discovery of Carl Jacob Jacobi, developed further particularly by Jürgen Moser [46, 51]. Then, specializing to dimension four, we discuss the basic algebraic geometry and differential geometry of these cosmologies.

Finally, in the fourth chapter, we focus briefly on the special features of the Battaglini cosmologies. A simple key fact that we prove is the quadric intersection studied by Alan Nadel is of Battaglini type [52]. A last section discusses some issues that may be addressed in future work.

In the appendix, we write out the details of the condition that an hyperelliptic curve have an extra involution and compare our approach to a different approach using the invariants of Jun-Ichi Igusa [44]. Also we explicitly determine the Kummer surface in twistor space associated to a Battaglini cosmology. Finally there is a short discussion of an invariant approach to the cross-ratio and the associated j invariant.

1 Cosmologies: the overall approach

1.1 Weyl structures, duality and the Schouten tensor

Let $\mathbb{X}$ be a smooth n-manifold. A Cartan-Penrose structure for $\mathbb{X}$ is a smooth vector bundle $\mathcal{V}$ over $\mathbb{X}$, of fibre dimension $n+2$, equipped with a metric G of signature $(p+1, q+1)$ and with a metric preserving connection d. We associate to this structure its local twistor bundle, $\mathcal{T}$, whose fiber at any point is an irreducible (non-trivial) real representation $\mathbb{T}$ of the Clifford algebra of G at that point. Globally there may be an obstruction to the existence of this bundle: this can be circumvented by passing to an appropriate cover of the original manifold, if needed. A conformal structure for $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{V}, d)$ is a smooth section x of the projective bundle of $\mathbb{V}$, that is null: $G(x, x) = 0$. Regarding x as an operator on $\mathcal{T}$, it is defined up to scale and obeys the equation $x^2 = 0$. The conformal metric determined by x is $(dx)^2$; the canonical one-form associated to x is $\theta = xdx = -(dx)x$. Note that under the transformation $x \to tx$, where t is a non-zero smooth function, we have $\theta \to t^2\theta$ and $(dx)^2 \to t^2(dx)^2$, since the terms involving dt cancel. We say that x is a regular section of the projective bundle of $\mathcal{V}$, if θ has maximal rank (equivalently if g is invertible), except perhaps on an hypersurface in the space-time. Given the conformal structure, we can develop the usual Cartan connection theory. Here, however, we wish to directly fix the energy-momentum content of the space-time, in a natural way, so in the language of the Cartan theory, we want to directly specify the Schouten tensor [62]. This leads us to define a Weyl structure as a smooth two-dimensional sub-bundle $\mathcal{W}$ of the bundle $\mathcal{V}$ of induced signature $(1, 1)$. In terms of the Clifford algebra, we then have two sections x and y, each with square zero, each defined up to scale, determined by the null directions of $\mathcal{W}$, which do not anti-commute:

$$x^2 = y^2 = 0, \quad xy + yx \neq 0.$$

Then, without loss of generality, we can reduce the scaling freedom to $x \to tx$ and $y \to t^{-1}y$, by the requirement:

$$xy + yx = 1.$$

We now have two canonical one-forms, $\theta = xdx$ and $\theta' = ydy$ and two "metrics" $g = (dx)^2$ and $g' = (dy)^2$. At worst after deleting an hypersurface in the space-time, we require that at least one of the metric tensors g and g' be of maximum rank.

Put $\gamma = xy$ and $\gamma' = yx$. Then we have $\gamma^2 = \gamma$, $(\gamma')^2 = \gamma'$ and $\gamma + \gamma' = 1$. Then the Schouten tensor $\mathcal{S}$ is by definition:

$$\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{tr}(d\gamma)^2 = \mathrm{tr}(d\gamma')^2.$$

(This rather natural definition may differ by a factor of two and possibly a minus sign, relative to other definitions; see below). So the two conformal structures have the same Schouten tensor. The space-time is said to be Einstein-Weyl if and only if $\mathcal{S}$ vanishes. Note that the Schouten tensor is scale invariant, even though we have not committed ourselves to a specific metric g or g' in either conformal class. In terms of the metric G and the vectors x and y, we have the formulas:

$$G(x,x) = G(y,y) = 0, \quad G(x,y) = 1,$$

$$g = G(dx,dx), \quad g' = G(dy,dy),$$
$$\mathcal{S} = 2G(dx,dy) + G(x,dy)^2 + G(y,dx)^2.$$

We have constructed a natural duality underlying the Einstein theory: for every metric, there is a dual metric with the same Schouten tensor. Finally, in this language we have a clear definition of a cosmology:

- A cosmology is a Weyl structure with a flat connection d.

Here for the conformal metric to have Lorentzian signature, we would require that $p = 1$ or $q = 1$. We say that the resulting Weyl cosmology is Einstein-Weyl if and only if $\mathcal{S}$ vanishes.

1.2 Complex cosmologies in four dimensions

We adapt the discussion of the previous section to the complex holomorphic case in four dimensions, (the source of our key examples), bearing in mind that ultimately we will need to impose a reality condition. As such our cosmologies will be automatically real analytic. This is just for convenience of exposition, however: it would be possible to restructure the discussion to focus, for example, on the real smooth case. We also use only the semi-spinor bundle, which entails using just the products xy and yx of the previous section, not just x and y individually. The semi-spinor bundle carries an irreducible representation of the even part of the Clifford algebra.

Accordingly, a complex Weyl cosmology $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}, d, \gamma^{\pm}, S)$ consists of the following holomorphic data:

- $\mathbb{X}$, the space-time, a connected four-dimensional complex manifold.
- $\mathcal{T}$, a complex vector bundle of fibre dimension four over the space-time $\mathbb{X}$.
- d, a flat connection for the bundle $\mathcal{T}$: its curvature endomorphism vanishes:
$$d^2 = 0.$$
- $\gamma^{\pm}$, complementary endomorphisms of $\mathcal{T}$, each a projection operator of trace two:
$$\gamma^+\gamma^+ = \gamma^+, \quad \gamma^-\gamma^- = \gamma^-, \quad \gamma^-\gamma^+ = \gamma^+\gamma^- = 0, \quad \mathrm{tr}(\gamma^{\pm}) = 2,$$
$$\gamma^+ + \gamma^- = I.$$

Here and in the following, tr denotes the trace of an endomorphism. Also I denotes the identity automorphism of $\mathcal{T}$.

- $\mathcal{S} = \mathrm{tr}((d\gamma^+)^2) = \mathrm{tr}((d\gamma^-)^2)$.
So $\mathcal{S}$ is a covariant symmetric tensor field on $\mathbb{X}$ of two vector arguments.
$\mathcal{S}$ is called the Schouten tensor of the Weyl cosmology.

Given a Weyl cosmology $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}, d, \gamma^{\pm}, \mathcal{S})$, the dual cosmology is: $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}, d, \gamma^{\mp}, \mathcal{S})$. Then the dual of the dual cosmology is the original cosmology.

Associated to a Weyl cosmology $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}, d, \gamma^{\pm}, S)$ is a natural decomposition of the bundle $\mathcal{T}$ and of its connection d:

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}^+ + \mathcal{T}_-,$$
$$\mathcal{T}^+ = \ker(\gamma^-) = \mathrm{im}(\gamma^+), \quad \mathcal{T}_- = \ker(\gamma^+) = \mathrm{im}(\gamma^-),$$
$$d = d_+ + d_- + \theta + \theta',$$
$$d_+ = \gamma^+ \circ d \circ \gamma^+, \quad d_- = \gamma^- \circ d \circ \gamma^-,$$
$$\theta = \gamma^+ \circ d \circ \gamma^-, \quad \theta' = \gamma^- \circ d \circ \gamma^+,$$
$$\gamma^+ \circ d = d_+ + \theta, \quad \gamma^- \circ d = d_- + \theta'.$$

Then the quantities θ and θ' are one-forms on $\mathcal{X}$ with values in the endomorphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. Also d_+ provides a connection for the bundle $\mathcal{T}^+$, whereas d_- gives a connection for $\mathcal{T}_-$. The two-dimensional bundle $\mathcal{T}^+$ is called the unprimed spin bundle, with dual the unprimed co-spin bundle, denoted $\mathcal{T}_+$. The two-dimensional bundle $\mathcal{T}_-$ is called the primed co-spin bundle, with dual the primed spin bundle, denoted $\mathcal{T}^-$. The spinor algebra is the tensor algebra generated by the basic bundles $\mathcal{T}^\pm$ and $\mathcal{T}_\pm$. Then the one-form θ gives a global section of $\mathcal{T}^+ \otimes \mathcal{T}^-$, whereas the one-form θ' gives a global section of $\mathcal{T}_+ \otimes \mathcal{T}_-$. Denote by ρ and ρ' the integer-valued functions on $\mathbb{X}$, which assign to each point x of $\mathbb{X}$, the ranks ρ_x and ρ'_x of the one-forms θ and θ', respectively. Then the functions ρ and ρ' are lower semi-continuous, taking values $0, 1, 2, 3$ or 4. Define:

$$\mathbb{U} = \rho^{-1}(\{4\}), \quad \mathbb{U}' = (\rho')^{-1}(\{4\}).$$

Then $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathbb{U}'$ are open subsets of $\mathbb{X}$. A priori, either or both of these sets could be empty. To avoid trivialities, we impose a rank condition on our Weyl cosmologies:

- We require that the union of the open subsets $\mathbb{U}$ and $\mathbb{U}'$ be dense in $\mathbb{X}$.

On $\mathbb{U}$ there is then an associated conformal structure defined by θ, denoted g, such that a non-zero vector v at a point of $\mathbb{U}$ is null if and only if the rank of the endomorphism $\theta(v)$ is one. Dually, on $\mathbb{U}'$ there is then an associated conformal structure defined by θ', denoted g', such that a non-zero vector v at a point of $\mathbb{U}'$ is null if and only if the rank of the endomorphism $\theta'(v)$ is one. In each case the associated spin connection is torsion-free and preserves the conformal structure. We say that the resulting Weyl cosmology $(\mathbb{X}, \mathcal{T}, d, \gamma^\pm, \mathcal{S})$ is Einstein-Weyl if and only if the Schouten tensor $\mathcal{S}$ vanishes.

We can now write the decomposition of the local twistor connection, with respect to γ, as follows:

$$dZ = d(Z^+,\ Z_-) = \left(dZ^+ + \theta^{+-}Z_-,\ dZ_- + \rho_{-+}Z^+\right).$$

Here $Z \in \mathcal{T}$, $Z^+ \in \mathcal{T}^+$ and $Z_- \in \mathcal{T}_-$. The various entities on the right-hand side are to be considered as spinors, so it is legitimate to write the spin connection uniformly as d. Computing the twistor curvature d^2, which is known to be zero and using the curvature relations proved above, we get:

$$0 = d^2Z = \left(d(dZ^+ + \theta^{+-}Z_-) + \theta^{+-}(dZ_- + \rho_{-+}Z^+),\ d(dZ_- + \rho_{-+}Z^+) + \rho_{-+}(dZ^+ + \theta^{+-}Z_-)\right).$$

$$= \left((d\theta^{+-})Z_-,\ (d\rho_{-+})Z^+\right).$$

So we infer the equations of vanishing torsion and the Bianchi identity:

$$d\theta^{+-} = 0, \quad d\rho_{-+} = 0.$$

To clarify, we write the spinor indices explicitly:

$$Z = (Z^A,\ Z_{A'}),$$

$$dZ = d\left(Z^A,\ Z_{A'}\right) = \left(dZ^A + \theta^{AB'}Z'_B,\ dZ_{A'} + \rho_{A'B}Z^B\right).$$

$$d^2Z_{A'} = -\mathcal{R}^{B'}_{A'}Z_{B'}, \quad d^2Z^A = \mathcal{R}^A_B Z^B,$$

$$\mathcal{R}^A_B = -\theta^{AC'}\rho_{BC'}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{A'}_{B'} = -\theta^{A'C}\rho_{B'C},$$

$$d\theta^a = 0, \quad d\rho_a = 0.$$

In this language, the projection operator acts as the endomorphism:

$$\gamma^+ = \begin{vmatrix} \delta^A_B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

In this language, we have for the covariant derivative of γ^+:

$$d\gamma^+ = \begin{vmatrix} d\delta^A_B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} + \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \theta^{AC'} \\ \rho_{A'C} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} \delta^C_B & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} - \begin{vmatrix} \delta^A_C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & \theta^{CB'} \\ \rho_{C'B} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -\theta^{AB'} \\ \rho_{A'B} & 0 \end{vmatrix},$$

$$(d\gamma^+)^2 = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -\theta^{AC'} \\ \rho_{A'C} & 0 \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -\theta^{CB'} \\ \rho_{C'B} & 0 \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} -\theta^{AC'}\rho_{C'B} & 0 \\ 0 & -\theta^{CB'}\rho_{A'C} \end{vmatrix}.$$

In particular we have that the trace of $(d\gamma^+)^2$ determines the Schouten tensor, the symmetric tensor $\rho_{(ab)}$, where $\rho_a = \theta^b\rho_{ab}$:

$$\mathrm{tr}((d\gamma^+)^2) = -2\theta^a\rho_a.$$

1.3 Twistor space and its Grassmanians

The basic space is twistor space, a complex four-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{T}$ [53, 56, 57, 58, 59]. For real spacetimes (of Lorentzian signature), $\mathbb{T}$ is a spin representation of the conformal group $\mathrm{SU}(2,2)$. At least initially, it is convenient to confine attention to the complex, in which case the relevant spin group is $\mathrm{SL}(4,\mathbb{C})$. Moreover, we will work projectively, being concerned with the three-dimensional complex projective space $\mathbb{PT}$. The most convenient group to work with is then $\mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{T})$, which does not involve specifying a volume form on $\mathbb{T}$ to reduce to the special linear group.

The Plücker embedding of the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,\mathbb{T})$ of two-dimensional linear subspaces of $\mathbb{T}$ into the projective space over $\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ (the exterior square of the twistor space) associates to a two-dimensional linear subspace $\mathbb{X} \subset \mathbb{T}$ the one-dimensional linear subspace of $\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ given by $\Omega^2\mathbb{X}$. The image of the Plücker embedding is a four-dimensional hypersurface in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$. This image coincides with the non-degenerate quadric hypersurface defined by the equation $X \wedge X = 0$. It is called the *Klein quadric*, and here is denoted by $\mathbb{K}$.

The Klein quadric serves as the conformally flat background for all of the cosmological metrics [48]. We describe here the conformal structure on $\mathbb{K}$. Let $\mathbb{L} = \Omega^4\mathbb{T}$ be the one-dimensional space of densities associated with the twistor space. The wedge product defines a symmetric bilinear $\mathbb{L}$-valued form on $\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ of which the Klein quadric is the null cone:

$$\mathbb{K} = \{X \in \mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T}) \mid X \wedge X = 0\}.$$

The symmetric bilinear form $dX \wedge dX$ defines the conformal structure on $\mathbb{K}$. This is homogeneous of degree two, and the conformally flat cosmologies that we consider are all obtained by dividing this conformal metric by a function that is homogeneous of degree two to obtain something that scales appropriately so that it restricts to an actual metric on $\mathbb{K}$.

The conformal structure on $\mathbb{K}$ can also be understood by means of the following purely synthetic construction of projective geometry. The *Klein correspondence* is the isomorphisms of generalized flag varieties associated to the group of linear automorphisms of $\mathbb{T}$ and the group of conformal automorphisms of $\mathbb{K}$:

- A point X of $\mathbb{K}$ by definition corresponds to a line $X_{\mathbb{T}}$ in $\mathbb{PT}$. Conversely, a line ℓ in $\mathbb{PT}$ corresponds to a point $\ell_{\mathbb{K}}$ in $\mathbb{K}$.

- A point Z of $\mathbb{PT}$ gives, on $\mathbb{K}$, an α-plane $Z_{\mathbb{K}}$: a 2-plane consisting of all elements of the form $Z \wedge Z'$ for $Z' \in \mathbb{T}$.
- A 2-plane W in $\mathbb{PT}$, which can be naturally identified with it annihilator in the dual projective space $\mathbb{PT}^*$, corresponds to a β-plane $W_{\mathbb{K}}$ in $\mathbb{K}$, consisting of all $X \in \mathbb{K}$ such that $W \lrcorner X = 0$.
- A partial flag (Z, W) in $\mathbb{PT}$ consisting of a twistor Z and dual twistor W such that Z is on the 2-plane W can be identified with a *line* $(Z, W)_{\mathbb{K}}$ on $\mathbb{K}$. This is the set of points X of $\mathbb{K}$ such that $X_{\mathbb{T}}$ contains the twistor Z and is contained within the 2-plane W.

It is the last of these that defines a null geodesic on $\mathbb{K}$. We may summarize some of the descriptions of the Klein space-time $\mathbb{K}$ as follows:

- $\mathbb{K}$ is the Grassmannian of all two-dimensional complex subspaces of $\mathbb{T}$.
- Equivalently $\mathbb{K}$ is the space of lines in $\mathbb{PT}$.
- Equivalently $\mathbb{K}$ is a copy of the Klein quadric.
- Dually, $\mathbb{K}$ is the Grassmannian of all two-dimensional complex subspaces of $\mathbb{T}^*$.
- Equivalently $\mathbb{K}$ is the space of lines in $\mathbb{PT}^*$.
- Equivalently $\mathbb{K}$ is a copy of the dual Klein quadric.

If x and y are distinct two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{X}$, then $\Omega^2(x)$ and $\Omega^2(y)$ of the Klein space-time are null related, provided $x + y \neq \mathbb{T}$, so provided the space $x \cap y$ is one-dimensional, so provided the (distinct) projective lines determined by the subspaces x and y intersect in a unique projective twistor, and the span of x and y is then the annihilator of a unique projective dual twistor. Then the (five-dimensional) space of (unparametrized) null geodesics is represented by the space of pairs (Z, W) with Z a one-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{T}$ and W a three-dimensional subspace, such that $Z \subset W$. The space of complete flags, (Z, x, W), with (Z, W) a null geodesic and with $Z \subset x \subset W$ where x is a two-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{T}$, is the six-dimensional space of all pairs (x, s) with s a null geodesic in the space-time and x a point of the null geodesic s.

1.4 Reality

To produce a real space-time from the complex Klein space-time, we choose a conjugation on the basic twistor space $\mathbb{T}$. There are a variety of ways of doing this; for example, if we regard $\mathbb{T}$ as the complexification of an underlying real vector space, with conjugation given by ordinary complex conjugation, then the resulting real space-times turn out to have a real conformal structure of the ultra-hyperbolic signature $(2,2)$. To achieve instead the desired Lorentzian structure, we use a different conjugation, specifically one mapping $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}^*$, $Z \in \mathbb{T} \to \overline{Z} \in \mathbb{T}^*$, $Z^\alpha \to \overline{Z}_\alpha$, such that the resulting pseudo-hermitian form $\overline{Z}.Z = \overline{Z}_\alpha Z^\alpha$ has signature $(2,2)$. This conjugation then extends to the tensor algebra of $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}^*$, such that its square is the identity. In particular, note that the complex line $\mathbb{L}$ has a natural unitary structure, such that $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = \overline{\epsilon}_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$. The symmetry group of $\mathbb{T}$ is reduced to a group isomorphic to the pseudo-unitary group $\mathbb{U}(2,2;\mathbb{C})$, a real reductive Lie group of sixteen real dimensions.

Given the twistor conjugation, the twistor space is divided into three parts: $\mathbb{T}^\pm = \{Z \in \mathbb{T} : \pm Z.\overline{Z} > 0\}$ (each of real dimension eight) and $\mathbb{N} = \{Z \in \mathbb{T} : Z.\overline{Z} = 0\}$ (of real dimension seven). The space $\mathbb{N}$ is called the null twistor space, its elements null twistors. The (complex) one-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{N}$ form $\mathbb{PN}$, the null projective twistor space, its elements null projective twistors. $\mathbb{PN}$ is a five real-dimensional hypersurface in $\mathbb{PT}$, called a hyperquadric (with a natural $\mathcal{CR}$-structure of non-singular indefinite Levi form).

The reality structure of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ is somewhat more subtle and is easiest to state at first in terms of the reality structure of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, the projective space of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$. If $0 \neq X \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ represents a point of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, then its conjugate $\overline{X}$ represents an hyper-plane in $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$; put $\overline{X}'$ the polar point of the hyper-plane $\overline{X}$ with respect to the (complex) Klein quadric. Then the map $X \to \overline{X}'$ gives a conjugation on $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ and X is said to be real if and only if $\overline{X}' = X$. In tensor terms, given a skew twistor $X^{\alpha\beta}$, put $\overline{X}^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\overline{X}_{\gamma\delta}$. This conjugation maps $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ to $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T}) \otimes \mathbb{L}^{-1}$, so projects to give an idempotent conjugation from $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ to itself. Then the real points of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ are those that are self-conjugate: equivalently $0 \neq X^{\alpha\beta} \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ represents a real point of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ if and only if $X^{\alpha\beta}$ and $\overline{X}^{\alpha\beta}$ are linearly dependent, if and only if a (necessarily non-zero) skew tensor $x^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ exists with $X^{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}x^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}\overline{X}_{\gamma\delta}$.

Note that if $0 \neq X^{\alpha\beta}$ is real and $X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, then $X \wedge X = 0$, so the real points of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ divide into three classes according as the real invariant $\xi = X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta}$ is positive, negative or zero, with the zero case occurring, if and only if X represents a point of $\mathbb{K}$.

Also if $\xi = X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta}$ is non-zero, then we have, when $X^{\alpha\beta}$ is real:

$$X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}\xi\delta^{\alpha}_{\beta},$$

$$x^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 12\xi^{-1}X^{[\alpha\beta}X^{\gamma\delta]}.$$

Conversely, if $0 \neq X^{\alpha\beta}$ obeys the relation that $X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma}$ is a (necessarily real) multiple of the identity, then X represents a real point of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$.

Summarizing: an element X of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ is real if and only if $X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma}$ is a real multiple of the identity. The space of such real points is seven real-dimensional and is invariant under the multiplication of X by a complex scalar, so gives a five real-dimensional subset of $\mathbb{P}\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, topologically a real projective five-space, which, when the real scalar $\xi = X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta}$ is non-zero, has two open subsets, one for each sign of ξ. These open subsets are separated by a closed four-dimensional subset, which we call $\mathbb{M}$, for which the scalar ξ vanishes. $\mathbb{M}$ is the desired real part of the Klein quadric. The points of $\mathbb{M}$ constitute the two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{T}$ that are totally null with respect to the pseudo-hermitian form of $\mathbb{T}$; equivalently they are the complex projective lines in $\mathbb{PT}$ that lie entirely in $\mathbb{PN}$. Expressed in terms of the skew tensor $X^{\alpha\beta}$ representing a point of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, the reality condition defining $\mathbb{M}$ is $X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma} = 0$, as just discussed above: the space of solutions of the equation $X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma} = 0$ is six real-dimensional and represents a real four-manifold inside the complex Klein space-time.

The complex conformal structure of $\mathbb{K}$ restricts to $\mathbb{M}$ in such a way that it is proportional to a real Lorentzian conformal structure for $\mathbb{M}$: in turn that conformal structure is conformally flat. In fact this conformal structure is just that determined by the restriction of the manifestly real tensor $dX^{\alpha\beta}d\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta}$ to the real points. The real null geodesics of $\mathbb{M}$ are represented by the projective null twistors: the $(1,3)$ flag represented by a projective null twistor Z is the pair $(Z, \overline{Z}^*)$, where $\overline{Z}^*$ is the annihilator of the one-dimensional subspace $\overline{Z}$ of $\mathbb{T}^*$. The topology of $\mathbb{M}$ is the product $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$.

The complex Klein Cosmologies

The fundamental examples of complex cosmologies, here called the (complex) Klein cosmologies, may be constructed as Zariski open sub-manifolds of the Klein space-time, $\mathbb{K}$, as follows. Using tensors, a point X of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ is represented by the skew tensor $X^{\alpha\beta}$. Fix an element J of the projective space of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, represented by a non-zero skew tensor $J^{\alpha\beta}$. Denote by $\mathbb{J}$ the intersection with $\mathbb{K}$ of the polar plane of J with respect to the Klein quadric, so $\mathbb{J}$ is represented as the set of all $X \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, such that $X \wedge X = X \wedge J = 0$. Note that, if J does not lie on $\mathbb{K}$, then the space $\mathbb{J}$ is a non-singular three-dimensional quadric, whereas if J does lie on the Klein quadric, then $\mathbb{J}$ is a three-dimensional quadric cone, with vertex J. Define $\mathcal{K}_J = \mathbb{K} - \mathbb{J}$, so $\mathcal{K}_J$ is a (Zariski) open subset of $\mathbb{K}$, with closure $\mathbb{K}$ itself. The space $\mathcal{K}_J$ will constitute our complex cosmological space-time.

Put $\mathcal{T}_J = \mathcal{K}_J \times \mathbb{T}$, the trivial bundle over $\mathcal{K}_J$ with fiber $\mathbb{T}$, equipped with the flat connection d, such that the global covariantly constant sections of $\mathcal{T}_J$ take the form (X, Z), for fixed $Z \in \mathbb{T}$, as $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$ varies. Given $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$, define an endomorphism γ_J of the fibre $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathcal{T}_J$, at X, by the formula:

$$2(\gamma_J)^\alpha_\beta X^{[\beta\rho} J^{\sigma\tau]} = X^{\alpha[\rho} J^{\sigma\tau]}.$$

As $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$ varies, γ_J gives well-defined endomorphism of the bundle $\mathcal{T}_J$ and is a projection operator of trace two, whose image at the point X is all $Z \in \mathbb{T}$, such that $Z \wedge X = 0$. If we put $X' = J + \kappa X$, where κ is chosen so that $X' \wedge X' = 0$ (so $\kappa = -(J \wedge J)(2X \wedge J)^{-1}$ and $X' \in \mathcal{K}_J$ is, by definition, the reflection of $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$ in the plane $\mathbb{J}$), the kernel of the endomorphism γ_J is the set of all $Z \in \mathbb{T}$, such that $Z \wedge X' = 0$. Also put $\gamma'_J = I - \gamma_J$, where I is the identity automorphism of $\mathbb{T}$. Then we have symmetrical formulas:

$$2(\gamma_J)^\alpha_\beta X^{[\beta\rho}(X')^{\sigma\tau]} = X^{\alpha[\rho}(X')^{\sigma\tau]}.$$

$$2(\gamma'_J)^\alpha_\beta (X')^{[\beta\rho} X^{\sigma\tau]} = (X')^{\alpha[\rho} X^{\sigma\tau]}.$$

Note that $(X')' = X$, for any $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$ and $(\gamma'_J)' = \gamma_J$.

- The Klein cosmology of the point $0 \neq J \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ is the quintet $(\mathcal{K}_J, \mathcal{T}_J, d, \gamma_J, g_J)$, where the metric g_J of the Klein cosmology is the metric g_J, given by the formula valid for any $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$:

  $$g_J(X \wedge J)^2 = dX \wedge dX.$$

  Here g_J takes values in $\mathbb{L}^{-1}$.

If J is off the Klein quadric, so $J \wedge J \neq 0$, then we may choose the scale of the metric in a natural way, giving the metric, now called G_J, again valid for any $X \in \mathcal{K}_J$:

$$G_J(X \wedge J)^2 = (J \wedge J)(dX \wedge dX), \quad G_J = g_J(J \wedge J).$$

Then G_J is an ordinary holomorphic metric and depends only on the image of J in the projective space of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$.

For the curvature of the Klein cosmology, we have two cases:

- When $J \wedge J = 0$, the metric g_J is flat: in fact, given any non-zero $\epsilon \in \mathbb{L}$ the intrinsic geometry of the intersection of the hyperplane $X \wedge J = \epsilon$ with the Klein quadric is naturally a flat affine space-time.
- When $J \wedge J \neq 0$, the metric G_J is a (conformally flat) metric of non-zero constant curvature. The Einstein tensor is -3 times the metric. The Ricci scalar is 12.

The symmetry group of the Klein cosmology $\mathcal{K}_J$ is the subgroup of $\mathbb{P}(\mathbb{K})$ that preserves the point J, regarded as a point of the projective space of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$.

- When J is not in $\mathbb{K}$, so $J \wedge J \neq 0$, this is isomorphic to the quotient of the group $\mathbb{B}_2(\mathbb{C})$ of complex linear transformations in five dimensions, preserving a non-singular quadratic form, modulo its center. The group is simple and has complex dimension ten.
- When J is on $\mathbb{K}$, so $J \wedge J = 0$, it is the complex Poincaré group, the ten-dimensional Lie group formed from the semi-direct product of the Lorentz group and the four-dimensional abelian group of translations.

Finally we record a useful formula for the endomorphism γ_J. Let F^α_β denote the vector fields representing the generators of the action of $\mathbb{GL}(\mathbb{T})$ on $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$. Explicitly we have at the point $X^{\alpha\beta}$:

$$F^\alpha_\beta = 2X^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\beta\gamma}, \quad \partial_{\alpha\beta}X^{\gamma\delta} = \delta^\gamma_{[\alpha}\delta^\delta_{\beta]}.$$

Note that the vector field F preserves the relation $X \wedge X = 0$, so is tangent to the Klein space-time. Then we have:

$$\gamma_J = F(\ln(X \wedge J)).$$

1.5 The real Klein cosmologies

Given a twistor conjugation with signature $(2,2)$, we obtain the real compact conformally flat conformal space-time $\mathbb{M}$, as discussed above. We wish the metric of the space-time to be real. This may be arranged for the Klein cosmologies $\mathcal{K}_J$ by using a real $J \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$. When J is real, put $\mathcal{M}_J$ the real part of $\mathcal{K}_J$. Then the metrics g_j and G_J are real on $\mathcal{M}_J$ and are of constant real curvature: if $J \wedge J = 0$, then $\mathcal{M}_J$ is real Minkowski space-time. If instead $J \wedge J \neq 0$, the space-time is de Sitter if $J^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta} > 0$ and anti-de-Sitter if $J^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta} < 0$. These cosmologies are the standard ones. The metric g_J may be summarized in manifestly real form as:

$$g_J = \frac{dX^{\alpha\beta}d\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta}}{|X^{\gamma\delta}\overline{J}_{\gamma\delta}|^2}, \quad X^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{X}_{\beta\gamma} = 0, \quad J^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{J}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{j}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta,$$

$$0 \neq X^{\alpha\beta} = -X^{\beta\alpha}, \quad 0 \neq J^{\alpha\beta} = -J^{\beta\alpha}, \quad j \in \mathbb{R}.$$

For completeness, we show how to write out the metric in co-ordinates. We use an orthonormal basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ for $\mathbb{T}$, with dual basis $\{e^1, e^2, e^3, e^4\}$ for $\mathbb{T}^*$, such that $e^1 = \overline{e_1}, e^2 = \overline{e_2}, e^3 = -\overline{e_3}$ and $e^4 = -\overline{e_4}$. The corresponding basis for $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ is $\{e_{12}, e_{13}, e_{14}, e_{34}, e_{42}, e_{23}\}$, where $e_{ij} = e_i \wedge e_j$. The dual basis is $\{2e^{12}, 2e^{13}, 2e^{14}, 2e^{34}, 2e^{42}, 2e^{23}\}$, where $e^{ij} = e^i \wedge e^j$. We have the conjugates: $\overline{e_{12}} = e^{12}$, $\overline{e_{13}} = -e^{13}$, $\overline{e_{14}} = -e^{14}$, $\overline{e_{23}} = -e^{23}$, $\overline{e_{24}} = -e^{24}$ and $\overline{e_{34}} = e^{34}$. Also the corresponding basis for $\Omega^4(\mathbb{T})$ is $e_{1234} = e_1 \wedge e_2 \wedge e_3 \wedge e_4$, with $\overline{e_{1234}} = e^1 \wedge e^2 \wedge e^3 \wedge e^4$.

We may parametrize the space of non-zero real $X^{\alpha\beta}$ on the Klein quadric as follows:

$$X = s(ue_{12} + \overline{u}e_{34} + pe_{13} - \overline{p}e_{42} + qe_{14} - \overline{q}e_{23}),$$

$$|u|^2 = |p|^2 + |q|^2 = 1.$$

Here s, u, p and q are complex numbers, with $s \neq 0$. Also the quadruple (s, u, p, q) represents the same point as does the quadruple $(-s, -u, -p, -q)$. Then we have:

$$|s|^{-2}dX^{\alpha\beta}d\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta} = |du|^2 - |dp|^2 - |dq|^2.$$

Note in particular that the terms involving ds or $d\overline{s}$ cancel. Also the space is topologically $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$, where the $\mathbb{S}^1$ factor is represented by the variable u and the $\mathbb{S}^3$ factor by the pair (p, q).

Now introduce the real co-ordinates (t, x, y, z), related to the variables (u, p, q) by the formula: $(u, p, q) = (e^{it}, \cos(x)e^{iy}, \sin(x)e^{iz})$. Then we have:

$$|s|^{-2} dX^{\alpha\beta} d\overline{X}_{\alpha\beta} = dt^2 - dx^2 - \cos^2(x)dy^2 - \sin^2(x)dz^2.$$

The right-hand side represents the standard Einstein cylinder metric of signature $(1, 3)$, defined on $\mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^3$. As such, this metric is well-known to be conformally flat. It has for its Einstein tensor the metric plus $2(dt)^2$.

Next we analyze the metrics, g_J, case by case, using this co-ordinate system.

- If $j > 0$, without loss of generality, may choose $J = a(e_{12} + e_{34})$, with $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then we have:

$$J^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{J}_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4}|a|^2[(e_1 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_1 + e_3 \otimes e_4 - e_4 \otimes e_3).(e^1 \otimes e^2 - e^2 \otimes e^1 + e^3 \otimes e^4 - e^4 \otimes e^3)]^\alpha_\beta$$

$$= \frac{|a|^2}{4}(e_1 \otimes e^1 + e_2 \otimes e^2 + e_3 \otimes e^3 + e_4 \otimes e^4)^\alpha_\beta = \frac{|a|^2}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta.$$

So $j = |a|^2$. We have also:

$$s^{-1}X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{a}(ue_{12} + \overline{u}e_{34})^{\alpha\beta}(e^{12} + e^{34})_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{a}\Re(u) = \overline{a}\cos(t).$$

So the metric (of signature $(1, 3)$) becomes:

$$g_J = \frac{dt^2 - dx^2 - \cos^2(x)dy^2 - \sin^2(x)dz^2}{j\cos^2(t)}.$$

The Einstein tensor for this metric is easily computed and is $3j$ times the metric. This is the metric of de Sitter space [62]. It has a three-dimensional space-like conformal infinity, in $\mathbb{K}$, given by the vanishing of $X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta}$.

- If $j < 0$, without loss of generality, we may choose $J = b(e_{13} + e_{42})$, where $0 \neq b \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then we have:

$$J^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{J}_{\beta\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}|b|^2[(e_1 \otimes e_3 - e_3 \otimes e_1 + e_4 \otimes e_2 - e_2 \otimes e_4).(e^1 \otimes e^3 - e^3 \otimes e^1 + e^4 \otimes e^2 - e^2 \otimes e^4)]^\alpha_\beta$$

$$= -\frac{|b|^2}{4}(e_1 \otimes e^1 + e_2 \otimes e^2 + e_3 \otimes e^3 + e_4 \otimes e^4)^\alpha_\beta = -\frac{|b|^2}{4}\delta^\alpha_\beta.$$

So $j = -|b|^2$. We have also:

$$s^{-1}X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta} = -\overline{b}(pe_{13} - \overline{p}e_{42})^{\alpha\beta}(e^{13} + e^{42})_{\alpha\beta} = -i\overline{b}\Im(p) = -i\overline{b}\cos(x)\sin(y).$$

So the metric (of signature $(1,3)$) becomes:

$$g_J = \frac{dt^2 - dx^2 - \cos^2(x)dy^2 - \sin^2(x)dz^2}{-j\cos^2(x)\sin^2(y)}.$$

The Einstein tensor for this metric is easily computed and is $3j$ times the metric. This is then the metric of anti-de-Sitter space. It has a three-dimensional time-like conformal infinity, given by the vanishing of $X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta}$.

- If $j = 0$, without loss of generality, we may choose $J = c(e_1 - e_3) \wedge (e_2 - e_4)$, where $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Then we have:

$$J^{\alpha\gamma}\overline{J}_{\beta\gamma} = -\frac{1}{4}|c|^2[(e_1-e_3)\otimes(e_2-e_4)-(e_2-e_4)\otimes(e_1-e_3)).((e^1+e^3)\otimes(e^2+e^4)-(e^2+e^4)\otimes(e^1+e^3))]^\alpha_\beta = 0.$$

So $j = 0$, as required. We have also:

$$s^{-1}X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta} = \overline{c}(ue_{12}+\overline{u}e_{34}+pe_{13}-\overline{p}e_{42}+qe_{14}-\overline{q}e_{23})^{\alpha\beta}(e^{12}+e^{34}+e^{14}+e^{23})_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$= \overline{c}(\Re(u) + \Im(q)).$$

So the metric (of signature $(1,3)$) becomes:

$$g_J = \frac{dt^2 - dx^2 - \cos^2(x)dy^2 - \sin^2(x)dz^2}{|c|^2(\cos(t) + \sin(x)\sin(z))^2}.$$

The Einstein tensor for this metric is easily computed and is zero. This is then the metric of Minkowski space-time [49]. It has a three-dimensional null conformal infinity, given by the vanishing of $X^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta}$.

Summarizing: for all real non-zero $J^{\alpha\beta}$, the metric g_J is of constant curvature and has Ricci scalar $-12j$, where $j = J^{\alpha\beta}\overline{J}_{\alpha\beta}$. All three constant curvature cosmologies arise.

1.6 The conformal geodesics of the Klein space-time

The conformal geodesics of the Klein space-time are represented by non-singular quadrics in the projective twistor space, equivalently by giving $\mathbb{T}$ a metric, a non-degenerate symmetric complex bilinear form. When $\mathbb{T}$ has such a metric G, say, there are two one-dimensional families of two-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{T}$, which are isotropic (totally null) with respect to G. These give a pair of curves in the space-time, say $\alpha_G^{\pm}$, such that if x and y are distinct points of these curves, then they are null related if and only if one belongs to α_G^+ and the other to α_G^-. So each family foliates the quadric G by projective lines, where the projective lines of one family do not meet each other and yet each line from one family meets every line of the other family. Each such family is naturally a conic in the Klein quadric and each such family is a conformal geodesic. All proper conformal geodesics are obtained in this way. Altogether the family of proper conformal geodesics is nine-dimensional. Each conformal geodesic determines uniquely a three-dimensional non-degenerate subspace of $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, so a projective plane in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2(\mathbb{T}))$, such that the conformal geodesic is just the intersection of the three-space with the Klein quadric and conversely, non-degenerate three-planes in $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ give rise to a conformal geodesic, on intersection with the Klein quadric. If one such three-plane gives rise to one family of lines of a quadric G in twistor space, then its orthogonal complement gives rise to the other family of the same quadric.

Given a pair of quadrics in twistor space, so a pair of metrics G and H for $\mathbb{T}$, then, regarding G and H as endomorphisms from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}^*$, the endomorphism $J = G^{-1}H$ has a quartic characteristic polynomial, with at most four roots. In the generic case of pairwise distinct roots $(a, b, c, d) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, with $abcd \neq 0$, we may simultaneously diagonalize G and H, so in suitable co-ordinates, $Z = (p, q, r, s) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ for a point Z of twistor space, we have:

$$G(Z, Z) = p^2 + q^2 + r^2 + s^2, \quad H(Z, Z) = ap^2 + bq^2 + cr^2 + ds^2.$$

The intersection of the two quadrics is an elliptic curve. The equation $z^2 = \det(xG + yH)$, with $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ and $z \in \mathbb{L}$ gives an isomorphic elliptic curve: in particular the two curves share the same j-invariant. Geometrically the equation $z^2 = \det(xG+yH)$ represents the space of projective lines that lie on the elements of the quadric pencil defined by G and H; it maps canonically to the Riemann sphere of the ratio $x : y$ and the branch points of the projection are correspond to the singular elements of the pencil.

1.7 The general Klein cosmologies

We generalize the Klein cosmologies in the simplest way possible: we retain the conformally flat Klein quadric background and consider the general holomorphic metric with that background. So the metric, labelled g_Q is given by the formula:

$$g_Q = \frac{dX \wedge dX}{Q(X)}, \quad X \wedge X = 0.$$

Here the $\mathbb{L}$-valued function, $Q(X)$, is holomorphic on its domain and homogeneous of degree 2. Although not absolutely necessary, it will be convenient for us to regard the function Q as being defined and holomorphic, not only on the Klein quadric, but also in a neighbourhood in $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ of the quadric. Note that we recover the cosmological metric g_J by taking $Q(X)$ proportional to $(X \wedge J)^2$. Denote the domain of the metric g_Q by $\mathbb{K}_Q$, so $\mathbb{K}_Q$ is the complement of the hypersurface $Q(X) = 0$. The bundle $\mathcal{T}$ is the trivial bundle $\mathbb{K}_Q \times \mathbb{T}$, with the flat connection, denoted d.

The key point rendering the calculations tractable is the following. Introduce the generators of the general linear group, F^α_β, given in ambient co-ordinates by the formula:

$$F^\alpha_\beta = 2X^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\beta\gamma}, \quad \partial_{\alpha\beta}X^{\gamma\delta} = \delta^\gamma_{[\alpha}\delta^\delta_{\beta]}.$$

More intrinsically, we have on the Klein quadric the duality relation, which determines the vector field F^α_β:

$$F^\alpha_\beta.dX^{\gamma\delta} = -2\delta^{[\gamma}_\beta X^{\delta]\alpha}.$$

We have the formula:

$$F^\alpha_\alpha.dX^{\gamma\delta} = 2X^{\gamma\delta}.$$

This just indicates that the trace of F is the twice the homogeneity operator. Next we have the relations:

$$X^{[\rho\sigma}F^{\alpha]}_\beta = 0,$$

$$2X^{\beta\rho}F^\alpha_\beta.dX^{\gamma\delta} = 4X^{\rho[\gamma}X^{\delta]\alpha} = 2X^{\alpha\rho}X^{\gamma\delta} = X^{\alpha\rho}F^\sigma_\sigma.dX^{\gamma\delta}.$$

So we have:

$$X^{\beta\rho}F^\alpha_\beta = -\frac{1}{2}X^{\rho\alpha}F^\sigma_\sigma.$$

Now consider the contracted tensor product: $F^\alpha_\rho \otimes F^\rho_\beta$. We have, using the relations just proved:

$$X^{\gamma\delta} F^\alpha_\rho \otimes F^\rho_\beta = -2X^{\rho[\gamma} F^{|\alpha|}_\rho \otimes F^{\delta]}_\beta$$

$$= -X^{\alpha[\gamma} F^{|\rho|}_\rho \otimes F^{\delta]}_\beta = \frac{1}{2} X^{\gamma\delta} F^\rho_\rho \otimes F^\alpha_\beta.$$

Accordingly, we infer the relation:

$$2F^\alpha_\rho \otimes F^\rho_\beta = F^\rho_\rho \otimes F^\alpha_\beta.$$

If now Q is a function on the line bundle X, homogeneous of degree 2, we have $\frac{1}{2} F^\tau_\tau Q = 2Q$, so we get the relation:

$$\left(\frac{1}{2} F^\alpha_\rho Q\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} F^\rho_\beta Q\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2} F^\tau_\tau Q\right)\left(\frac{1}{2} F^\alpha_\beta Q\right) = \frac{Q}{2} F^\alpha_\beta Q.$$

Put $\gamma^\alpha_\beta = \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1} F^\alpha_\beta Q$. Then we have:

$$\gamma^\alpha_\rho \gamma^\rho_\beta = \gamma^\alpha_\beta, \quad \gamma^\alpha_\alpha = 2.$$

So γ is a projection operator of trace two and is homogeneous of degree zero in X, so represents a section of the bundle $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{T})$, the bundle of endomorphisms of $\mathcal{T}$. Also we have:

$$X^{[\rho\sigma} \gamma^{\alpha]}_\beta = 0,$$

$$X^{\beta\rho} \gamma^\alpha_\beta = \frac{1}{2} Q^{-1} X^{\beta\rho} F^\alpha_\beta Q = -\frac{1}{4} X^{\rho\alpha} Q^{-1} F^\beta_\beta Q = \frac{1}{2} X^{\alpha\rho}.$$

These formulas show, in particular, that the endomorphism γ has two dimensional image, the space of all Z such that $Z \wedge X = 0$. So we have proved:

Theorem 1. *The endomorphism γ is a rank two projection operator: so $\mathrm{tr}\,\gamma = 2$ and $\gamma^2 = \gamma$. The two dimensional image of γ is the set of all Z such that $Z \wedge X = 0$.*

The image of γ is called the primed co-spin bundle, denoted $\mathcal{T}_-$ and the kernel of γ is the unprimed spin bundle, denoted $\mathcal{T}^+$; so relative to γ, we may write the twistor Z^α as a split pair:

$$Z^\alpha = (Z^A,\ Z_{A'}).$$

Here Z^A lies in $\mathcal{T}^+$, so is the unprimed spinor part of Z^α, whereas $Z_{A'}$ lies in $\mathcal{T}_-$, so is the primed co-spinor part.

The (flat) connection d now reads, for some one-forms $\theta^{AA'}$ and $\rho_{AA'}$:

$$d(Z^A,\ Z_{A'}) = (dZ^A + \theta^{AB'}Z_{B'},\ dZ_{A'} + \rho_{A'B}Z^B).$$

This equation serves to define the spin connection dZ^A, the primed co-spin connection $dZ_{A'}$ and the canonical one-forms $\theta^{AA'} = \theta^a$ and $\rho_{A'A} = \rho_a$. Here we abbreviate pairs of indices, one unprimed and one primed, by lower case Latin letters, so $a = AA'$, $b = BB'$, etc., as convenient.

The skew twistor $X^{\alpha\beta}$ represents the exterior product of the primed co-spin bundle with itself, so we have the formula:

$$X^{\alpha\beta} = (0, 0, 0, x_{A'B'}), \quad 0 \neq x_{A'B'} = -x_{B'A'}.$$

Similarly, we may write its dual as:

$$X_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}X^{\gamma\delta} = (x_{AB}, 0, 0, 0), \quad 0 \neq x_{AB} = -x_{BA}.$$

Here x_{AB} takes values in $\mathbb{L}$. We use $x_{A'B'}$ and x_{AB} to split the skew-symmetrizers:

$$2\delta^{C'}_{[A'}\delta^{D'}_{B']} = x_{A'B'}x^{C'D'}, \quad 2\delta^{C}_{[A}\delta^{D}_{B]} = x_{AB}x^{CD}.$$

Here $x^{A'B'}$ and x^{AB} are skew and inverse to $x_{A'B'}$ and x_{AB}, respectively. We employ these spinors to raise and lower spinor indices according to the scheme:

$$v^A x_{AB} = v_B, \quad w^{A'}x_{A'B'} = w_{B'}, \quad x^{AB}y_B = y^A, \quad x^{A'B'}z_{B'} = z^{A'}.$$

The non-zero components of the skew tensor $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}$ are determined by the relation:

$$\epsilon_{AB}{}^{C'D'} = x_{AB}x^{C'D'}.$$

The connection d extends naturally to the trivial line bundle $\mathcal{L} = \mathbb{Q}\times\mathbb{L}$, such that we have $d\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} = 0$. Then we have, for some one-form α, the formula:

$$dx_{A'B'} = \alpha x_{A'B'}, \quad dx_{AB} = \alpha x_{AB}.$$

Now we compute $dX^{\alpha\beta}$ and $dX_{\alpha\beta}$. We have:

$$dX^{\alpha\beta} = (0, \theta^{AC'} x_{C'B'}, -\theta^{BC'} x_{C'A'}, dx_{A'B'}) = (0, \theta^A_{B'}, -\theta^B_{A'}, \alpha x_{A'B'}),$$

$$dX_{\alpha\beta} = (dx_{AB}, -\theta^{A'C} x_{CB}, \theta^{B'C} x_{CA}, 0) = (\alpha x_{AB}, -\theta^{A'}_B, \theta^{B'}_A, 0).$$

So the conformal structure of the space-time is:

$$\begin{aligned} dX^{\alpha\beta} dX_{\alpha\beta} &= (0, \theta^A_{B'}, -\theta^B_{A'}, \alpha x_{A'B'}).(\alpha x_{AB}, -\theta^{A'}_B, \theta^{B'}_A, dx_{A'B'}) \\ &= \theta^A_{B'}\theta^{B'}_A + \theta^B_{A'}\theta^A_{B'} = -2g_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b, \\ g_{ab} &= x_{AB}x_{A'B'} = g_{ba}, \\ dg_{ab} &= 2\alpha g_{ab}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we abbreviate pairs of indices one unprimed and one primed by lower case Latin letters, so $a = AA'$, $b = BB'$, etc., as convenient. Since the conformal structure is known to be non-degenerate, we see that θ^a gives an isomorphism of the tangent bundle of $\mathbb{K}$ with the tensor product of the primed and unprimed spin bundles. Then θ^a is called the canonical one-form. Also the same equation shows that g_{ab} represents the metric tensor. We use g_{ab} and its inverse to raise and lower vector indices and put:

$$g = \theta^a\theta_a = g_{ab}\theta^a\theta^b = -\frac{1}{2} dX^{\alpha\beta} dX_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Introduce the one-form θ^α_β:

$$\begin{aligned} \theta^\alpha_\beta = X_{\beta\gamma} dX^{\alpha\gamma} &= (0, \theta^A_{C'}, -\theta^C_{A'}, \alpha x_{A'C'})(x_{BC}, 0, 0, 0) \\ &= (0, 0, \theta_{A'B}, 0). \end{aligned}$$

The one-form θ^α_β is invariant under the scaling of X up to a factor, so represents a (weighted) one-form on the space $\mathbb{Q}$ itself. Then we have:

$$4\theta^{[\alpha}_{[\gamma} \otimes \theta^{\beta]}_{\delta]} = X_{\gamma\delta} X^{\alpha\beta} g.$$

Note that we have:

$$F^\alpha_\beta . \theta^\gamma_\epsilon = F^\alpha_\beta . X_{\epsilon\delta} dX^{\gamma\delta} = F^\alpha_\beta . \theta^\gamma_\epsilon = -2\delta^{[\gamma}_\beta X^{\delta]\alpha} X_{\epsilon\delta} = X^{\alpha\gamma} X_{\beta\epsilon}.$$

Thus the trace-free part of F^α_β is dual to θ^γ_ϵ, so represents the space of tangent vectors to $\mathbb{Q}$.

Next we determine the curvature of the spinor connection:

$$0 = d^2 Z^\alpha = d(dZ^A + \theta^{AB'} Z_{B'},\ dZ_{A'} + \rho_{A'B} Z^B)$$

$$= (d^2 Z^A + \theta^{AC'} \rho_{C'B} Z^B + (d\theta^{AB'}) Z_{B'},\ d^2 Z_{A'} - \theta^{B'C} \rho_{CA'} Z_{B'} + (d\rho_{A'B}) Z^B)$$

So we have the curvature relations:

$$d^2 Z^A = \mathcal{R}^A_B Z^B, \quad d^2 Z_{A'} = -\mathcal{R}^{B'}_{A'} Z_{B'},$$

$$\mathcal{R}^A_B = -\theta^{AC'} \rho_{C'B}, \quad \mathcal{R}^{A'}_{B'} = -\theta^{A'C} \rho_{CB'},$$

$$d\theta^a = 0, \quad d\rho_a = 0.$$

The equation $d\theta^a = 0$ signifies that the connection is torsion-free. Applying d to the equations $dx_{AB} = \alpha x_{AB}$ and $dx_{A'B'} = \alpha x_{A'B'}$ gives the relations:

$$d\alpha = -\mathcal{R}^A_A = -\mathcal{R}^{A'}_{A'} = \theta^a \rho_a.$$

So the spinor curvatures have equal traces and are trace-free if and only if α is a closed one-form if and only if α is locally exact, if and only if the connection is locally Levi-Civita, for a representative of the conformal class.

The embedding of the primed co-spin bundle inside the bundle $\mathcal{T}$ is given by the map $\pi_{A'} \to \pi_{B'} X^{B'\alpha}$, where $X^{B'\alpha} = (0, \delta^{B'}_{A'})$. Then we have the relation:

$$X^{\gamma\delta} = x_{A'B'} X^{A'\gamma} X^{B'\delta} = (0, 0, 0, x_{A'B'}).$$

We have:

$$\theta^{\alpha B'} = dX^{B'\alpha} = d(0, \delta^{B'}_{A'}) = (\theta^{AB'}, 0),$$

$$dX^{\gamma\delta} = 2x_{A'B'} X^{A'[\gamma} \theta^{|B'|\delta]} + \alpha X^{\gamma\delta}$$

$$= (0, x_{C'B'})(\theta^{DB'}, 0) - (0, x_{D'B'})(\theta^{CB'}, 0) + \alpha X^{\gamma\delta} = (0, \theta^C_{D'}, -\theta^D_{C'}, \alpha x_{C'D'}).$$

Next we have, for some skew twistor $Y^{\alpha\beta}$, taking values in $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$:

$$2\theta^{[A'|\gamma|} \otimes \theta^{B']\delta} = g x^{A'B'} Y^{\gamma\delta}.$$

Explicitly, we have:

$$Y^{\alpha\beta} = (x^{AB}, 0, 0, 0).$$

Dually we have:

$$Y_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}Y^{\gamma\delta} = (0,0,0,x^{A'B'}).$$

Here $Y_{\alpha\beta}$ is an ordinary skew tensor. This gives the formula:

$$X^{\alpha\gamma}Y_{\beta\gamma} = (0,0,0,\delta^{A'}_{B'}) = \gamma^{\alpha}_{\beta} = \frac{1}{2Q}F^{\alpha}_{\beta}Q = Q^{-1}X^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\beta\gamma}Q.$$

This gives the relation, valid for some scalar function σ taking values in $\mathcal{L}^{-1}$.

$$Y_{\alpha\beta} = Q^{-1}\partial_{\alpha\beta}Q + \sigma X_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Then we have, since $X_{\alpha\beta}dX^{\alpha\beta} = 0$:

$$Y_{\alpha\beta}dX^{\alpha\beta} = Q^{-1}(dX^{\alpha\beta})\partial_{\alpha\beta}Q = Q^{-1}dQ.$$

But we have also:

$$Y_{\alpha\beta}dX^{\alpha\beta} = (0,0,0,x^{A'B'}).(0,\theta^{A}_{B'},-\theta^{B}_{A'},\alpha x_{A'B'}) = 2\alpha.$$

So we have the relations:

$$2\alpha = Q^{-1}dQ,$$

$$d(g_{ab}Q^{-1}) = 0.$$

Putting $\epsilon_{AB} = Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_{AB}$ and $\epsilon_{A'B'} = Q^{-\frac{1}{2}}x_{A'B'}$, we have also:

$$d\epsilon_{AB} = 0, \quad d\epsilon_{A'B'} = 0.$$

We have proved that the spin connection determined by the projection γ^{α}_{β} is the Levi-Civita connection for the metric $Q^{-1}g_{ab}$.

The curvature tensor of the Levi-Civita connection R_{ab} decomposes as:

$$R^{ab} = C^{ab} + 2\theta^{[a}P^{b]}.$$

Here C^{ab} is the Weyl two-form, which vanishes here, since the metric is conformally flat. The tensor P_a is called the Schouten tensor and obeys the relation $\theta^a P_a = 0$. Then we have:

$$R^{AB} = R^{BA} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{A'B'}R^{ab} = \epsilon_{A'B'}\theta^{[a}P^{b]} = -\theta^{AA'}P^{B}_{A'},$$

$$R^{A}_{B} = -\theta^{A'B}P_{b},$$

$$R^{A'B'} = R^{B'A'} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{AB}R^{ab} = \epsilon_{AB}\theta^{[a}P^{b]} = \theta^{AA'}P_A^{B'},$$
$$R_{B'}^{A'} = -\theta^{AB'}P_b.$$

So we have the equations: $\theta^{AA'}P_{A'B} = \theta^{AA'}\rho_{A'B}$ and $\theta^{AA'}P_{AB'} = \theta^{AA'}\rho_{AB'}$, whence we have the key relation:

$$\rho_a = P_a.$$

This gives us a simple way of directly calculating the Schouten tensor, given Q: namely it is the endomorphism $-\gamma(d\gamma)$. For example in the case of the Klein cosmologies, we have:

$$\gamma_\beta^\alpha = 2(X \wedge Y)^{-1}X^{\alpha\gamma}\partial_{\beta\gamma}(X \wedge Y) = 2(X^{\rho\sigma}Y_{\rho\sigma})^{-1}X^{\alpha\gamma}Y_{\beta\gamma},$$

$$\begin{aligned}-(\gamma(d\gamma))_\beta^\alpha &= 2(X^{\tau\upsilon}Y_{\tau\upsilon})^{-2}X^{\alpha\gamma}dX^{\rho\sigma}\left(Y_{\rho\sigma}Y_{\beta\gamma} - 2Y_{\rho\gamma}Y_{\beta\sigma}\right)\\ &= 6(X^{\gamma\delta}Y_{\gamma\delta})^{-2}\left(X^{\alpha\gamma}dX^{\rho\sigma}Y_{[\rho\sigma}Y_{\beta\gamma]}\right)\\ &= -Y^{\rho\sigma}Y_{\rho\sigma}(X^{\gamma\delta}Y_{\gamma\delta})^{-2}\theta_\beta^\alpha.\end{aligned}$$

Here we have:

$$Y_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}Y^{\gamma\delta}, \quad X_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}X^{\gamma\delta}, \quad \theta_\beta^\alpha = X_{\beta\gamma}dX^{\alpha\gamma},$$

$$12Y_{[\rho\sigma}Y_{\beta\gamma]} = \epsilon_{\rho\sigma\beta\gamma}Y^{\alpha\beta}Y_{\alpha\beta}.$$

Taking the co-vector component of $-\gamma d\gamma$, we get:

$$P_b = -Y^{\rho\sigma}Y_{\rho\sigma}(X^{\gamma\delta}Y_{\gamma\delta})^{-2}\theta_b = \theta^a P_{ab},$$

$$P_{ab} = -g_{ab}Y^{\rho\sigma}Y_{\rho\sigma}.$$

This gives the Ricci scalar as $-3P_e^e = 12Y^{\rho\sigma}Y_{\rho\sigma}$, in agreement with our previous calculations.

2 Osculation

2.1 The tractor calculus

Let M be a conformal manifold of dimension $n > 2$, of signature (p, q), where $p + q = n$. So M is equipped with a non-degenerate metric g that is given only up to scale, $g \sim \lambda g$ for all positive scalars λ. At each point $x \in M$, the specification of such a conformal class is simply a point $[g_x]$ in the projective space over $\mathrm{Sym}^2 T^*_x M$, the symmetric square of the cotangent bundle to M at x. As x varies, the conformal class is identified with a distinguished line subbundle $\mathcal{G}$ of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 T^*_x M$.

The total space of the bundle $\mathcal{G}$ carries a taulogical degenerate metric g_0 defined on tangent vectors $X, Y \in T_{(x,g)}\mathcal{G}$ by

$$g_0(X, Y) = g(\pi_* X, \pi_* Y)$$

where $\pi : \mathcal{G} \to M$ is the bundle projection. Dilations of the group of non-zero complex numbers act on T^*M in the usual way, and this also yields an action $\mathcal{G}$ via $\rho_s g = s^2 g$. The infinitesimal generator of the group of dilations is denoted by X. So $g_0(X, -) = 0$ and $\mathscr{L}_X g_0 = 2g_0$.

A function f defined on an open subset $\pi^{-1}U \subset \mathcal{G}$, where U is an open subset of M, is called a conformal density with weight w if $\delta^*_s f = s^w f$; equivalently, if $\mathscr{L}_X f = wf$. We shall usually regard w as being an integer, so it does not matter if the objects involved are real or complex. The densities of conformal weight w form a bundle over M, denoted $E[w]$. We use conformally weighted bundles to twist other tensor bundles on M, so for instance $TM[w] = TM \otimes E[w]$ is the bundle of vectors with conformal weight w.

If τ is a section of $E[1]$, then the degenerate metric $\tau^{-2} g_0$ is homogeneous of degree zero and annihilated by X. So $\tau^{-2} g_0$ descends to a proper metric g_τ on M belonging to the conformal class. Choosing a metric in the conformal class is (up to an overall sign) the same as specifying a section of $E[1]$. We therefore call $E[1]$ the bundle of conformal scales. Once such a background metric represented by τ has been chosen, all of the density bundles acquire a natural trivialization by the powers of τ. The Levi-Civita connection ∇_τ associated with a conformal scale τ can then be extended to the bundles of density and their tensor products with other tensor bundles by imposing that τ is a parallel section of $E[1]$, so $\nabla_\tau \tau = 0$. There is a canonical section of $E[2] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 T^*M$ that represents the conformal class of the metric; the inverse metric is a section of $E[-2] \otimes \mathrm{Sym}^2 TM$.

It is not just fixed conformal scales that concern us, but also what happens to all of the various objects when one conformal scale is replaced by another, $\hat{\tau} = \Omega^{-1}\tau$, where Ω is some regular function on M. Then on sections of weight w, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\hat{\tau}}\sigma &= \nabla_\tau\sigma + w\Upsilon\sigma \\
\nabla_{\hat{\tau}}V &= \nabla_\tau V + (w+1)\Upsilon \otimes V - g_\tau(V) \otimes g_\tau^{-1}(\Upsilon) + (\Upsilon \cdot V)\,\mathrm{Id} \\
\nabla_{\hat{\tau}}\alpha &= \nabla_\tau\alpha + (w-1)\Upsilon \otimes \alpha - \alpha \otimes \Upsilon + g_\tau^{-1}(\alpha, \Upsilon)g_\tau
\end{aligned}$$

for $\sigma \in \mathscr{E}[w], V \in \mathscr{T}M[w], \alpha \in \mathscr{T}^*M[w]$, where $\Upsilon = \Omega^{-1}d\Omega$. The Schouten tensor P_τ is a trace-adjusted multiple of the Ricci tensor with a simplified conformal transformation law. It is defined by

$$P_\tau = \frac{1}{n-2}\left(\mathrm{Ric}_\tau - \frac{\mathrm{Sc}_\tau\ g_\tau}{2(n-1)}\right)$$

where Ric_τ is the Ricci curvature and Sc_τ is the scalar curvature. Notice that $\mathrm{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau = 2^{-1}(n-1)^{-1}\,\mathrm{Sc}_\tau$. The Schouten tensor transforms according to

$$P_{\hat{\tau}} = P_\tau - \nabla_\tau\Upsilon + \Upsilon \otimes \Upsilon - \frac{1}{2}g_\tau^{-1}(\Upsilon, \Upsilon)g_\tau.$$

The manifold M also carries a natural vector bundle of dimension $n+2$ called the *tractor bundle*, denoted by $\mathcal{T}$, that is equipped with a non-degenerate quadratic form k of signature $(p+1, q+1)$ and one-dimensional null subspace $\mathcal{X}$ [35, 65]. This space is associated to the standard flat model of a conformal sphere (the space of rays of the null cone of k) together with a marked point of contact with the manifold at the point of the conformal sphere represented by the ray $\mathcal{X}$. The idea of a "marked point of contact" is specified by a linear isomorphism $Z : TM \to E[1] \otimes \mathcal{X}^\perp/\mathcal{X}$ of vector bundles on M. The metric of the tractor bundle is related to the conformal structure of M by requiring that the restriction of k to $\mathcal{X}^\perp \times \mathcal{X}^\perp$, when it is composed with Z, should agree modulo $\mathcal{X}$ with the conformal structure of M, a density in $\mathrm{Sym}^2 T^*M[2]$. This identification makes it natural to assign the line bundle $\mathcal{X}$ a conformal weight of -1 and to identify it with $E[-1]$. This identification is encoded in a distinguished trivialization of the line bundle $\mathcal{X}[1]$: that is, a preferred section X of $\mathcal{X}[1]$.

An explicit construction of the tractor bundle can be found in Bailey, Eastwood, and Gover, as the space of non-holonomic two-jets of conformal scales that solve (to second order) the Einstein vacuum equation with cosmological constant [2]. The holonomic constraint on two-jets yields a natural conformally invariant linear connection in the tractor bundle. This connection coincides with the normal conformal Cartan connection, which can here be taken to mean that it satisfies the following requirements:

- ∇ preserves the metric k
- Z is the mapping $TM \to E[1] \otimes \mathcal{X}^\perp / \mathcal{X}$ given by $Z(v) = \nabla_v X \pmod{\mathcal{X}}$
- The connection is *normal*: The curvature form Ω, a two-form on M with values in $\mathrm{End}(\mathcal{T})$, annihilates $\mathcal{X}$, has range contained in $\mathcal{X}^\perp$, and $\Omega \circ Z^{-1}$ is totally traceless.

In terms of a fixed conformal scale τ, let

$$Z_\tau = \nabla_\tau X \in T^*M \otimes \mathcal{T}[1]$$
$$Y_\tau = -\frac{1}{n} \Delta_\tau X \in \mathcal{T}[-1]$$

where ∇_τ is the connection on weighted tractors obtained by coupling the Levi-Civita connection associated to the conformal scale τ to the (conformally invariant) tractor connection, and $\Delta_\tau = g_\tau^{-1}(\nabla_\tau, \nabla_\tau)$ is the Laplacian associated to the conformal scale τ. These define a frame of $\mathcal{T}$, in the sense that element of the tractor bundle has the form

$$\sigma X + \mu \cdot Z_\tau + \rho Y_\tau$$

where $\sigma \in E[-1]$, $\mu \in TM[-1]$, and $\rho \in E[1]$. Moreover, the inverse metric of k is given in this frame by

$$k^{-1} = 2XY_\tau + g_\tau^{-1}(Z_\tau, Z_\tau).$$

In particular, Y_τ is null, $k(X, Y_\tau) = 1$, and Z_τ is in the orthgonal complement of the span of Y_τ and X. Acting on these sections, the coupled tractor Levi-Civita connection is given by

$$\nabla_\tau X = Z_\tau, \quad \nabla_\tau Z = -P_\tau X - Y_\tau g_\tau, \quad \nabla_\tau Y_\tau = g_\tau^{-1}(Z_\tau) \cdot P_\tau$$

where in the last equation the contraction between $g_\tau^{-1}(Z_\tau)$ and P_τ is on one index.

The tractor D-operator is a conformally-invariant differential operator $D : \mathcal{V}[w] \to \mathcal{T}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}[w-1]$ acting on sections of any weighted tractor bundle $\mathcal{V}[w]$ via the formula

$$DV = (n+2w-2)wY_\tau \otimes V + (n+2w-2)g_\tau^{-1}(Z_\tau, \nabla_\tau V) - X\Box_\tau V$$

where $\Box_\tau = (\Delta_\tau + w \operatorname{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau)$.

2.2 Osculation of conformal densities

Definition 1. *Two conformal densities σ_1 and σ_2 are said to* osculate *at a point of M if the following three conditions hold at that point*

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1 &= \sigma_2 \\ D\sigma_1 &= D\sigma_2 \\ D^2\sigma_1 &= D^2\sigma_2. \end{aligned}$$

These equations are not entirely independent. For any density, the tractor $D^2\tau = (D \otimes D)\tau$ is symmetric and trace-free. Moreover, if the weight is regarded as fixed, w say, then the contraction of any of these tensor with X depends only on the weight and earlier derivatives, which gives two point constraints: $D_X\sigma = w(n-2+2w)\sigma$ and $D_X D\sigma = (w-1)(n-4+2w)D\sigma$. In particular, for most weights $D_X\sigma$ is determined by $D^2\sigma$, although notably not in the case of conformal scales ($w = 1$).

Theorem 2. *If two conformal scales τ_1 and τ_2 osculate at a point of M, then the following conditions hold at the point:*

- $\tau_1 = \tau_2$
- $P_{\tau_1} = P_{\tau_2}$
- $\operatorname{div}_{\tau_1} P_{\tau_1} = \operatorname{div}_{\tau_2} P_{\tau_2}$
- $\operatorname{div}^2_{\tau_1} P_{\tau_1} = \operatorname{div}^2_{\tau_2} P_{\tau_2}$.

These conditions are necessary but not sufficient. The equation $D\tau_1 = D\tau_2$ contains the additional information of a Y tractor, which is an n-dimensional affine degree of freedom.

In the theorem statement, div_τ denotes the divergence with respect to the Levi-Civita connection of the conformal scale τ. In particular, the third equation is an equation of n-dimensional covectors and the last equation is a scalar equation.

Proof. We expand out the osculation condition:

$$\begin{aligned}\tau^{-1}D\tau &= -\operatorname{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau X + nY_\tau\\ \tau^{-1}D^2\tau &= \left[\operatorname{div}_\tau^2 P_\tau + (n-1)\operatorname{tr}\left(g_\tau^{-1}(P_\tau)\cdot g_\tau^{-1}(P_\tau)\right)\right] X^2 - \left[2(n-2)\operatorname{div}_\tau P_\tau\right] X Z_\tau +\\ &\quad + \left[n(n-2)P_\tau - (n-2)(\operatorname{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau) g_\tau\right] Z_\tau^2.\end{aligned}$$

Observe that $\tau^{-2}k(D\tau, D\tau) = -2n\operatorname{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau$. So

$$n\tau Y_\tau = D\tau - (2n\tau)^{-1}k(D\tau, D\tau)X.$$

Then, because Z_τ is uniquely determined from its image in $\mathcal{T}$, Y_τ determines Z_τ, and the coefficients of $D\tau$ and $D^2\tau$ can all be determined from invariant combinations with $D\tau$ and X. □

2.3 Osculation and the Fefferman-Graham theory

The apparatus of tractor calculus can also be completely built out of the ambient construction of Charles Fefferman and Robin Graham [28, 29]. This consists of an asymptotically vacuum metric $\tilde{g}$ defined on the manifold $\tilde{M} = \mathscr{G} \times (-1, 1)$ that extends the degenerate metric g_0 from $\mathscr{G}$ and is homogeneous of degree two under the natural action of the group of non-zero complex numbers. In particular, $\mathscr{G} \subset \tilde{M}$ is a null hypersurface. All of the basic objects (densities and so forth) can be regarded as being extensible to some asymptotic order off this null hypersurface. The metric $\tilde{g}$ can be made asymptotically vacuum to order at least $n/2$ near the null hypersurface, where n is the dimension of M, in a parameter $Q = \tilde{g}(X, X)$ that is a degree 2 defining function of $\mathscr{G}$ in $\tilde{M}$.

Conformally invariant powers of the Laplace operator, as described in Graham, Jenne, Mason, and Sparling, are differential operators that can be applied to conformal densitites of appropriate weights [37]. They arise as obstructions to the following Dirichlet problem:

- Given a section σ of $E[w]$, construct a formal power series $\tilde{\sigma} = \sigma + Q\sigma_1 + \cdots + Q^{k-1}\sigma_k + O(Q^k)$ that solves the ambient Laplace equation $\tilde{\Delta}\tilde{\sigma} = 0$ modulo $O(Q^{k-1})$.

In dimension n, a harmonic extension is possible to order at least $k = w+n/2$. At this value of k, when n is even, there is an obstruction to continuing the procedure in the form of a linear differential operator applied to the original density σ.

Theorem 3. *Suppose that $n+2w \geq 5$ where n is the dimension of the space-time M and w is an integer weight. Two densities σ_1 and σ_2 of conformal weight w osculate at a point of M if and only if the 2-jets of their harmonic extensions agree at that point.*

The inequality $n + 2w \geq 5$ is not a sharp condition on the weight w of the conformal densities or on the dimension n, but conveniently includes all of the dimensions and weights that are of interest to us (in particular, $w = 1$ and $n \geq 3$). The purpose of the inequality is to ensure that there are enough valid terms in the asymptotic expansion of the harmonic extensions of the densities so that two derivatives can unambigously be performed.

Proof. This theorem follows almost immediately from the relation of the tractor calculus to the ambient construction as described in detail in §3 of Gover and Peterson [36]. We recall briefly the relation they establish between the operator D and the ambient connection $\tilde{\nabla}$. A tensor field V on $\tilde{M}$ is homogeneous of weight w if $\tilde{\nabla}_X V = wV$. The restriction of V to the null surface $\mathscr{G}$ is identified with a tractor of weight w, and we have

$$DV = (n + 2w - 2)\tilde{\nabla}V - X \otimes \tilde{\Delta}V.$$

In particular, if $\tilde{\tau}$ is a harmonic extension of τ, we have $D\tau = (n+2w-2)\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\tau}$, and

$$(D \otimes D)\tau = (n + 2w - 2)(n + 2w - 4)\tilde{\nabla} \otimes \tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\tau} + (n + 2w - 2)X \otimes \tilde{\Delta}(\tilde{\nabla}\tilde{\tau}).$$

The last term is zero, though, because of the Einstein vacuum equation in the ambient space and the assumption that $\tilde{\tau}$ is harmonic. □

2.4 Osculation by cosmological metrics

The previous section shows that the notion of two densities osculating can be formulated in an entirely conformally-invariant manner. So it is very natural to try to use this information to decouple the Ricci curvature entirely from the conformal curvature. This idea is also rather phenomenologically

appealing, because of the tendency of Ricci and Weyl curvature to act on different cosmic scales: the Weyl curvature is the vehicle by which gravity propagates in free space while the Ricci curvature then determines what a clump of matter would do if it were "left to its own devices", forbidden from interacting with the ambient gravitational field [59]. So, we look for the simplest model in which a matter field, as represented by the Ricci curvature in an infinitesimal neighborhood of a point, can break conformal invariance. This is a cosmology of the form of a homogeneous quadratic polynomial on the tractor space restricted to the null cone, localized at the marked point of contact.

Definition 2. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form k and let $\mathbb{K}$ be the projective null cone of k, a quadric in $\mathbb{PV}$. A* quadric cosmology *is a metric on $\mathbb{K}$ of the form*

$$g_q = \frac{k(dx, dx)}{q(x, x)}$$

where q is a symmetric form on $\mathcal{T}$, linearly independent of the form k.

The metric g_q associated to the quadratic form q is non-singular away from the intersection of the quadric $\mathbb{K}$ with the null quadric of q. We have in mind specifically the case where $\mathbb{V}$ is the tractor space $\mathcal{T}_x$ at a point x of the space-time M and k is the tractor metric. Then we have the following:

Theorem 4. *Let (M, g) be a space-time. At each point x of M, there is a unique quadric cosmology on $\mathcal{T}_x$ that osculates with g at x.*

The statement of the theorem requires some clarification, since the space on which the metric g is defined differs from that of the quadric cosmology. There is, however, a linear isomorphism between the tractor space $\mathcal{T}_x$ to M at x and tractor space $\mathbb{T}$ corresponding to the quadric $\mathbb{K}$ at the marked point $[\mathcal{X}]$. Under this linear isomorphism, the conditions for osculation are still well-defined:

$$D^2_{\mathcal{T}}\tau^2_g(x) = D^2_{\mathbb{T}}\tau^2_q([\mathcal{X}])$$

where τ_g and τ_q are the conformal scales corresponding to g and q, respectively. Here $D_{\mathcal{T}}$ refers to the D-operator on M and $D_{\mathbb{T}}$ refers to the D-operator on the tractor space $\mathbb{T}$, which is just the ordinary differential in that vector space.

Concretely, the curvature conditions of Theorem 2 can be used to define the notion of osculation without any reference to the background space, modulo an affine gauge that consists of a choice of Y tractor. The D operator gives a way of fixing that gauge.

Proof. It is enough to exhibit q and then show that it has the required osculation properties. Let $q = D^2\tau_g^2(x)$, so $q \in \mathrm{Sym}_0^2 \mathcal{T}_x^*$. This defines a conformal scale τ_q on $\mathbb{K}$. If we now identify $\mathcal{T}_x$ with the space $\mathbb{T}$ of tractors of $\mathbb{K}$ at the marked point $\mathcal{X}$, then the equality $D_{\mathbb{T}}^2\tau_q^2(x) = q = D_{\mathcal{T}}^2\tau_g^2(\mathcal{X})$ holds. □

2.5 Gauge theory and the Q curvature

We now confine attention to four dimensions. The following density, known as the Q curvature, a spectral invariant discovered by Branson, has conformal weight -4 which is the correct weight to integrate over the space-time manifold:

$$\begin{aligned} Q_\tau &= -\frac{1}{6}\Delta_\tau \mathrm{Sc}_\tau - \frac{1}{2}\,\mathrm{tr}\left[(g_\tau^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ric}_\tau)\cdot(g_\tau^{-1}\,\mathrm{Ric}_\tau)\right] + \frac{1}{6}\,\mathrm{Sc}_\tau^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\Delta_\tau\,\mathrm{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau - \mathrm{tr}\left[(g_\tau^{-1}P_\tau)\cdot(g_\tau^{-1}P_\tau)\right] + (\mathrm{tr}_{g_\tau} P_\tau)^2\,. \end{aligned}$$

Under conformal changes $\hat{\tau} = \Omega\tau$, Q changes via

$$Q_{\hat{\tau}} = Q_\tau + L_\tau\Omega$$

where L_τ is the fourth-order conformally-invariant Paneitz operator [55]

$$\begin{aligned} L_\tau f &= \mathrm{div}\left[\mathrm{grad}_\tau\,\mathrm{div} + 2g_\tau^{-1}(\mathrm{Ric}_\tau) - \frac{2}{3}\,\mathrm{Sc}_\tau\,\mathrm{Id}\right]\mathrm{grad}_\tau\, f \\ &= G_\tau df \end{aligned}$$

where G_τ is a differential operator from one-forms to weight -4 densities given by

$$G_\tau\omega = \delta_\tau\left(\Delta_\tau + 2\,\mathrm{tr}_{g_\tau}(P_\tau) - 4g_\tau^{-1}(P_\tau)\lrcorner\right).$$

Apart from having the appropriate weight to form an invariant integral, we see that (over a compact space-time) the integral of Q actually is conformally-invariant because the transformation law under conformal changes is exact. Moreover, the ingredients of Q are precisely the curvature invariants that are contained in our osculating cosmologies.

The clear question is then: if Q is the Lagrangian, what are the field equations? The Q curvature is closely related to other invariants of the space time. Specifically,

$$Q_\tau = \frac{1}{16}\,\mathrm{Pfaff}_\tau - \left(\frac{1}{4}\,\mathrm{tr}(g_\tau^{-1}W\cdot g_\tau^{-1}W) + \frac{1}{6}\Delta R\right)$$

where Pfaff denotes the Chern–Gauss–Bonnet integrand (the Pfaffian of the curvature 2-form) and $\mathrm{tr}(g_\tau^{-1}W\cdot g_\tau^{-1}W)$ is the complete contraction of two copies of the Weyl curvature on all indices, using the metric g_τ. On a compact manifold, integral of the Pfaffian is a global topological invariant, and the Laplacian of the scalar curvature is a divergence and therefore integrates away [13, 14, 15]. So with respect to variations of the metric, Q is equivalent as a Lagrangian to the square of the Weyl curvature, a well-known primitive for the Bach equation. With appropriate boundary conditions (see Chang and Qing [15]), this also works on a non-compact manifold, and gives a conformal primitive for the space-time to be conformal to Einstein.

The pair of operators (L_τ, G_τ) consisting of the Paneitz operator L_τ and its "gauge companion operator" G_τ (see Branson and Gover) appears naturally in connection with fixing the conformal gauge for solutions of the Maxwell equations, as shown in Eastwood and Singer [23]. We recall here the construction. Let F be a two-form on M that solves the Maxwell equations

$$dF = 0, \qquad dF^* = 0.$$

We want to write F in the form $F = dA$ (locally) for some potential A. There is a substantial freedom in A, given by gauge transformations $A \mapsto A + df$ where f is a function. The standard way of fixing this gauge freedom is to impose the Lorenz gauge condition, that $dA^* = 0$ as well. This then reduces the gauge freedom to functions such that $d(df)^* = 0$, which is equivalent to the wave equation $\Delta f = 0$.

However the Lorenz gauge is not a conformally-invariant condition. We should instead demand that $G_\tau A = 0$. Although G_τ is not itself conformally-invariant, this equation actaully is, and so gives a conformally-invariant gauge fixing condition. Then the residual gauge freedom is of the form $A \mapsto A + df$ where f is a solution of the Paneitz equation $P_\tau f = 0$, since $P_\tau = G_\tau d$.

So, we note that by fixing the value of the Q curvature, we reduce the gauge freedom in the conformal factor to that of the conformally-invariant Maxwell gauge. This suggests the tantalizing prospect of coupling the Q

curvature to a Lagrangian for the Maxwell field. It is a similar prospect that originally led Weyl in 1918 to consider his original coupling of the Maxwell field into the conformal factor of the metric [68]. However, Weyl turned out to have the wrong gauge group [69]. It was not until 1929, when Weyl used the gauge group $U(1)$, that the appropriate gauge theory was constructed [70]. So to couple gravity and electromagnetism properly, we need a suitable generalization of Q for a $U(1)$ gauge group. This is the subject of a forthcoming article by the first author.

3 Quadric cosmologies

By the results of the last chapter, we may completely describe the structure of matter, as encoded in the Ricci tensor and its derivatives, in a bundle of osculating quadric cosmologies, one for each space-time point. Thus an in-depth investigation of these quadric cosmologies is warranted. The first main result is that the geodesics of these space-times (in any dimension) form a completely integrable Hamiltonian system, a result which effectively goes back to the work of Carl Gustav Jacob Jacobi [46], extended particularly by Jürgen Moser [51].

3.1 The Jacobi-Moser integrable system: a free particle on an ellipsoid

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space equipped with a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form, denoted g, whose corresponding flat metric is written $g(dx, dx)$, for $x \in \mathbb{V}$. We consider a particle moving in the space $\mathbb{V}$, constrained to move on an ellipsoid, whose equation is $h(x, x) = B$, where h is a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{V}$ and B is a real constant. The particle is otherwise free. The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}$ for this particle is:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(g(x', x') + \lambda(h(x, x) - B)).$$

Lagrange's variational equations for the stationary points of the Lagrangian give:

$$\frac{d}{dt}g(x', \ ) = \lambda h(x, \ ), \quad H = h(x, x) = B,$$

$$x'' = \lambda J(x), \quad J = g^{-1} \circ h.$$

Here we are regarding g and h as endomorphisms from $\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}^*$, the dual space of $\mathbb{V}$. We have:

$$0 = h(x, x'), \quad 0 = h(x', x') + h(x, x'') = h(x', x') + \lambda b(x, x), \quad b = h \circ g^{-1} \circ h.$$

We also regard b as giving an endomorphism from $\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}^*$. If now $b(x, x) = 0$, we get $h(x', x') = 0$. Here and in the following, we will assume the generic case that $b(x, x) \neq 0$. Then we get:

$$\lambda = -\frac{h(x', x')}{b(x, x)},$$

$$x'' = -\frac{h(x', x')}{b(x, x)} J(x), \quad h(x, x') = 0.$$

Put:

$$p = h(x'), \quad x' = h^{-1}(p), \quad p(x) = 0.$$

Then we have:

$$x' = h^{-1}(p), \quad p' = -\frac{h^{-1}(p, p)}{b(x, x)} b(x), \quad p(x) = 0.$$

If now we rescale the time variable of the motion, putting $b(x, x)z' = \dot{z}$, for any function z, we get:

$$\dot{x} = b(x, x)h^{-1}(p), \quad \dot{p} = -h^{-1}(p, p)b(x), \quad p(x) = 0.$$

These are Hamilton's equations for the Hamiltonian: $F = 2^{-1}b(x, x)h^{-1}(p, p)$. If we put $S = p(x)$, we have the relations:

$$\dot{H} = 2h(x, \dot{x}) = 2b(x, x)S,$$

$$\dot{S} = \dot{p}(x) + p(\dot{x}) = 0.$$

In particular the evolution is consistent with the evolution of the Hamiltonian S, which is $(x, q) \to (e^s x, e^{-s} q)$, for s real and with the condition that H be constant, with $S = 0$. Then the Hamiltonian evolution of F induces the geodesic evolution on the cotangent bundle of the projective space of $\mathbb{V}$ of the metric $\dfrac{h(dx, dx)}{b(x, x)}$, restricted to the null cone of h: $h(x, x) = 0$.

3.2 The geodesic equations of the quadric cosmologies

Let $\mathbb{V}$ be a vector space, with dual space $\mathbb{V}^*$.
We work in a phase space $\mathbb{V} \times \mathbb{V}^*$, equipped with the Poisson-structure P given by the formula:

$$P = \partial_p.\partial_x - \partial_x.\partial_p.$$

Here x is a variable with values in $\mathbb{V}$, whereas p is a variable with values in $\mathbb{V}^*$.
So the Poisson bracket of functions f and g on phase space is given by:

$$\{f, g\} = f_p.g_x - f_x.g_p$$

Here the derivative are denoted by subscripts, so, for example, $f_p = \partial_p f$ and the dot indicates the dual pairing. Then the Hamiltonian vector field of the function f is $f' = f_p\partial_x - f_x\partial_p$ and the dynamical equations of its trajectories are:

$$x' = f_p, \quad p' = -f_x.$$

Here the prime denotes the derivative with respect to "time".

Let h and g be given (non-degenerate) symmetric bilinear forms, h on the vector space $\mathbb{V}$ and g on the dual vector space $\mathbb{V}^*$. We also regard h as an endomorphism from $\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}^*$ and g as an endomorphism from $\mathbb{V}^*$ to $\mathbb{V}$.
Put $J = g \circ h$ so J is an endomorphism from $\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}$. Also put:

$$G = g(p, p), \quad H = h(x, x), \quad Q = g^{-1}(x, x), \quad R = h^{-1}(p, p).$$

Our system is given by the pair of Hamiltonians on the phase space, E and S:

$$S = p(x), \quad E = 2^{-1}HG.$$

Ultimately, we wish to restrict to the subspace $S = 0$ and divide out by the flow of the Hamiltonian S and then further restrict to the null cone $Q = 0$. We have the Poisson bracket:

$$\{S, E\} = x.E_x - p.E_p = 0.$$

This means that the Hamiltonian flows of E and S are compatible. The flow of S is the scaling $(x, p) \to (e^s x, e^{-s} p)$, s being the parameter along the flow. The Hamiltonian flow of E is:

$$x' = E_p = Hg(p, \ ),$$

$$p' = -E_x = -Gh(x, \ ).$$

Note that we have then $S' = (p(x))' = 0$, as expected.
Also we have:

$$(g^{-1}(x,x))' = 2g^{-1}(x,x') = 2Hp(x).$$

In particular, when we have $S = p(x) = 0$, the evolution takes place on the quadric $g^{-1}(x,x) = A$, with A constant. For the second derivative of the position, we have:

$$x'' = (Hg(p, \ ))' = 2h(x,x')g(p, \ ) + Hg(p', \ ) = 2H^{-1}h(x,x')x' - GHJ(x).$$

Here we have:

$$G = g(p,p) = g^{-1}(x',x')H^{-2}.$$

So finally we have:

$$x'' = (h(x,x))^{-1}(2h(x,x')x' - g^{-1}(x',x')J(x)).$$

This gives a closed system of second order equations for the positions x as a function of time.

Note that we then have:

$$g^{-1}(x,x'') = 2H^{-1}h(x,x')g^{-1}(x,x') - g^{-1}(x',x'),$$

$$(g^{-1}(x,x'))' = 2H^{-1}h(x,x')g^{-1}(x,x').$$

So if the initial conditions are such that $g^{-1}(x,x') = 0$, then $g^{-1}(x,x') = 0$ for all time. Note the Poisson brackets:

$$\{E,Q\} = \frac{H}{2}\{G,Q\} = 2HS,$$

$$\{E,R\} = \frac{G}{2}\{H,R\} = -2GS,$$

$$\{S,Q\} = 2Q, \quad \{S,R\} = -2R.$$

So the conditions $S = 0$, $Q = A$, with A constant are compatible with the evolution. Imposing these constraints gives the geodesic spray on the sphere $g^{-1}(x,x) = A$, for the metric $\dfrac{g^{-1}(dx,dx)}{h(x,x)}$. Let us change the parameter for

the evolution to a new parameter s (the old parameter being the time t). Then we have:

$$\frac{dx}{ds} = \frac{x'}{s'}, \quad (s')^3 \frac{d^2x}{d^2s} = (s')^2 \left(\frac{x'}{s'}\right)' = s'x'' - s''x'$$

$$= -H^{-1}(h(x,x)s'' - 2s'h(x,x'))x' - (s')^3 H^{-1} g^{-1}\left(\frac{dx}{ds}, \frac{dx}{ds}\right) J(x)).$$

We want to choose s so as to knock out the x' term.
So we need the condition:

$$h(x,x)s'' = s'(h(x,x))', \quad s' = Ch(x,x).$$

Here C is a non-zero constant which we may take to be unity.
So we take:

$$s' = h(x,x) = H.$$

Then in terms of the parameter s, we have the differential equation:

$$\frac{d^2x}{d^2s} = -H^{-1} g^{-1}\left(\frac{dx}{ds}, \frac{dx}{ds}\right) J(x).$$

Representing the derivative with respect to s by a prime (not forgetting that this is not our original time coordinate), we have reduced to the system:

$$x'' = -\frac{g^{-1}(x',x')J(x)}{h(x,x)}, \quad 0 = g^{-1}(x,x'), \quad J = g \circ h.$$

Here our old time coordinate t is recovered from the formula:

$$t' = \frac{1}{h(x,x)}.$$

$$H = b(x,x), \quad G = h^{-1}(p,p).$$

Replace g^{-1} by h and h by b. Then we have:

$$x'' = -\frac{h(x',x')(h^{-1} \circ b)(x)}{b(x,x)}, \quad 0 = h(x,x').$$

Compare with the Jacobi-Moser system of the previous section:

$$x'' = -\frac{h(x',x')}{b(x,x)} J(x), \quad h(x,x') = 0,$$

$$J = g \circ h, \quad b = h \circ g \circ h, \quad h^{-1} \circ b = J.$$

It is identical. In this language the original time co-ordinate is given by $t' = (b(x,x))^{-1}$.

3.3 The conserved quantities: the proof of complete integrability

For n a positive integer, we consider a phase space (x, p), of $2n$ dimensions, described by n "position" variables $x = \{x^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ and their n conjugate "momentum" variables $p = \{p_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For $h(x, p)$ a differentiable function on the phase space, put:

$$h_i = \partial_i h, \quad h^i = \partial^i h, \quad \partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^i}, \quad \partial^i = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}.$$

Then the Poisson bracket $[f, g]$ of smooth functions $f(x, p)$ and $g(x, p)$ on the phase space (x, p) is:

$$[f,\ g] = \sum_i (f_i g^i - f^i g_i).$$

Here and in the following, the summations range from 1 to n.
The Hamiltonian of interest is:

$$H_\infty = \sum_{i,j} \frac{(x^i)^2}{a_i} p_j^2.$$

Here each of the $a_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ is a non-zero constant. This is the Hamiltonian for the geodesic flow of the metric:

$$G = \frac{g(dx, dx)}{h(x, x)}.$$

Here $x \in \mathbb{V}$, a vector space of dimension n and g and h are linearly independent symmetric bilinear forms on $\mathbb{V}$. Also we are assuming that g and h are generic, so simultaneously diagonalizable, so coordinates $x^i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ exist for which we have:

$$g(x, x) = \sum_i (x^i)^2,$$

$$h(x, x) = \sum_i \frac{(x^i)^2}{a_i}.$$

The constants $a_i, i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ are non-zero. These constants are invariantly defined as the eigen-values of the endomorphism $h^{-1}g$, where we regard g and h as isomorphisms from $\mathbb{V}$ to its dual $\mathbb{V}^*$. To recover the geodesic flow of our cosmology, we need to divide out by the Hamiltonian action of the Hamiltonian $p(x) = \sum_i p_i x^i$ and to impose the constraint $g(x, x) = 0$.

We embed the Hamiltonian H_∞ in a Hamiltonian system, $H(s)$, depending on a free parameter s:

$$H(s) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{sa_j(x^i)^2 p_j^2 + x^i x^j p_i p_j}{(1+sa_i)(1+sa_j)} = K(s)L(s) + M(s)^2,$$

$$K(s) = \sum_i \frac{(x^i)^2}{1+sa_i}, \quad L(s) = \sum_i \frac{sa_i p_i^2}{1+sa_i}, \quad M(s) = \sum_i \frac{p_i x^i}{1+sa_i}.$$

Note that the definition of the system $H(s)$ does not require that the a_i be non-zero. By inspection, we see that we have, provided all the a_i are non-zero:

$$H_\infty = \lim_{s\to\infty} sH(s).$$

Note also the relations:

$$H(0) = S^2, \quad S = \sum_i p_i x^i, \quad H'(0) = \sum_{i,j} (x_i^2 a_j p_j^2) - 2S \sum_i a_i p_i x^i.$$

In particular, we see that when $S = 0$ the function $H(s)$ smoothly interpolates between the Hamiltonian $\sum_{i,j} a_i^{-1} x_i^2 p_j^2$ and its dual $\sum_{i,j} a_i p_i^2 (x^j)^2$. By partial fractions, we have, under the assumption that the a_i parameters are pairwise distinct:

$$H(s) = \sum_i \frac{H_i}{1+sa_i},$$

$$H_i = (x^i)^2 \sum_j p_j^2 - \sum_{j\neq i} \frac{a_i}{a_i - a_j} (x^i p_j - x^j p_i)^2.$$

Check:

$$\sum_i \frac{H_i}{1+sa_i} = K(s) \sum_j p_j^2 - \sum_{i,j,i\neq j} \frac{a_i}{(a_i - a_j)(1+sa_i)} (x^i p_j - x^j p_i)^2$$

$$= K(s) \sum_j p_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j,i\neq j} \frac{a_i(1+sa_j) - a_j(1+sa_i)}{(a_i - a_j)(1+sa_i)(1+sa_j)} (x^i p_j - x^j p_i)^2$$

$$= K(s) \sum_j p_j^2 - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{(1+sa_i)(1+sa_j)} (x^i p_j - x^j p_i)^2$$

$$= K(s)\sum_j p_j^2 - \sum_{i,j}\frac{1}{(1+sa_i)(1+sa_j)}(x^i)^2(p_j)^2 + \sum_{i,j}\frac{1}{(1+sa_i)(1+sa_j)}(x^i p_i)(x^j p_j)$$

$$= K(s)\sum_j \left(p_j^2 - \frac{p_j^2}{(1+sa_j)}\right) + M(s)^2 = K(s)L(s) + M(s)^2 = H(s).$$

In terms of the Hamiltonians, H_i, we have the formulas for $H_\infty, H(0)$ and $H'(0)$, valid whenever the a_i parameters are non-zero and pairwise distinct:

$$H_\infty = \sum_i \frac{H_i}{a_i}, \quad H(0) = \sum_i H_i, \quad H'(0) = -\sum_i a_i H_i.$$

Note that we have the commutator:

$$[S, H(s)] = 0.$$

Also put:

$$X = K(0) = \sum_i (x^i)^2, \quad Y = L(0) = \sum_i a_i p_i^2.$$

Then we have the subsidiary relations:

$$[X, S] = 2X, \quad [X, \ H(s)] = 4SK(s),$$

$$[Y, S] = -2Y, \quad [Y, \ H(s)] = -4SL(s).$$

So the quantities X and Y are preserved along the flows of $H(s)$, provided that S is fixed to be zero.

Theorem 5. *The Hamiltonians $H(s)$ Poisson commute:*

$$[H(s), \ H(t)] = 0.$$

When the parameters a_i are pairwise distinct, $[H_i, H_j] = 0$, for all i and j. In particular, when the parameters a_i are pairwise distinct and all non-zero, the Hamiltonian evolution given by H_∞ is completely integrable: the Hamiltonians H_i are independent on a Zariski open subset of the phase space.

Proof

We use the formula:

$$H(s) = K(s)L(s)+M(s)^2, \quad K(s) = \sum_i \frac{(x^i)^2}{1+sa_i}, \quad L(s) = \sum_j \frac{sa_j p_j^2}{1+sa_j}, \quad M(s) = \sum_i \frac{p_i x^i}{1+sa_i}.$$

We compute the commutators:

$$[K(s), L(t)] = 4\sum_j \frac{ta_j p_j x^j}{(1+a_j s)(1+a_j t)}, \quad [L(s), K(t)] = -4\sum_j \frac{sa_j p_j x^j}{(1+a_j s)(1+a_j t)},$$

$$[K(s), M(t)] = 2\sum_j \frac{(x^j)^2}{(1+a_j s)(1+a_j t)}, \quad [M(s), K(t)] = -2\sum_j \frac{(x^j)^2}{(1+a_j s)(1+a_j t)},$$

$$[L(s), M(t)] = -2\sum_i \frac{sa_i p_i^2}{(1+a_i s)(1+a_i t)}, \quad [M(s), L(t)] = 2\sum_i \frac{ta_i p_i^2}{(1+a_i s)(1+a_j t)}.$$

This gives:

$$[H(s), H(t)] = [K(s)L(s) + M(s)^2, K(t)L(t) + M(t)^2]$$

$$= K(s)L(t)[L(s), K(t)]+L(s)K(t)[K(s), L(t)]+2L(s)M(t)[K(s), M(t)]+2M(s)L(t)[M(s), K(t)]$$
$$+2K(s)M(t)[L(s), M(t)] + 2M(s)K(t)[M(s), L(t)]$$

The first two terms of the right-hand side are:

$$K(s)L(t)[L(s), K(t)] + L(s)K(t)[K(s), L(t)]$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{-4sta_j a_k (x^i)^2 p_j^2 p_k x^k}{(1+a_k s)(1+a_k t)} \left(\frac{1}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)} - \frac{1}{(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{-4sta_j a_k (x^i)^2 p_j^2 p_k x^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_k s)(1+a_k t)} ((1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)-(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j))$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k} \frac{4st(a_i - a_j)a_j a_k (s-t)(x^i)^2 p_j^2 p_k x^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_k s)(1+a_k t)}.$$

The next two terms of the right-hand side are:

$$2L(s)M(t)[K(s),M(t)]+2M(s)L(t)[M(s),K(t)]$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{4a_j(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+a_is)(1+a_it)}\left(\frac{s}{(1+sa_j)(1+ta_k)}-\frac{t}{(1+ta_j)(1+sa_k)}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{4a_j(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}(s(1+ta_j)(1+sa_k)-t(1+sa_j)(1+ta_k))$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{4a_j(1+(s+t)a_k+sta_ja_k)(s-t)(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}$$

The last two terms of the right-hand side are:

$$2K(s)M(t)[L(s),M(t)]+2M(s)K(t)[M(s),L(t)]$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{-4a_j(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+a_js)(1+a_jt)}\left(\frac{s}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_k)}-\frac{t}{(1+ta_i)(1+sa_k)}\right)$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{-4a_j(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}(s(1+ta_i)(1+sa_k)-t(1+sa_i)(1+ta_k))$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{-4a_j(1+(s+t)a_k+sta_ia_k)(s-t)(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}.$$

So the last four terms of the right-hand side, put together, are:

$$2L(s)M(t)[K(s),M(t)]+2M(s)L(t)[M(s),K(t)]+2K(s)M(t)[L(s),M(t)]+2M(s)K(t)[M(s),L(t)]$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{4a_j(s-t)(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}(1+(s+t)a_k+sta_ja_k-(1+(s+t)a_k+sta_ia_k))$$

$$=\sum_{i,j,k}\frac{4st(a_j-a_i)a_ja_k(s-t)(x^i)^2p_j^2p_kx^k}{(1+sa_i)(1+ta_j)(1+ta_i)(1+sa_j)(1+a_ks)(1+a_kt)}.$$

By inspection, this is the negative of the first two terms, $K(s)L(t)[L(s),K(t)]+L(s)K(t)[K(s),L(t)]$, so we have the required relation:

$$[H(s),H(t)]=0.$$

The rest of the theorem follows immediately, provided we can establish that the Hamiltonians H_i are independent. To this end, when the a_i are pairwise distinct, without loss of generality, at worst after a permutation of the phase space variables, we may assume that $a_1 \neq 0$. Then consider the specialization with $p_1 = 1$ and $p_i = 0, i = 2, 3, \ldots, n$, in which case we have:

$$K(s) = \sum_i \frac{(x^i)^2}{1 + sa_i}, \quad L(s) = \frac{sa_1}{1 + sa_1}, \quad M(s) = \frac{x^1}{1 + sa_1}.$$

This gives:

$$(1 + sa_1)H(s) = (x^1)^2 + sa_1 \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{(x^i)^2}{1 + sa_i}.$$

As s varies, we see that the right-hand-side of this expression gives n-independent quantities, provided only that $x^1 x^2 \ldots x^n \neq 0$. If also we first impose that $S = 0$, so here $x^1 = 0$, we reduce instead to:

$$\frac{(1 + sa_1)}{sa_1} H(s) = \sum_{i=2}^{n} \frac{(x^i)^2}{(1 + sa_i)}.$$

As s varies, we see that the right-hand-side of this expression gives $(n-1)$-independent quantities, provided only that $x^2 \ldots x^n \neq 0$. Finally if also we impose that $\sum_j (x^j)^2 = 0$, we reduce to:

$$\frac{(1 + sa_1)(1 + sa_2)}{s^2 a_1} H(s) = \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{(x^i)^2 (a_2 - a_i)}{1 + sa_i}.$$

As s varies, we see that the right-hand-side of this expression gives $(n-2)$-independent quantities, provided only that $x^3 \ldots x^n \neq 0$. In all these cases, the condition that the Hamiltonians have fewer than the maximum number of degrees of freedom, is given by the vanishing of certain determinants (of appropriate minors of the Jacobian matrix, with respect to the phase space variables, of the Hamiltonians H_i), so by the vanishing of a system of polynomials in the phase space variables. The special cases just given above do have the maximum number of degrees of freedom, so the polynomial relations cannot be identities. This proves that the Hamiltonians are independent on a Zariski open subset of the phase space and are still independent when we restrict to $S = 0$ and still independent when we further restrict to $\sum_i (x^i)^2 = 0$ and we are done. Finally note that it would appear that this system is still integrable in the limit of a countably infinite number of variables, if appropriately formulated.

3.4 Hyper-elliptic cosmologies in dimension four

We now specialize to the case when the space-time is of dimension four. Denote by G the symmetric bilinear form on $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, with values in $\mathbb{L} = \Omega^4(\mathbb{T})$, such that $G(X, X) = X \wedge X$, for any $X \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$. By definition, the four dimensional hyper-elliptic cosmologies, are those Klein cosmologies, $\mathcal{K}_Q$, for which the metric, g_Q takes the following form:

$$g_Q = \frac{dX \wedge dX}{Q(X, X)} = \frac{G(dX, dX)}{Q(X, X)}, \quad G(X, X) = X \wedge X = 0.$$

Here $X \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ and Q is a non-zero symmetric bilinear form on $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, with values in $\mathbb{L}$, such that Q and G are linearly independent tensors. Note that the metric g_Q only depends on Q modulo multiples of G, so without loss of generality, if desired, we may take Q to be a non-zero tensor, trace-free with respect to G: $\mathrm{tr}(G^{-1}Q) = 0$. The space of symmetric bilinear forms on the six-dimensional vector space $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ has dimension twenty-one, so the space of metrics g_Q has dimension twenty. Then the metric g_Q is singular on the intersection of the quadrics: $Q(X, X) = G(X, X) = 0$. Although to describe the simplest cosmological models one typically takes Q to be of low rank, we will usually concentrate on the generic case, where the linear pencil of quadrics defined by Q and G has no members that that have more than one degeneracy: indeed we usually require that all the six roots of the characteristic polynomial $\det(xQ + yG)$ are unequal. In particular, when a co-ordinate description is desired this allows us to simultaneously diagonalize the quadrics Q and G and therefore all elements of the pencil that they define.

Naturally associated to the pair of quadrics Q and G is the curve:

$$z^2 = \det(xQ + yG), \quad (x, y, z) \neq (0, 0, 0).$$

Here x and y lie in $\mathbb{C}$ and $z \in \mathbb{L}^6$. The right-hand side of this equation is an homogeneous polynomial of total degree six in the pair (x, y) (where x and y are not both zero) and is also homogeneous of total degree six in the entries of the pair (Q, G). The resulting Riemann surface is generically an hyper-elliptic curve of genus two. In the present context, since the quadric G is preferred, this curve has the extra structure of a natural pair of base points corresponding to taking the variable x to vanish.

The pencil defined by Q and G is the ensemble of quadrics $xQ + yG$, where x and y are complex numbers not both zero. Geometrically, consider, for a quadric of the pencil, so a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{T}$, the space of maximal isotropic subspaces of $\mathbb{T}$, defined by the chosen quadric. Each maximal isotropic subspace has dimension three. The space of all the isotropic subspaces also has dimension three and consists of two disjoint copies of complex projective three-space when the quadric is non-singular and just one copy when the quadric has one degeneracy. So as the pair (x, y) varies, the ensemble of these spaces gives a two-fold cover of the Riemann sphere of the variables (x, y), branched at the roots of the characteristic polynomial $\det(xQ + yG)$. This gives the hyper-elliptic curve in question. By its construction it comes naturally equipped with with a tautological holomorphic bundle of complex three-dimensional projective spaces.

Now consider the intersection F of the quadrics Q and G: this constitutes the conformal infinity of the cosmology. Note that the cosmology is only directly aware of G and of the intersection F, not the specific quadric Q that defines the intersection. A basic fact is that the space of complex projective lines lying entirely in F is a two-dimensional abelian variety and is exactly a model for the Jacobian of the hyper-elliptic curve of the pencil: the space of line bundles of Chern class zero over the curve, with addition given by the tensor product.

The hypersurface F of the Klein space-time where the metric of the cosmology is undefined, so where $Q = G = 0$, is the intersection of two quadrics in the five-dimensional projective space $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$. As such it has an intrinsic conformal metric, which is just the restriction to F of the Klein conformal structure and a conformal second fundamental form (this is the ordinary second fundamental form with its trace removed). The intrinsic conformal metric is non-degenerate provided the hypersurface is non-null. A direct calculation shows that the points where the hypersurface is null are exactly those lying in the intersection Σ of the three quadrics, $G(X, X) = 0$, $Q(X, X) = 0$ and $(QG^{-1}Q)(X, X) = 0$. Also the conformal second fundamental form is just the restriction of the form $Q(dX, dX)$ to the hypersurface.

These algebraic varieties are of a type that is well-known in algebraic geometry [22, 45]:

- The three-dimensional variety S, the intersection of the Klein quadric with the quadric Q, is a Fano manifold, which is by definition a complex manifold with an ample tangent bundle. It carries a canonical family of one-forms, represented by the closed projective three-form $\frac{X \wedge dX \wedge dX \wedge dX}{G(X,X)Q(X,X)}$, which is integrated over a two-torus surrounding the Fano manifold to produce the one-form (here we regard X as lying in a six-dimensional vector space and the wedge refers to the exterior product in six dimensions). The space of projective lines on S is two dimensional and is a model of the Jacobian of the hyperelliptic curve.

- The two-dimensional variety Σ, the totally null surface in S, is a $K3$ surface. It carries a canonical closed two-form σ represented by the closed projective five-form $\frac{X \wedge dX \wedge dX \wedge dX \wedge dX \wedge dX}{G(X,X)Q(X,X)(QG^{-1}Q)(X,X)}$, which is integrated over a three-torus surrounding the $K3$-surface to produce the two-form. Then the two-form σ renders the $K3$ surface Σ a Calabi-Yau manifold.

A tangent plane to Q at a point s of $S = Q \cap G$ has polar s' with respect to G, a point in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2(\mathbb{T}))$. The tangent plane is null if and only if the polar point, s' lies on G. The collection of points of S with null tangent planes is the $K3$ manifold Σ. . Next consider the collection of lines that lie in S. Each such line l is a fortiori a line in G, so a null geodesic, so gives rise to a projective twistor $Z(l)$ and a dual projective twistor $W(l)$. If we consider a general projective twistor Z, its α-plane intersects S in the intersection of the α-plane and the quadric Q, so in a conic Q_Z. Generically this is a nonsingular conic; then the space of projective twistors for which the conic Q_Z is singular is a hypersurface, α_Q in $\mathbb{PT}$. For a projective twistor Z, for which Q_Z is singular, we obtain a pair of lines (possibly coincident) in S. Then the ensemble of lines of S is a double cover of the space α_Q. It is branched at sixteen points, corresponding to those Z for which Q_Z is a repeated pair of lines.

If Z is a projective twistor, it gives rise to an α-plane in G, which intersects Q in a conic, Q_Z. This conic is degenerate for Z lying in an hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_Q$ in $\mathbb{PT}$. The hypersurface $\mathcal{H}_Q$ is then a Kummer surface. The corresponding

conics in $Q \cap G$ are pairs of lines (usually distinct). The variety of lines in $Q \cap G$ is then a double cover of the twistor hypersurface, ramified over those points Z where the conics are a repeated line. There are sixteen such points, the sixteen singularities of the Kummer surface. For a detailed treatment of the equations of the Kummer surface (in the Battaglini case), see the Appendix.

3.5 Calabi-Yau and Kähler-Einstein metrics for the cosmologies

Calabi-Yau metrics occur in at least two places:

- The caustic surface of the conformal infinity of the hyper-elliptic cosmologies is a $K3$ surface which possesses a unique Calabi-Yau metric. Under small perturbations, the Calabi-Yau metric will survive, but in general the perturbed surfaces are not algebraic.

- The generalized Battaglini cosmologies discussed below are singular Calabi-Yau cosmologies and will become ordinary Calabi-Yau metrics after the resolution of their singularities. These are not quadric cosmologies: they are given by the intersection of a quartic $Q(X, X, X, X) = 0$ with the Klein quadric, so have metrics of the form:

$$z^{-1}(dX \wedge dX), \quad X \wedge X = 0, \quad z^2 = Q(X, X, X, X).$$

  Thus the space-time is branched over its conformal infinity.

Deep modern analysis, due particularly to Simon Donaldson and his coworkers and to Robert Berman, is needed to understand the Riemannian geometry of the Fano manifolds constituting the conformal infinity of the hyper-elliptic cosmologies [6, 16, 17, 18]. These results, finalized after an effort lasting forty years or more, involving many distinguished mathematicians, may be summarized for our purposes as follows:

- Not every Fano manfold is Kähler-Einstein. There is an obstruction which needs to vanish. A notion of K-polystability arose, due mainly to Tian, to organize this obstruction.

- Berman proved that if a Fano variety (possibly singular) admits a Kähler-Einstein metric, then it must be K-polystable.

- Donaldson and his co-authors proved that if the variety is K-polystable, then it has a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.

- *Further the condition of K-polystability is preserved under small deformations.*

- More recently, Yuji Odaka proved that smooth K-polystable Fano varieties form separated moduli algebraic space and moreover can have at worst only quotient singularities. In particular, in our context, the space of quadric intersections has a Zariski open subspace, such that each quadric intersection has a unique Kähler-Einstein metric, provided only that it can be proved that it is not empty.

The space is indeed not empty! In fact Alan Nadel constructed an explicit example of an intersection of pair of quadrics in complex projective five-space, whose associated hyper-elliptic curve has the equation $y^2 = x^6 - z^6$, for which he was able to show that the obstruction vanished, so it at least has a unique Kähler-Einstein metric [52]. See below for the details of the Nadel space-time. It then follows from the above discussion that the generic quadric pair intersection in complex projective five-space carries a unique Kähler-Einstein metric. Very fortunately, the high degree of symmetry of the Nadel example (which he needed to carry out his existence proof) also guarantees that the Nadel space-time is a Battaglini cosmology (see below). So we have the theorem:

Theorem 6. *The generic quadric cosmology has its conformal infinity carrying a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.*
The generic Battaglini cosmology has its conformal infinity carrying a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.
Small perturbations of either of these cosmologies have their conformal infinity carrying a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.

Notice that these perturbations need not be algebraic, *nor need they keep the Weyl curvature zero.* So they would persist even in non-conformally flat small perturbations of our cosmologies, so are apparently a general feature of cosmologies.

4 The Battaglini cosmologies

The general hyper-elliptic cosmologies in four dimensions naturally fall into two broad categories: those for which the associated hyper-elliptic curve has an extra involution and those for which it does not. We call those hyper-elliptic cosmologies with an extra involution the Battaglini cosmologies. They are distinguished by the fact that the sextic which defines the hyper-elliptic curve of the cosmology becomes an even polynomial, at worst after a projective transformation of its variables. It is relatively straightforward to derive the condition on the curve that it be of Battaglini type, either by brute force, using Maple, where it comes down to determining a resultant of two complicated polynomials in one variable, one of degree twenty and the other of degree twelve. Alternatively we can apply some results given in the masterwork of Igor Dolgachev and express the required condition as the product of fifteen polynomials, each homogeneous cubics in the roots of the sextic. The details are given in the appendix, together with the relation to the Igusa invariants. We first briefly discuss the cosmologies arising in the limiting case where the two quadrics of the Battaglini construction become the same.

4.1 Battaglini cosmologies defined by a single quadric

Let $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ be a non-zero symmetric tensor, so A represents the quadric with equation $A_{\alpha\beta}Z^\alpha Z^\beta = 0$ in twistor space. Up to equivalence under the general linear group of the twistor space, there are only four such quadrics, classified by the rank of the transformation from $\mathbb{T}$ to $\mathbb{T}^*$ represented by A. Given $A_{\alpha\beta}$, put $X(A)^\beta_\alpha = A_{\alpha\gamma}X^{\beta\gamma}$ and $Q_A = \mathrm{tr}(X(A)^2)$. Then Q_A vanishes identically if A has rank one, leaving three cases:

- When A has rank two, we have $A_{\alpha\beta} = 2B_{(\alpha}C_{\beta)}$ for linearly independent dual twistors B and C. Then we get:

$$X(A) = B_\alpha C_\gamma X^{\beta\gamma} + C_\alpha B_\gamma X^{\beta\gamma},$$

$$Q_A = 2(B_\alpha C_\beta X^{\alpha\beta})^2.$$

  So such quadrics give us the flat Klein cosmology, singular on the null cone of the projective line defined by the intersection of the planes where the planes represented by B and C.

- When A has rank three, we have $A_{\alpha\beta} = 2B_{(\alpha}C_{\beta)} + D_\alpha D_\beta$ for linearly independent dual twistors B, C and D. Then we get:

$$X(A) = B_\alpha C_\gamma X^{\beta\gamma} + C_\alpha B_\gamma X^{\beta\gamma} + D_\alpha D_\gamma X^{\beta\gamma},$$

$$Q_A = 2(B_\alpha C_\beta X^{\alpha\beta})^2 - 2B_\alpha C_\beta X^{\beta\gamma} X^{\alpha\delta} D_\gamma D_\delta.$$

  In appropriate co-ordinates, (t, x, y, z), the metric is:

$$\frac{dt^2 - dx^2 + dy^2 - dz^2}{b(a + (t+z)(x+y))}.$$

  Here a and b are non-zero constants.

  The Einstein tensor is $\dfrac{4aduds - (sdu - uds)^2}{2(a+us)^2}$, where $u = t + z$ and $s = x + y$. As an endomorphism, the Einstein tensor has square zero and rank two. Geometrically, there is preferred completely null two-plane given by $t + z = x + y = 0$, corresponding to the twistor that is the vertex of the quadric cone given by $A_{\alpha\beta}$. Also the metric is singular when $(t + z)(x + y) = -a$, a null hypersurface. There is a preferred conic in the space-time.

- When the quadric has rank four. In suitable coordinates the metric can be taken to be the spherically symmetric metric:

$$g = \frac{dt^2 - dx^2 - dy^2 - dz^2}{x^2 + y^2 + z^2}.$$

  The Einstein tensor G is:

$$g + \frac{2}{(x^2+y^2+z^2)^2}((ydz - zdy)^2 + (zdx - xdz)^2 + (xdy - ydx)^2).$$

  The Einstein endomorphism has minimal polynomial $\lambda^2 - 1$.

4.2 The Battaglini space-times of a pair of quadrics in twistor space

The general hyper-elliptic cosmology possessing an extra involution is given by a beautiful construction due to Guiseppe Battaglini [3, 4, 5]. Fix a pair of quadrics in twistor space, $\mathbb{T}$, so generically these correspond to a quartet of conformal geodesics in space-time, two for each quadric. Also these quadrics generically intersect in an elliptic curve. Now consider a point of the Klein quadric, so a complex projective line in the twistor space. This line generically intersects each quadric in a pair of points. Now impose the condition that each pair of points is harmonically separated with respect to the other pair. This determines a three dimensional family of lines: an hyper-surface in the Klein quadric.

Theorem 7. *The completion of the space of such lines is the intersection with the Klein quadric of a quadric in the projective space of* $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$ *and the quadric pencil formed by this quadric together with the Klein quadric gives an hyper-elliptic curve of genus two with an extra involution. Further all such quadric pencils and all hyper-elliptic curves with an extra involution are obtained in this way. The corresponding quadric cosmologies with the quadric intersection as their conformal infinities are called the Battaglini cosmologies.*

If the two quadrics are represented by symmetric tensors $A_{\alpha\beta}$ and $B_{\alpha\beta}$, then the equation of the Battaglini quadric, denoted $AB(X, X)$ in complex projective five-space is

$$A_{\alpha\gamma}B_{\beta\delta}X^{\beta\gamma}X^{\alpha\delta} = 0, \quad X^{\alpha\beta} = -X^{\beta\alpha}.$$

Note that the Battaglini quadric depends on the specific quadrics, not just on the pencil that they define. Also note that we can repeat this construction, dropping the condition that the cross-ratio of the four intersection points be -1 and replacing it by the requirement that the cross-ratio be a fixed complex number. We call the resulting cosmologies generalized Battaglini cosmologies.

Theorem 8. *The generalized Battaglini cosmologies are the intersection with the Klein quadric of a singular quartic hypersurface.*

Proof We just calculate the condition directly, giving the relation:

$$AB(X, X)^2 = tAA(X, X)BB(X, X).$$

Here $AB(X,X)$ is the usual Battaglini quadric, defined by quadrics A and B in twistor space, whereas $AA(X,X)$ and $BB(X,X)$ are the Battaglini quadrics, defined by putting B equal to A and A equal to B, respectively. Also $t-1$ is the cross-ratio in question, so t vanishes in the standard Battaglini case.

The intersection E of the two quadrics A and B in $\mathbb{PT}$ is an elliptic curve. Denote by C the elliptic curve with equation $z^2 = \det(xA + yB)$. Geometrically C is the space of pairs consisting of a quadric of the pencil defined by A and B, together with a choice of one family of lines lying on that quadric. Define a map $\rho : C \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ from C into the automorphism group of E as follows. Fix a point $c \in C$, so a quadric and a choice of one of the (at most) two families of lines on the quadric. Now let $x \in E$ be given. Then the point x lies on a unique line ℓ_x in this family. Then ℓ_x intersects E in another point, denoted $\rho(c)(x)$. Then $\rho(c)$ is an involution for all $c \in C$, so the image of ρ does not lie in the connected component of the identity. Moreover, ρ is one-to-one, so it gives C the structure of an $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$-torsor.

The tangent map $\tau : E \to \mathbb{K}$ associates to each point x of E the line tangent to E at x gives a mapping from E into the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2,\mathbb{T})$ which is identified with the Klein quadric $\mathbb{K}$ under the Plücker embedding.

Any quadric $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{PT}$ is ruled by two families of lines. A point $X \in \mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$ determines a line on the quadric q if the endomorphism $X.q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ is nilpotent of order two. On $\mathbb{K}$, this quadratic condition is reducible, having two irreducible components $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}), \beta(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{K}$ consisting of the α and β lines on $\mathbb{Q}$. Each of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$ is the intersection with $\mathbb{K}$ of an eigenspace of the Hodge duality endomorphism of $\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ associated to the quadratic form q (projectively a 2-plane). In other words, each of the two families of lines on the quadric defines a conic in $\mathbb{K}$. At each point x of $\mathbb{Q}$, the tangent 2-plane to $\mathbb{Q}$ at x determines a dual twistor. So each point of $\mathbb{Q}$ gives both a twistor and an incident dual twistor: thus a null geodesic. This null geodesic contains one line from each of the two families of lines ruling the conic, and so connects a point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ and a point of $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$. Conversely, each point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) \times \beta(\mathbb{Q})$ determines a null geodesic: every point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ is null related to every point of $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$. Each such null geodesic determines a unique twistor of the quadric $\mathbb{Q}$ itself. The correspondence $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) \times \beta(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism.

Now suppose we are in the situation of two quadrics $\mathbb{Q}_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}_1$ in $\mathbb{PT}$. Let $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{Q}_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ be the map that associates to each $x \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ the α-line through x, and $\beta_0 : \mathbb{Q}_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ to map that associates the β-line through x. Also define $\alpha_1, \beta_1 : \mathbb{Q}_1 \to \mathbb{K}$. Each point x of their intersection E determines a pair of

tangent planes $T_x\mathbb{Q}_0$ and $T_x\mathbb{Q}_1$ to each of the two quadrics. So $(x, T_x\mathbb{Q}_0)_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a null geodesic in $\mathbb{K}$ that contains the three points $\tau(x)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\alpha_0(x)$, and $\beta_0(x)$. Similarly, $(x, T_x\mathbb{Q}_1)_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a null geodesic that contains the points $\tau(x)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\alpha_1(x)$, and $\beta_1(x)$.

Theorem 9. *For $Z \in E$, the null geodesics $(Z, T_Z\mathbb{Q}_i)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $i = 0, 1$, lie on the Fano variety associated to the Battaglini quadric in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$.*

Proof. The points of $(Z, T_Z\mathbb{Q}_i)_{\mathbb{K}}$ are of the form $Z \wedge Z'$ where $Z' \in \mathbb{T}$ is such that $q_i(Z, Z') = 0$. So $(q_0 \oslash q_1)(Z \wedge Z', Z \wedge Z') = 0$ as well. □

4.3 The cosmology of Alan Nadel

If $q_0(Z)$ and $q_1(Z)$ are generic quadrics in projective twistor space, with q_0 non-degenerate, then there exist co-ordinates $(Z^1, Z^2, Z^3, Z^4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$, for the points Z of twistor space, such that the quadrics take the diagonal form:

$$q_0(Z) = (Z^1)^2 + (Z^2)^2 + (Z^3)^2 + (Z^4)^2,$$

$$q_1(Z) = a_1(Z^1)^2 + a_2(Z^2)^2 + a_3(Z^3)^2 + a_4(Z^4)^2.$$

Here the parameters $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in \mathbb{C}^4$ are pairwise distinct and give the branch points $x : y$ of the elliptic curve:

$$z^2 = \det(xq_0 - yq_1) = \det(q_0)(x^4 - s_1x^3y + s_2x^2y^2 - s_3xy^3 + s_4y^4).$$

The j-invariant of the elliptic curve is determined by the cross ratio $\alpha = \dfrac{(a_1 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)}{(a_1 - a_4)(a_2 - a_3)}$ and is invariant under permutations of the roots (see Appendix 6.4). This elliptic curve is isomorphic to the curve of intersection of the two quadrics. It is shown in the Appendix 6.3 that the Battaglini quadric, $Q(X)$ in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$ associated to the pair (q_0, q_1) has the equation, $Q(X) = 0$, where we have:

$$Q(X) = (a_1+a_2)(X^{12})^2+(a_1+a_3)(X^{13})^2+(a_1+a_4)(X^{14})^2+(a_3+a_4)(X^{34})^2+(a_4+a_2)(X^{42})^2+(a_2+a_3)(X^{23})^2.$$

Here we are using standard co-ordinates $X^{ij} = -X^{ji}$ to represent a point X for $\Omega^2(\mathbb{T})$, for which the Klein quadric takes the customary form:

$$G(X, X) = 2(X^{12}X^{34} + X^{13}X^{42} + X^{14}X^{23}) = 0.$$

Then the characteristic polynomial of endomorphism $G^{-1}Q$ is:

$$(t^2 - \alpha)(t^2 - \beta)(t^2 - \gamma) = 0,$$

$$(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = ((a_1 + a_2)(a_3 + a_4),\ \ (a_1 + a_3)(a_4 + a_2),\ \ \gamma = (a_1 + a_4)(a_2 + a_3)).$$

Note that this characteristic polynomial can be written directly in terms of the coefficients of the characteristic polynomial $\det(x - yq_0^{-1}q_1)$, given above and and so directly in terms of traces of powers of the endomorphism $q_0^{-1}q_1$:

$$(t^2 - \alpha)(t^2 - \beta)(t^2 - \gamma) = t^6 - 2s_2t^4 - (4s_4 - s_3s_1 - s_2^2)t^2 + s_4s_1^2 + s_3^2 - s_3s_2s_1.$$

The fact that this polynomial only depends on the square of the variable t shows that the hyper-elliptic curve of the quadric pencil defined by G and Q has an extra involution. Note that the elliptic curve $y^2 = (u-\alpha)(u-\beta)(u-\gamma)$ has the same j-invariant as does the intersection $q_0 \cap q_1$.

The Nadel cosmology is the special case:

$$q_1 = \omega(Z^1)^2 + (Z^3)^2 + \omega^2(Z^4)^2, \quad \omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0, \quad \omega^3 = 1.$$

So here we have $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (\omega, 0, 1, \omega^2)$ and the cross-ratio is:

$$\frac{(a_1 - a_3)(a_2 - a_4)}{(a_1 - a_4)(a_2 - a_3)} = \frac{(\omega - 1)(0 - \omega^2)}{(\omega - \omega^2)(0 - 1)} = -\omega.$$

Also we have: $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (-\omega^2,\ \ -\omega,\ \ -1)$, so the characteristic polynomial of the endomorphism $q_0^{-1}q_1$ is $t^6 + 1$. So in this case the elliptic curve of intersection of the two quadrics in twister space corresponds to the equianharmonic cross-ratio, so has j invariant zero. This means that the elliptic curve corresponds to the quotient of $\mathbb{C} = \mathbb{R}^2$ by a regular hexagonal lattice. The Battaglini quadric is then:

$$Q(X) = \omega(X^{12})^2 - (X^{34})^2 + \omega^2(X^{42})^2 - (X^{13})^2) + (X^{23})^2 - (X^{14})^2.$$

$$X^{12}X^{34} + X^{13}X^{42} + X^{14}X^{23} = 0.$$

Put $X^{12} = P+iQ$, $X^{34} = iP+Q$, $X^{13} = R+iS$, $X^{42} = iR+S$, $X^{14} = T+iU$, $X^{23} = iT + U$. Then we have:

$$P^2 + Q^2 + R^2 + S^2 + T^2 + U^2 = 0,$$

$$\omega(P^2 - Q^2) + \omega^2(R^2 - S^2) + T^2 - U^2 = 0.$$

These equations define precisely the Fano projective three-manifold studied by Nadel. So we have the pivotal theorem of Nadel [52]:

Theorem 10. *The Nadel cosmology has for its conformal infinity the Battaglini manifold associated to the intersection of quadrics in complex projective three-space, whose elliptic curve of intersection has j-invariant zero. The conformal infinity is a Fano manifold which has a unique Kähler-Einstein metric.*

4.4 Battaglini cosmologies

If $A, B \in \mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathbb{T}^*$ be two non-zero elements of $\mathrm{Sym}^2 \mathbb{T}^*$.

The intersection E of the two quadrics in $\mathbb{PT}$ is an elliptic curve. Define a map $\rho : C \to \mathrm{Aut}(X)$ from C into the automorphism group of E as follows. Each $c \in C$ determines a quadric and a choice of one of the two families of lines on the quadric. So the point x lies on a unique line ℓ in this family. Then ℓ intersects c in another point, denoted $\rho(c)x$. Then $\rho(c)$ is an involution for all $c \in C$, so the image of ρ does not lie in the connected component of the identity. Moreover, ρ is one-to-one, so it gives C the structure of an $\mathrm{Aut}_0(X)$-torsor.

The tangent map $\tau : E \to \mathbb{K}$ associates to each point x of E the line tangent to E at x gives a mapping from E into the Grassmannian $\mathrm{Gr}(2, \mathbb{T})$ which is identified with the Klein quadric $\mathbb{K}$ under the Plücker embedding.

Any quadric $\mathbb{Q}$ in $\mathbb{PT}$ is ruled by two families of lines. A point $X \in \mathbb{K} \subset \mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$ determines a line on the quadric q if the endomorphism $X.q : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$ is nilpotent of order two. On $\mathbb{K}$, this quadratic condition is reducible, having two irreducible components $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}), \beta(\mathbb{Q}) \subset \mathbb{K}$ consisting of the α and β lines on $\mathbb{Q}$. Each of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ and $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$ is the intersection with $\mathbb{K}$ of an eigenspace of the Hodge duality endomorphism of $\Omega^2\mathbb{T}$ associated to the quadratic form q (projectively a 2-plane). In other words, each of the two families of lines on the quadric defines a conic in $\mathbb{K}$. At each point x of $\mathbb{Q}$, the tangent 2-plane to $\mathbb{Q}$ at x determines a dual twistor. So each point of $\mathbb{Q}$ gives both a twistor and an incident dual twistor: thus a null geodesic. This null geodesic contains one line from each of the two families of lines ruling the conic, and so connects a point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ and a point of $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$. Conversely, each point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) \times \beta(\mathbb{Q})$ determines a null geodesic: every point of $\alpha(\mathbb{Q})$ is null related to every point of $\beta(\mathbb{Q})$. Each such null geodesic determines a unique twistor of the quadric $\mathbb{Q}$ itself. The correspondence $\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) \times \beta(\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q}$ is an isomorphism.

Now suppose we are in the situation of two quadrics $\mathbb{Q}_0$ and $\mathbb{Q}_1$ in $\mathbb{PT}$. Let $\alpha_0 : \mathbb{Q}_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ be the map that associates to each $x \in \mathbb{Q}_0$ the α-line through x, and $\beta_0 : \mathbb{Q}_0 \to \mathbb{K}$ to map that associates the β-line through x. Also define $\alpha_1, \beta_1 : \mathbb{Q}_1 \to \mathbb{K}$. Each point x of their intersection E determines a pair of tangent planes $T_x\mathbb{Q}_0$ and $T_x\mathbb{Q}_1$ to each of the two quadrics. So $(x, T_x\mathbb{Q}_0)_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a null geodesic in $\mathbb{K}$ that contains the three points $\tau(x)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\alpha_0(x)$, and $\beta_0(x)$. Similarly, $(x, T_x\mathbb{Q}_1)_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a null geodesic that contains the points $\tau(x)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $\alpha_1(x)$, and $\beta_1(x)$.

Theorem 11. *For $Z \in E$, the null geodesics $(Z, T_Z\mathbb{Q}_i)_{\mathbb{K}}$, $i = 0, 1$, lie on the*

Fano variety associated to the Battaglini quadric in $\mathbb{P}(\Omega^2\mathbb{T})$.

Proof. The points of $(Z, T_Z\mathbb{Q}_i)_{\mathbb{K}}$ are of the form $Z \wedge Z'$ where $Z' \in \mathbb{T}$ is such that $q_i(Z, Z') = 0$. So $(q_0 \oslash q_1)(Z \wedge Z', Z \wedge Z') = 0$ as well. □

4.5 Outlook

The work here could lead in many directions. We briefly mention some of these.

- The gauge theory of the Q-curvature needs to be finalized.
- We have discussed one aspect of the "multi-verse" in connection with the osculating space-times. Another aspect is the possibility of a space of universes. For example in connection with one-dimensional cosmologies (in the simplest case these are by definition curves equipped with a projective structure), it is often natural to consider these cosmologies as Legendrian sub-manifolds of a contact three-manifold. The ambient contact three manifold here forms the framework in which the various possible universes live.
- There is apparently a natural hierarchy of universes, wherein we start with one universe, go to its conformal infinity, go to the latter's caustic hypersurface, where the conformal infinity goes null, then go to the part of the caustic hypersurface which acquires a second null direction and so on. This seems worthy of exploration.

5 Appendix: Battaglini invariants

5.1 The Battaglini condition and its relation to the invariants of Jun-Ichi Igusa

Let x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5 and x_6 be indeterminants. By definition, the Battaglini cubics are the following fifteen cubics, each labeled by a partition of the numbers $1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$ into three disjoint (unordered) subsets of two (unordered) elements:

$$F_{\{(12),(35),(46)\}} = x_2x_6x_4-x_5x_2x_3-x_2x_1x_6+x_5x_1x_2-x_2x_1x_4+x_3x_1x_2-x_3x_6x_4+x_4x_1x_6+x_4x_3x_5-x_5x_1x_3+x_5x_6x_3-x_4x_6x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(13),(26),(45)\}} = x_2x_6x_4-x_4x_2x_5-x_2x_1x_6+x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_1x_2-x_3x_6x_2+x_4x_1x_5-x_4x_1x_3+x_4x_3x_5-x_5x_1x_3-x_4x_6x_5+x_3x_1x_6,$$

$$F_{\{(14),(26),(35)\}} = x_2x_1x_4-x_5x_2x_3-x_2x_6x_4-x_2x_1x_6+x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_6x_2-x_4x_1x_5-x_4x_1x_3+x_4x_3x_5+x_5x_1x_3-x_5x_6x_3+x_4x_1x_6,$$

$$F_{\{(15),(26),(34)\}} = x_2x_6x_4-x_4x_2x_3-x_2x_1x_6+x_5x_1x_2-x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_6x_2-x_4x_1x_5+x_4x_1x_3+x_4x_3x_5-x_5x_1x_3-x_3x_6x_4+x_5x_1x_6,$$

$$F_{\{(13),(24),(56)\}} = x_4x_2x_5-x_4x_2x_3-x_2x_1x_4-x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_1x_2+x_2x_6x_4+x_5x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_3-x_4x_6x_5-x_5x_1x_3-x_3x_1x_6+x_5x_6x_3,$$

$$F_{\{(13),(25),(46)\}} = x_4x_2x_5-x_2x_6x_4-x_5x_1x_2+x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_1x_2-x_5x_2x_3-x_4x_1x_3-x_4x_6x_5+x_5x_1x_3-x_3x_1x_6+x_3x_6x_4+x_4x_1x_6,$$

$$F_{\{(14),(25),(36)\}} = x_2x_1x_4-x_4x_2x_5-x_5x_1x_2+x_5x_6x_2-x_3x_6x_2+x_5x_2x_3-x_4x_1x_3-x_4x_1x_6-x_5x_6x_3+x_3x_6x_4+x_3x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(15),(24),(36)\}} = x_4x_2x_3-x_4x_2x_5+x_5x_1x_2-x_2x_1x_4-x_3x_6x_2+x_2x_6x_4-x_5x_1x_6-x_5x_1x_3+x_3x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_5+x_5x_6x_3-x_3x_6x_4,$$

$$F_{\{(14),(23),(56)\}} = x_2x_1x_4-x_4x_2x_3-x_5x_6x_2-x_3x_1x_2+x_3x_6x_2+x_5x_2x_3+x_5x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_3-x_4x_1x_6+x_4x_6x_5-x_5x_6x_3-x_4x_1x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(15),(23),(46)\}} = x_4x_2x_3-x_2x_6x_4+x_5x_1x_2-x_3x_1x_2+x_3x_6x_2-x_5x_2x_3+x_4x_6x_5-x_5x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_6+x_5x_1x_3-x_3x_6x_4-x_4x_1x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(16),(23),(45)\}} = x_4x_2x_3-x_4x_2x_5-x_3x_1x_2-x_3x_6x_2+x_5x_2x_3+x_2x_1x_6-x_5x_1x_6-x_4x_1x_6+x_4x_6x_5+x_3x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_5-x_4x_3x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(16),(25),(34)\}} = x_4x_2x_5-x_4x_2x_3-x_5x_1x_2-x_5x_6x_2+x_5x_2x_3+x_2x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_3+x_3x_6x_4+x_5x_1x_6-x_3x_1x_6-x_4x_1x_6-x_4x_3x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(16),(24),(35)\}} = x_4x_2x_3-x_2x_6x_4+x_4x_2x_5-x_2x_1x_4-x_5x_2x_3+x_2x_1x_6-x_5x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_6+x_5x_1x_3-x_3x_1x_6-x_4x_3x_5+x_5x_6x_3,$$

$$F_{\{(12),(34),(56)\}} = x_2x_1x_4-x_4x_2x_3-x_2x_1x_6-x_5x_1x_2+x_5x_6x_2+x_3x_1x_2-x_4x_1x_3+x_3x_6x_4-x_4x_6x_5+x_5x_1x_6-x_5x_6x_3+x_4x_3x_5,$$

$$F_{\{(12),(36),(45)\}} = -x_5x_1x_2+x_4x_2x_5+x_2x_1x_6-x_2x_1x_4+x_3x_1x_2-x_3x_6x_2+x_3x_6x_4-x_4x_6x_5+x_5x_6x_3-x_4x_3x_5-x_3x_1x_6+x_4x_1x_5.$$

The function $F_{\{(ab),(cd),(ef)\}}$ vanishes identically when $x_a = -x_b, x_c = -x_d$ and $x_e = -x_f$, as required. In fact, given $\{(ab), (cd), (ef)\}$, the other fourteen functions in the above list that are not $F_{\{(ab),(cd),(ef)\}}$ do not vanish identically when $x_a = -x_b, x_c = -x_d$ and $x_e = -x_f$, as is easily checked. Note that these fifteen functions only depend on the differences $x_i - x_j$. Also each function is invariant up to a scale under the action of the six-element symmetric group $\mathbb{S}_3$ (acting simultaneously on each variable), generated by the transformations $x \to 1 - x$ of order two and $x \to 1 - x^{-1}$ of order three.

Each of the cubics is non-singular except at ten points, regarded as cubics in the projective four-space represented by the difference of coordinates: for example the coordinates $x_2 - x_1, x_3 - x_1, x_4 - x_1, x_5 - x_1$ and $x_6 - x_1$. So each cubic is an example of a cubic primal introduced by Beniamino Segre (which, in particular, parametrizes the space of Kummer surfaces). Following Igor Dolgachev, we may write each of the fifteen functions explicitly as a sum of two products of three differences [22]:

$$F_{\{(ab),(cd),(ef)\}} = (x_a - x_d)(x_b - x_e)(x_c - x_f) + (x_a - x_f)(x_b - x_c)(x_d - x_e).$$

Then in suitable co-ordinates $(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4, z_5, z_6)$ for complex projective five-space, on the four-plane where $z_1 + z_2 + z_3 + z_4 + z_5 + z_6 = 0$, we may write the equation of one of these cubics as $z_1 z_2 z_3 + z_4 z_5 z_6 = 0$. In these co-ordinates, one singular point is $a(1, 1, 1, -1, -1, -1)$, with $a \neq 0$ and then the others have exactly one of the three co-ordinates (z_1, z_2, z_3) a non-zero number b, say, and then exactly one of the three co-ordinates (z_4, z_5, z_6) non-zero, the number $-b$, giving the remaining nine singular points.

The product F of all the fifteen Battaglini cubics is a symmetric function, homogeneous of degree forty-five in the variables, $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$ and has five hundred and eight thousand, three hundred and ninety-two terms, when written out. Then F can be re-written as a polynomial $F(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6)$ of the coefficients of the homogeneous polynomial $f(t, u)$, whose roots are $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, x_5, x_6)$:

$$f(t,u) = (t-ux_1)(t-ux_2)(t-ux_3)(t-ux_4)(t-ux_5)(t-ux_6) = t^6 - s_1 t^5 u + s_2 t^4 u^2 - s_3 t^3 u^3 + s_4 t^2 u^4 - s_5 t u^5 + s_6 u^6.$$

The polynomial $F(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5, s_6)$ has one thousand three hundred and seventy terms. When $f(t, u)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the quadric pencil the vanishing of F is the condition that the quadric pencil be of the Battaglini type.

The standard invariant characterizing Battaglini pencils is perhaps that given in the paper of Tanush Shaska and Helmut Völklein and is:

$$\begin{aligned} G = {} & 4743360 J_{10} J_4^3 J_2 J_6 - 870912 J_{10} J_4^2 J_2^3 J_6 + 507384000 J_{10}^2 J_4^2 J_2 + 8748 J_{10} J_2^4 J_6^2 \\ & - 19245600 J_{10}^2 J_4 J_2^3 - 81 J_2^3 J_6^4 + 384 J_4^6 J_6 + 41472 J_{10} J_4^5 + 159 J_4^6 J_2^3 \\ & + 104976000 J_{10}^2 J_2^2 J_6 + 6048 J_4^4 J_2 J_6^2 + 12 J_2^6 J_4^3 J_6 + 29376 J_2^2 J_4^2 J_6^3 - J_2^7 J_4^4 \end{aligned}$$

$$-9331200J_{10}J_4^2J_6^2 - 54J_2^5J_4^2J_6^2 + 108J_2^4J_4J_6^3 - 1728J_4^5J_2^2J_6$$
$$-592272J_{10}J_4^4J_2^2 + 31104J_6^5 - 2099520000J_{10}^2J_4J_6 - 8910J_2^3J_4^3J_6^2$$
$$+972J_{10}J_2^6J_4^2 + 77436J_{10}J_4^3J_2^4 - 47952J_2J_4J_6^4 + 3090960J_{10}J_4J_2^2J_6^2$$
$$-5832J_{10}J_2^5J_4J_6 - 80J_4^7J_2 - 3499200J_{10}J_2J_6^3 + 1332J_2^4J_4^4J_6 - 125971200000J_{10}^3$$
$$-236196J_{10}^2J_2^5 - 6912J_4^3J_6^3 - 78J_2^5J_4^5.$$

Here J_2, J_4, J_6 and J_{10} are the Igusa invariants, of weights $6, 12, 18$ and 30, respectively [44]. Explicitly we have:

$$J_2 = -240s_6 + 40s_5s_1 - 16s_4s_2 + 6s_3^2,$$

$$J_4 = 1620s_6^2 + 12s_6(-45s_5s_1 - 42s_4s_2 + 25s_4s_1^2 + 27s_3^2 - 15s_3s_2s_1 + 4s_2^3)$$
$$+20s_5^2(15s_2 - 4s_1^2) + 4s_5(-45s_4s_3 + s_4s_2s_1 + 9s_3^2s_1 - 3s_3s_2^2) + 48s_4^3 + 4s_4^2(-3s_3s_1 + s_2^2),$$

$$J_6 = -119880s_6^3$$
$$+6s_6^2(9990s_5s_1 + 4s_4(861s_2 - 775s_1^2) - 1674s_3^2 + 5s_3(102s_2s_1 + 75s_1^3) - 16s_2^3 - 150s_2^2s_1^2)$$
$$+s_6(-40s_5^2(465s_2 + 56s_1^2) + s_5(4s_4(765s_3 + 868s_2s_1 + 400s_1^3) + 1818s_3^2s_1 - 4s_3s_2(219s_2 + 465s_1^2) + 616s_2^3s_1)$$
$$-96s_4^3 - 876s_4^2s_3s_1 + 424s_4^2s_2^2 - 640s_4^2s_2s_1^2 - 468s_4s_3^2s_2 + 330s_4s_3^2s_1^2 + 492s_4s_3s_2^2s_1 - 160s_4s_2^4 + 162s_3^4 + 60s_3^2s_2^3 - 198s_3^3s_2s_1)$$
$$+s_5^3(2250s_3 + 1600s_2s_1 - 320s_1^3)$$
$$+s_5^2(-900s_4^2 - 4s_4(465s_3s_1 + 160s_2^2 - 16s_2s_1^2) + 22s_3^2(15s_2 + 8s_1^2) + 26s_3s_2^2s_1 - 36s_2^4)$$
$$+s_5(616s_4^3s_1 + 2s_4^2(246s_3s_2 + 13s_3s_1^2 + 14s_2^2s_1) + 2s_4(-99s_3^3 - 119s_3^2s_2s_1 + 38s_3s_2^3) + 72s_3^4s_1 - 24s_3^3s_2^2)$$
$$-4s_4^4(40s_2 + 9s_1^2) + 4s_4^3(15s_3^2 + 19s_3s_2s_1 - 6s_2^3) - 8s_4^2s_3^2(3s_3s_1 - s_2^2).$$

$$J_{10} = -46656s_6^5$$
$$-s_6^4(-38880s_5s_1 + (32400s_1^2 - 62208s_2)s_4 - 34992s_3^2 + (77760s_2s_1 - 27000s_1^3)s_3 - 43200s_2^2s_1^2 - 3125s_1^6 + 22500s_2s_1^4 + 13824s_2^3)$$
$$-((-540s_1^2 + 32400s_2)s_5^2 + ((1800s_1^3 - 31968s_2s_1 + 77760s_3)s_4 - 15552s_3^2s_1 + (-46656s_2^2 - 2250s_1^4 + 31320s_2s_1^2)s_3$$
$$+2500s_1^5s_2 - 15600s_2^2s_1^3 + 21888s_2^3s_1)s_5 + 13824s_4^3 + (17280s_2^2 - 1500s_1^4 + 6480s_2s_1^2 - 46656s_3s_1)s_4^2$$
$$+((27540s_1^2 - 3888s_2)s_3^2 + (3456s_2^2s_1 + 3750s_1^5 - 19800s_2s_1^3)s_3 + 10560s_2^3s_1^2 - 2000s_2^2s_1^4 - 9216s_2^4)s_4$$
$$+8748s_3^4 + (1350s_1^3 - 21384s_2s_1)s_3^3 + (-2250s_2s_1^4 + 8640s_2^3 + 9720s_2^2s_1^2)s_3^2 + (-6912s_2^4s_1 + 1600s_2^3s_1^3)s_3$$
$$-256s_2^5s_1^2 + 1024s_2^6)s_6^3 - ((-27000s_3 - 410s_1^3 + 1800s_2s_1)s_5^3$$
$$+(-43200s_4^2 + (31320s_3s_1 + 6480s_2^2 - 8748s_2s_1^2 + 1700s_1^4)s_4 + (-15417s_1^2 + 27540s_2)s_3^2$$
$$+(-16632s_2^2s_1 - 2000s_1^5 + 12330s_2s_1^3)s_3 - 248s_2^3s_1^2 + 192s_2^4 + 50s_2^2s_1^4)s_5^2$$

$$+(21888s_4^3s_1+((-16632s_1^2+3456s_2)s_3-2250s_1^5-15264s_2^2s_1+13040s_2s_1^3)s_4^2$$
$$+(-21384s_3^3+(22896s_2s_1-1980s_1^3)s_3^2+(-10152s_2^2s_1^2+2050s_2s_1^4+5760s_2^3)s_3-160s_2^3s_1^3+640s_2^4s_1)s_4$$
$$+6318s_3^4s_1+(900s_1^4-5832s_2^2-3942s_2s_1^2)s_3^3+(4464s_2^3s_1-1020s_2^2s_1^3)s_3^2+(192s_2^4s_1^2-768s_2^5)s_3)s_5$$
$$+(192s_1^2-9216s_2)s_4^4+(8640s_3^2+(5760s_2s_1+120s_1^3)s_3-4816s_2^2s_1^2+4352s_2^3+900s_2s_1^4)s_4^3$$
$$+(-5832s_1s_3^3+(4536s_2s_1^2-8208s_2^2-825s_1^4)s_3^2+(-560s_2^2s_1^3+2496s_2^3s_1)s_3-512s_2^5+128s_2^4s_1^2)s_4^2$$
$$+((4860s_2-162s_1^2)s_3^4+(-2808s_2^2s_1+630s_2s_1^3)s_3^3+(576s_2^4-144s_2^3s_1^2)s_3^2)s_4-729s_3^6+(486s_2s_1-108s_1^3)s_3^5$$
$$+(-108s_2^3+27s_2^2s_1^2)s_3^4)s_6^2-((-320s_1^4+22500s_4+1700s_2s_1^2-2250s_3s_1-1500s_2^2)s_5^4$$
$$+(-15600s_1s_4^2+((-19800s_2+12330s_1^2)s_3+1600s_1^5-9768s_2s_1^3+13040s_2^2s_1)s_4+1350s_3^3$$
$$+(-1980s_2s_1+208s_1^3)s_3^2+(120s_2^3-160s_2s_1^4+682s_2^2s_1^2)s_3+36s_2^3s_1^3-144s_2^4s_1)s_5^3$$
$$+((10560s_2-248s_1^2)s_4^3+(9720s_3^2+(682s_1^3-10152s_2s_1)s_3-1020s_2s_1^4+5428s_2^2s_1^2-4816s_2^3)s_4^2$$
$$+(-3942s_1s_3^3+(4536s_2^2-560s_1^4+2412s_2s_1^2)s_3^2+(746s_2^2s_1^3-3272s_2^3s_1)s_3-144s_2^4s_1^2+576s_2^5)s_4$$
$$-162s_2s_3^4+(-24s_2s_1^3+108s_2^2s_1)s_3^3+(6s_2^3s_1^2-24s_2^4)s_3^2)s_5^2$$
$$+((-144s_1^3+640s_2s_1-6912s_3)s_4^4+(4464s_3^2s_1+(630s_1^4+2496s_2^2-3272s_2s_1^2)s_3+96s_2^3s_1-24s_2^2s_1^3)s_4^3$$
$$+((108s_1^2-2808s_2)s_3^3+(-356s_2s_1^3+1584s_2^2s_1)s_3^2+(-320s_2^4+80s_2^3s_1^2)s_3)s_4^2$$
$$+(486s_3^5+(72s_1^3-324s_2s_1)s_3^4+(72s_2^3-18s_2^2s_1^2)s_3^3)s_4)s_5+1024s_4^6+(-108s_1^4-512s_2^2-768s_3s_1+576s_2s_1^2)s_4^5$$
$$+((576s_2-24s_1^2)s_3^2+(72s_2s_1^3-320s_2^2s_1)s_3-16s_2^3s_1^2+64s_2^4)s_4^4$$
$$+(-108s_3^4+(-16s_1^3+72s_2s_1)s_3^3+(-16s_2^3+4s_2^2s_1^2)s_3^2)s_4^3)s_6+3125s_5^6$$
$$-(2500s_1s_4+(-2000s_1^2+3750s_2)s_3+1600s_2s_1^3-256s_1^5-2250s_2^2s_1)s_5^5$$
$$-((-2000s_2+50s_1^2)s_4^2+(-2250s_3^2+(-160s_1^3+2050s_2s_1)s_3-1020s_2^2s_1^2+900s_2^3+192s_2s_1^4)s_4$$
$$+900s_1s_3^3+(-825s_2^2-560s_2s_1^2+128s_1^4)s_3^2+(-144s_2^2s_1^3+630s_2^3s_1)s_3+27s_2^4s_1^2-108s_2^5)s_4^5$$
$$-((36s_1^3-160s_2s_1+1600s_3)s_4^3+(-1020s_3^2s_1+(-560s_2^2+746s_2s_1^2-144s_1^4)s_3+6s_2^2s_1^3-24s_2^3s_1)s_4^2$$
$$+((-24s_1^2+630s_2)s_3^3+(-356s_2^2s_1+80s_2s_1^3)s_3^2+(72s_2^4-18s_2^3s_1^2)s_3)s_4-108s_3^5+(-16s_1^3+72s_2s_1)s_3^4$$
$$+(-16s_2^3+4s_2^2s_1^2)s_3^3)s_5^3-(-256s_4^5+(-144s_2s_1^2+27s_1^4+192s_3s_1+128s_2^2)s_4^4$$
$$+((6s_1^2-144s_2)s_3^2+(80s_2^2s_1-18s_2s_1^3)s_3-16s_2^4+4s_2^3s_1^2)s_4^3$$
$$+(27s_3^4+(-18s_2s_1+4s_1^3)s_3^3+(-s_2^2s_1^2+4s_2^3)s_3^2)s_4^2)s_5^2.$$

The invariant G is of weight 90, so the following algebraic identity is perhaps not too surprising:

$$2^{11}3^9F^2+G=0.$$

So the invariant F is more economical. Also this formula shows that for the case when all roots are real, that G is non-positive and is zero only in the Battaglini case. Note that the invariant F cannot belong to the polynomial ring of Igusa, which is generated by J_2, J_4, J_6 and J_{10}, because any such polynomial (or even rational function) in the Igusa variables would have weight an integral multiple of six. Note that the last equation shows that the space of invariants $(F, J_2, J_4, J_6, J_{10})$ is a four-dimensional variety, in a weighted projective space with five variables, equipped with a natural involution.

Finally we write out the Battaglini polynomial explicitly:

$$F = b_0 + b_1 s_6 + b_2 s_6^2 + b_3 s_6^3 + b_4 s_6^4 + b_5 s_6^5 + b_6 s^6.$$

The polynomial F has total weight 45, where s_i is assigned weight i, for each $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ and 6. Here the coefficients b_i are polynomials in the variables $(s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4, s_5)$ of total weights $45 - 6i$, for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$.

- The coefficient of s_6^6 is:

$$b_6 = 15625(5s_1^2 - 12s_2)^3(5s_1^3 - 18s_1s_2 + 27s_3).$$

- The coefficient of s_6^5 is:

$$\begin{aligned}
b_5 = {} & -95040000s_1s_2^5s_4 - 5962500s_1^4s_2^4s_3 + 53156250s_1^4s_2s_3^3 + 729000000s_3s_4^3 \\
& -162000000s_2^5s_5 + 189843750s_1^4s_3^2s_5 - 468750s_1^6s_2^3s_3 + 223560000s_2^4s_3s_4 \\
& +113906250s_1^5s_3^2s_4 + 27000000s_1^2s_2^5s_3 - 162000000s_1s_2^3s_4^2 + 253125000s_1^4s_2s_4s_5 \\
& -607500000s_1^2s_2^2s_4s_5 + 1093500000s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^2 - 911250000s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_5 - 17625000s_1^5s_2^3s_4 \\
& -24300000s_1s_2^4s_3^2 - 425250000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4 - 337500000s_1^5s_2s_3s_5 + 1012500000s_1^3s_2^2s_3s_5 \\
& -972000000s_1s_2^3s_3s_5 + 546750000s_1^2s_3^3s_4 + 2343750s_1^7s_2^2s_4 - 11250000s_1^6s_2s_3s_4 - 364500000s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4 \\
& +75000s_1^5s_2^5 + 720000s_1^3s_2^6 - 91125000s_1^3s_3^4 - 43740000s_2^3s_3^3 - 2343750s_1^7s_4^2 + 135000000s_1^3s_4^3 \\
& +5184000s_2^6s_3 - 16875000s_1^6s_3^3 - 3456000s_1s_2^7 - 3375000s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_4 + 113400000s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4 \\
& +23625000s_1^5s_2^2s_3^2 - 89100000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2 + 145800000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3 + 33750000s_1^5s_2s_4^2 \\
& +60300000s_1^3s_2^4s_4 - 40500000s_1^3s_2^2s_4^2 - 486000000s_1s_2s_4^3 + 105468750s_1^6s_2^2s_5 - 331875000s_1^4s_2^3s_5 \\
& +418500000s_1^2s_2^4s_5 - 11718750s_1^8s_2s_5 + 35156250s_1^7s_3s_5 - 1093500000s_1s_3^2s_4^2 - 278437500s_1^4s_3s_4^2 \\
& +1093500000s_2^2s_3^2s_5 + 486000000s_2^3s_4s_5 - 35156250s_1^6s_4s_5.
\end{aligned}$$

- The coefficient of s_6^4 is:

$$b_4 = 262500s_1^4s_2^5s_3s_4 - 19440000s_1s_2^3s_3^3s_5 - 32812500s_1^6s_2s_3s_5^2 + 98550000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2$$
$$- 1162500s_1^5s_2^3s_3^2s_4 - 17212500s_1^4s_2^2s_3^3s_4 + 13320000s_1^3s_2^4s_3^2s_4 - 459000000s_1^2s_2s_4^3s_5$$
$$- 10125000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^3s_5 - 3234375s_1^6s_2^2s_3^2s_5 + 238140000s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^3 - 648000000s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_5^2$$
$$- 253800000s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4^3 + 168750s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_4^2 + 91125000s_1^3s_3^3s_4s_5 + 278437500s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_5^2$$
$$- 30780000s_1^2s_2^5s_4s_5 + 364500000s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5 - 238140000s_2^3s_3^2s_4s_5 - 3210000s_1^3s_2^5s_3s_5$$
$$+ 7149600s_1s_2^5s_3^2s_4 - 7593750s_1^5s_2s_3^3s_5 + 13250000s_1^4s_2^4s_4s_5 - 2218750s_1^6s_2^3s_4s_5$$
$$- 33750000s_1^4s_2^2s_4^2s_5 - 729000000s_1^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5 - 8496000s_1s_2^6s_3s_5 - 21870000s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_4$$
$$- 54675000s_1^2s_2s_3^4s_5 - 151875000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_5^2 - 4912500s_1^4s_2^3s_3s_4^2 + 607500000s_1s_2s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$+ 178200s_1^2s_2^5s_3^3 + 729000000s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_5^2 - 29531250s_1^5s_3s_4^2s_5 + 33750000s_1^2s_2^4s_3^2s_5$$
$$+ 1406250s_1^6s_3^2s_4s_5 + 1734375s_1^6s_2^2s_3s_4^2 + 56250000s_1^5s_2s_4s_5^2 - 9562500s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^3$$
$$- 3523200s_1^2s_2^6s_3s_4 - 91125000s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_4^2 + 72900000s_1^2s_2^3s_4^2s_5 - 34020000s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_4^2$$
$$- 270000000s_1^3s_2^2s_4s_5^2 + 459000000s_1s_2^3s_4s_5^2 + 42187500s_1^4s_3s_4s_5^2 + 1743750s_1^5s_2^4s_3s_5$$
$$- 23490000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^3s_4 - 135000000s_2^3s_5^3 + 43740000s_3^3s_4^3 - 486000000s_4^4s_5 + 1152000s_2^8s_5$$
$$+ 162000000s_1s_4^5 - 16125000s_1^5s_4^4 + 390000s_1^3s_2^6s_3^2 - 1040625s_1^4s_2^4s_3^3 + 40320s_1s_2^7s_3^2$$
$$+ 6561000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^5 + 1518750s_1^5s_2^2s_3^4 - 76800s_1^2s_2^8s_3 + 337920s_1s_2^8s_4 - 16000s_1^3s_2^7s_4$$
$$- 1944000s_1s_2^4s_3^4 - 1215000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^4 + 2250000s_1^4s_2^3s_3^2s_5 + 1718750s_1^6s_2s_4^2s_5$$
$$+ 79380000s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4^2 + 12150000s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_4 + 1125000s_1^6s_2s_3^3s_4 + 250560s_2^6s_3^3$$
$$- 9216s_2^9s_3 - 874800s_2^3s_3^5 + 6144s_1s_2^{10} - 928125s_1^6s_3^5 + 1215000s_1^4s_2s_3^5 - 124000s_1^3s_2^5s_4^2$$
$$- 1875000s_1^7s_3^2s_4^2 - 65610000s_1s_3^4s_4^2 + 8343750s_1^6s_3s_4^3 + 18984375s_1^4s_3^4s_5$$
$$+ 162000000s_2^2s_4^3s_5 + 195750000s_1^3s_3^2s_4^3 - 271350000s_1^2s_3s_4^4 + 2812500s_1^7s_3^3s_5$$
$$- 10546875s_1^5s_3^2s_5^2 - 125000s_1^5s_2^4s_4^2 + 58500000s_1^3s_2s_4^4 + 91500000s_1^3s_2^4s_5^2$$
$$- 156250s_1^7s_2s_4^3 + 9765625s_1^6s_5^3 - 1093500000s_2^2s_3s_4s_5^2 + 911250000s_1s_3^2s_4s_5^2$$
$$+ 168750000s_1^2s_2^2s_5^3 - 70312500s_1^4s_2s_5^3 - 168750000s_1^3s_4^2s_5^2 - 911250000s_3s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$+ 206550000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5 - 80156250s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_4s_5 + 18562500s_1^5s_2^2s_3s_4s_5$$
$$+ 45360000s_1s_2^4s_3s_4s_5 - 54000000s_1^3s_2^3s_3s_4s_5 - 468750s_1^7s_2s_3s_4s_5 + 249750000s_1^3s_2s_3s_4^2s_5$$
$$+ 972000000s_1s_3s_4^3s_5 - 5467500s_1^3s_3^6 - 1082880s_2^7s_3s_4 - 223560000s_2s_3s_4^4 + 271350000s_2^4s_3s_5^2$$

$$+31680000s_1s_2^4s_4^3 - 7452000s_2^5s_3^2s_5 + 13100000s_1^3s_2^3s_4^3 + 65610000s_2^2s_3^4s_5$$
$$+5859375s_1^7s_2^2s_5^2 + 95040000s_1s_2^2s_4^4 - 3906250s_1^7s_4s_5^2 - 95040000s_2^4s_4^2s_5$$
$$+390625s_1^8s_4^2s_5 - 546750000s_2s_3^3s_5^2 - 3025000s_1^5s_2^2s_4^3 - 245000s_1^4s_2^6s_5 - 42812500s_1^5s_2^3s_5^2$$
$$+28224000s_2^6s_4s_5 + 32805000s_1^2s_3^5s_4 - 45900000s_1s_2^5s_5^2 + 95625000s_1^4s_4^3s_5 - 24105600s_2^5s_3s_4^2$$
$$+9720000s_2^4s_3^3s_4 + 6662400s_1s_2^6s_4^2 + 432000s_1^2s_2^7s_5 + 9112500s_1^5s_3^4s_4 - 62015625s_1^4s_3^3s_4^2.$$

- The coefficient of s_6^3 is:

$$b_3 = 484000s_1s_2^6s_4s_5^2 - 6875000s_1^6s_3s_4^2s_5^2 + 1500000s_1^5s_2^2s_4^2s_5^2 + 91125000s_2^2s_3^3s_4s_5^2$$
$$-72900000s_1s_2^2s_4^3s_5^2 + 20047500s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_5^2 + 3950000s_1^4s_2^3s_4^3s_5 + 5900000s_1^3s_2^3s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-14040000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^3s_5^2 + 270000000s_1^2s_2s_4^2s_5^3 + 648000000s_1^2s_3s_4^3s_5^2 - 6260000s_1^2s_2^4s_4^3s_5$$
$$+3125000s_1^6s_2s_4s_5^3 + 1012500s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_5^2 - 1250000s_1^7s_3^2s_4s_5^2 - 42187500s_1^2s_2s_3s_5^4$$
$$+23625000s_1^4s_3^3s_4s_5^2 + 425250000s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^3 + 91500000s_1^2s_2^3s_4s_5^3 - 39375000s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_5^3$$
$$-113400000s_2s_3s_4^3s_5^2 - 24840000s_2^5s_3s_4s_5^2 + 3733000s_1^2s_2^6s_3s_5^2 + 109350000s_1s_2^4s_3s_5^3$$
$$-91500000s_1^3s_2s_4^3s_5^2 + 30937500s_1^4s_3^2s_4^3s_5 + 19440000s_1s_3^3s_4^3s_5 + 905760s_2^6s_3^2s_4s_5$$
$$-79380000s_2^2s_3^2s_4^3s_5 + 13500000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^3s_5^2 + 10880s_1^2s_2^8s_4s_5 - 2530000s_1^3s_2^5s_4s_5^2$$
$$+151875000s_1^2s_3^2s_4s_5^3 - 312500s_1^7s_2s_4^2s_5^2 + 25000s_1^5s_2^3s_4^4 + 116640s_1^2s_2^2s_3^7 - 5120s_1s_2^9s_4^2$$
$$+60928s_2^8s_3s_4^2 + 24105600s_2^2s_3s_4^5 - 4788000s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_4^3 - 162000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^4s_4^2 - 871560s_1^2s_2^3s_3^5s_4$$
$$+16464000s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4^4 - 102500s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_4^4 + 137500s_1^5s_2^2s_3^2s_4^3 + 15000s_1^4s_2^4s_3s_4^3$$
$$-287500s_1^6s_2^2s_4^3s_5 - 109350000s_1^3s_3s_4^4s_5 - 155250000s_1^3s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2 + 129276s_2^4s_3^5s_4$$
$$-1836800s_1s_2^5s_4^4 + 5332500s_1^3s_3^4s_4^3 - 31104s_2^7s_3^3s_4 - 29375000s_1^4s_2^2s_4s_5^3 - 607500s_1^3s_3^5s_4s_5$$
$$+142500s_1^4s_2^3s_3^3s_4^2 + 52160s_1^2s_2^7s_3s_4^2 - 165200s_1^3s_2^5s_3^2s_4^2 - 4301100s_1^2s_2s_3^5s_4^2 - 2048s_2^{11}s_5$$
$$-5184000s_3s_4^6 + 91125000s_3^4s_5^3 - 2740000s_2^6s_5^3 + 742500000s_4^3s_5^3 - 16110000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4^4$$
$$+1220400s_1^2s_2^4s_3^3s_4^2 - 680832s_2^5s_3^3s_4^2 + 2232s_1s_2^7s_3^4 + 1787500s_1^5s_2^3s_3^2s_5^2$$
$$+1012500s_1^6s_3^4s_4s_5 + 54675000s_1s_3^4s_4s_5^2 - 61750000s_1^3s_2^3s_3s_5^3 + 874800s_3^5s_4^3$$
$$+2740000s_1^3s_4^6 - 256s_2^9s_3^3 - 5832s_2^3s_3^7 - 175000s_1^7s_4^5 - 109350s_1^3s_3^8 + 3996s_2^6s_3^5$$
$$-1012500000s_1s_3s_4^2s_5^3 + 1882500s_1^4s_3s_4^5 - 1053000s_1^4s_3^5s_4^2 - 5600s_1^3s_2^6s_4^3 - 318750s_1^5s_3^2s_4^4$$
$$-5510400s_1s_2^3s_4^5 + 59985000s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_4s_5^2 - 29700000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5^2 + 1375000s_1^6s_2^2s_3s_4s_5^2$$

$$+1142500s_1^4s_2^4s_3^2s_4s_5-5490000s_1^2s_2^5s_3^2s_4s_5-1687500s_1^5s_2^2s_3^3s_4s_5+7380000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^3s_4s_5$$
$$-1890000s_1s_2^4s_3^3s_4s_5-6075000s_1^4s_2s_3^4s_4s_5+12150000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^4s_4s_5-10700000s_1^5s_2s_3s_4^3s_5$$
$$-11400000s_1^3s_2^2s_3s_4^3s_5+1304320s_1s_2^7s_3s_4s_5-226800s_1^3s_2^6s_3s_4s_5-17125000s_1^4s_2^3s_3s_4s_5^2$$
$$-2700000s_1^3s_2s_3^3s_4^2s_5+8662500s_1^4s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5-23220000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^2s_4^2s_5-81540000s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4s_5^2$$
$$-45360000s_1s_2s_3s_4^4s_5+10125000s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^2-249750000s_1s_2^2s_3s_4s_5^3+81540000s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_4^3s_5$$
$$-206550000s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2+44437500s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^2s_5^2-850000s_1^5s_2^3s_3s_4^2s_5+440000s_1^3s_2^4s_3s_4^2s_5$$
$$+5212800s_1s_2^5s_3s_4^2s_5+362500s_1^6s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5+1024s_2^{10}s_3s_4-8505000s_1^2s_3^3s_4^4-225000s_1^6s_3^3s_4^3$$
$$-1312200s_1s_3^6s_4^2-6264s_1^2s_2^5s_3^5-9720000s_2s_3^3s_4^4-37422s_1s_2^4s_3^6+1545000s_1^5s_2s_4^5+656100s_1^2s_3^7s_4$$
$$+7452000s_1s_3^2s_4^5+1035904s_2^6s_3s_4^3+9234000s_1s_2s_3^4s_4^3-437400s_1s_2^2s_3^6s_4+155250000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_5^3$$
$$+1187500s_1^7s_3s_4^3s_5+378000s_1s_2^5s_3^2s_5^2-9234000s_2^3s_3^4s_4s_5-1093500s_1^2s_2s_3^6s_5-1984500s_1^3s_2^2s_3^5s_5$$
$$-48600s_1s_2^3s_3^5s_5-8662500s_1^3s_2^4s_3^2s_5^2+252000s_1^2s_2^6s_4^2s_5+637500s_1^5s_2^4s_4s_5^2-927500s_1^4s_2^5s_3s_5^2$$
$$-20047500s_1^2s_3^4s_4^2s_5+21870000s_2s_3^4s_4^2s_5+1417500s_1^2s_2^4s_3^4s_5+47340000s_1^2s_2^2s_4^4s_5-1500000s_1^6s_2s_3^3s_5^2$$
$$+284472s_1s_2^5s_3^4s_4-494112s_1s_2^6s_3^2s_4^2-4444000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_4^3-8064s_1s_2^8s_3^2s_4+3870000s_1^4s_2s_3^3s_4^3$$
$$-27000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^5s_4+9000s_1^3s_2^4s_3^4s_4+50000s_1^6s_2s_3s_4^4+2052000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3-14364000s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4^4$$
$$+15720s_1^2s_2^6s_3^3s_4+5400s_1^3s_2^3s_3^6-6188000s_1^3s_2^2s_4^5-190976s_1s_2^7s_4^3-412000s_1^3s_2^4s_4^4$$
$$+1570240s_1^2s_2^5s_3s_4^3+1215000s_1^4s_3^6s_5+134000s_1^3s_2^7s_5^2-5062500s_1^5s_3^4s_5^2+8505000s_2^4s_3^3s_5^2$$
$$-32805000s_2s_3^5s_5^2-1498880s_2^7s_4^2s_5-103680s_2^5s_3^4s_5+45900000s_1^2s_4^5s_5+9937500s_1^4s_2^4s_5^3$$
$$-145800000s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2+28032s_2^8s_3^2s_5-14300000s_1^2s_2^5s_5^3+95040000s_2s_4^5s_5-31680000s_2^3s_4^4s_5$$
$$-95625000s_1s_2^3s_5^4-418500000s_1s_4^4s_5^2+379687500s_3s_4s_5^4-7812500s_1^5s_2s_5^4+12812500s_1^5s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$+70312500s_1^3s_4s_5^4+40500000s_2^2s_4^2s_5^3+51562500s_1^3s_2^2s_5^4-1562500s_1^6s_2^3s_5^3+6250000s_1^6s_3^2s_5^3$$
$$-187500s_1^6s_4^4s_5-476800s_1s_2^8s_5^2-436800s_2^7s_3s_5^2+1312200s_2^2s_3^6s_5-58500000s_2^4s_4s_5^3+5510400s_2^5s_4^3s_5$$
$$+24300000s_3^2s_4^4s_5-106496s_2^9s_4s_5+278437500s_2^2s_3s_5^4-195750000s_2^3s_3^2s_5^3-51562500s_1^4s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-189843750s_1s_3^2s_5^4-28224000s_1s_2s_4^6-247500s_1^4s_2^3s_3^4s_5+54000s_1^4s_2^5s_4^2s_5-47340000s_1s_2^4s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-253125000s_1s_2s_4s_5^4+4687500s_1^5s_3s_4s_5^3+22016s_1s_2^9s_3s_5-89520s_1^2s_2^7s_3^2s_5+4000000s_1^4s_2s_4^4s_5$$
$$-91125000s_1s_2s_3^3s_5^3+7187500s_1^5s_2^2s_3s_5^3+253800000s_2^3s_3s_4^2s_5^2+135000s_1^5s_2s_3^5s_5$$
$$+14364000s_2^4s_3^2s_4^2s_5-3712500s_1^5s_3^3s_4^2s_5+187800s_1^3s_2^5s_3^3s_5-343440s_1s_2^6s_3^3s_5$$
$$+24840000s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^5+777600s_1^3s_2s_3^6s_4+3078000s_1s_2^3s_3^4s_4^2.$$

- The coefficient of s_6^2 is:

$$b_2 = -278437500s_1^2s_3s_4^2s_5^4-5508000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_4^4s_5-4000000s_1s_2^4s_4s_5^4-175000s_1^5s_2^2s_3^3s_5^3$$
$$-14100s_1^3s_2^5s_3^2s_4s_5^2+5760000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^2s_4s_5^3+1912500s_1s_2^4s_3^3s_5^3-326400s_1^2s_2^4s_3^2s_4^3s_5$$
$$+1890000s_1s_2s_3^3s_4^4s_5-712500s_1^5s_2^3s_3s_4s_5^3+80156250s_1s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^4+16497s_1^2s_2^4s_3^6s_5$$
$$+55200s_1^3s_2^4s_3^2s_4^4+2190000s_1^3s_2^2s_4^4s_5^2+337500000s_1s_3s_4s_5^5-7575000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5^3$$
$$+1254000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3s_5+85536s_1s_2s_3^6s_4^3+48600s_1s_3^5s_4^3s_5+23490000s_2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$-40824s_1^2s_2s_3^7s_4^2-12738000s_1s_2^5s_3s_4s_5^3+5508000s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2+580500s_1^2s_2^4s_3^3s_4s_5^2$$
$$+67500s_1^4s_3^5s_4s_5^2-332500s_1^5s_2s_3^3s_4^3s_5-131250s_1^5s_2s_4^4s_5^2-283200s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^6-3750000s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_5^4$$
$$-33750s_1^2s_2^2s_3^4s_5^3-96750s_1^4s_2^2s_3^4s_4^2s_5-255150s_1^2s_3^6s_4^2s_5+245160s_2^4s_3^4s_4^2s_5$$
$$-2335500s_1s_2^3s_3^4s_4s_5^2-33750000s_1s_3^2s_4^4s_5^2-191625s_1^3s_2^4s_3^4s_5^2+6660000s_1^3s_2^4s_3s_4s_5^3$$
$$+200000s_1^5s_2^3s_4^3s_5^2-4302s_1s_2^6s_3^5s_5-4687500s_1^3s_2s_3s_5^5-40000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^4s_4^3-2916s_1s_2^2s_3^8s_4$$
$$+196500s_1^4s_2^3s_3^2s_4^3s_5+33750000s_1s_2^2s_4^2s_5^4+2475000s_1^4s_2s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2+23062500s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_5^4$$
$$+4301100s_2^2s_3^5s_4s_5^2+9562500s_2^3s_3s_4s_5^4-507600s_1s_2^7s_3s_5^3+478125s_1^6s_3^2s_4^4s_5+40500s_1^3s_2s_3^6s_5^2$$
$$+3456000s_4^7s_5+5467500s_3^6s_5^3-421875000s_4^2s_5^5+5832s_3^7s_4^3+82560s_2^9s_5^3-9765625s_1^3s_5^6+321000s_1^5s_4^7$$
$$-1152000s_1s_4^8-729s_1^3s_3^{10}+27s_2^6s_3^7+16125000s_2^4s_5^5-250560s_3^3s_4^6-52734375s_3s_5^6-180000s_1^2s_2^6s_4s_5^3$$
$$-30240s_1s_2^7s_4^2s_5^2+117120s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_5^5+139320s_1^2s_2^3s_3^3s_4^4-338040s_2^5s_3^3s_4s_5^2+720s_2^6s_3^4s_4s_5$$
$$+2322s_1s_2^5s_3^6s_4-7128s_1^2s_2^3s_3^7s_4+3552s_1s_2^7s_3^2s_4^3-150048s_2^5s_3^2s_4^3s_5-5900000s_1^2s_2^2s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$-15408s_1^2s_2^6s_3s_4^4+150048s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4^5+29375000s_1^3s_2s_4^2s_5^4+4788000s_2^3s_3^2s_4^4s_5-85536s_2^3s_3^6s_4s_5$$
$$-245160s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_4^4+16110000s_2^4s_3^2s_4s_5^3+61750000s_1^3s_3s_4^3s_5^3-144480s_2^6s_3s_4^2s_5^2+93750s_1^6s_2^2s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+6187500s_1^3s_2^3s_4s_5^4-1790625s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_5^4+750000s_1^4s_2^3s_3s_5^4+7290s_1^3s_2s_3^8s_4+12738000s_1^3s_2s_3s_4^5s_5$$
$$-5212800s_1s_2^2s_3s_4^5s_5-840s_1^2s_2^7s_3s_4s_5^2-44640s_1^3s_2^5s_3s_4^3s_5-110700s_1^3s_2s_3^5s_4^2s_5+54000000s_1s_2s_3s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$+33552s_1^2s_2^6s_3^2s_4^2s_5+131220s_1^2s_2^2s_3^6s_4s_5+2250000s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_4^3s_5^2+151680s_1s_2^6s_3^2s_4s_5^2$$
$$-11136s_1s_2^8s_3s_4^2s_5-140000s_1^4s_2^3s_3^3s_4s_5^2-5760000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^2-12150000s_1s_2s_3^4s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-4170000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2+141660s_1^3s_2^3s_3^5s_4s_5-102600s_1^4s_2s_3^6s_4s_5-23544s_1s_2^4s_3^5s_4s_5$$
$$-67500s_1^3s_2^2s_3^4s_4s_5^2+21008s_1s_2^7s_3^3s_4s_5-82692s_1^2s_2^5s_3^4s_4s_5+137088s_1s_2^5s_3^3s_4^2s_5$$
$$+3562500s_1^5s_2s_3s_4^2s_5^3+29700000s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3-4400s_1^3s_2^4s_3^3s_4^2s_5+750000s_1^6s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^3$$
$$+2700000s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4s_5^3-44437500s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4s_5^4-12150000s_2s_3^4s_4s_5^3+1762500s_1^5s_3^2s_4^3s_5^2$$

$$-905760s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^6-311850s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_4^4+2176s_1s_2^9s_4s_5^2-54000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^5s_5^2+437400s_2s_3^6s_4^2s_5$$
$$+175500s_1^5s_3^5s_4^2s_5-937500s_1^5s_2^2s_4s_5^4-2032800s_1^2s_2^3s_4^5s_5+34020000s_2^2s_3s_4^4s_5^2+6260000s_1s_2^3s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$-544s_1^2s_2^5s_3^3s_4^3-56875s_1^4s_2^2s_3^3s_4^4-444000s_1^4s_2^2s_4^5s_5+14040000s_1^2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^2+33750s_1^3s_3^4s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$+3375000s_2s_3s_4^2s_5^4+29531250s_1s_2^2s_3s_5^5-6187500s_1^4s_2s_3^4s_5^3-1050000s_1^3s_2^4s_3^4s_5^2+39375000s_1^3s_2^2s_4s_5^4$$
$$-484000s_1^2s_2s_4^6s_5-23625000s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_5^4-30937500s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_5^4-1912500s_1^3s_3^3s_4^4s_5+2250000s_1^5s_3^3s_4s_5^3$$
$$+2032800s_1s_2^5s_3^4s_5^2+8496000s_1s_3s_4^6s_5-6384s_1s_2^6s_3^4s_4^2-11880s_1^3s_2^2s_3^6s_4^2-2052000s_2^3s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-16800s_2^7s_3^2s_4^2s_5+1790625s_1^4s_3s_4^4s_5^2-54300s_1^4s_2^3s_3s_4^5-56250s_1^4s_2^4s_3^2s_5^3-3078000s_2^2s_3^4s_4^3s_5$$
$$-27540s_1^3s_2^2s_3^7s_5+1093500s_1s_3^6s_4s_5^2+73800s_1^4s_2s_3^5s_4^3-81720s_1s_2^4s_3^4s_4^3-112500s_1^4s_2s_3^4s_5^3$$
$$+74088s_1^2s_2^2s_3^5s_4^3+11400000s_1s_2^3s_3s_4^2s_5^3+112500s_1^5s_2s_3^4s_4s_5^2-59985000s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$+23220000s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^2-64896s_1s_2^6s_3s_4^3s_5-399600s_1^2s_2^3s_3^4s_4^2s_5-303750s_1^5s_2^2s_3s_4^4s_5$$
$$+2335500s_1^2s_2s_3^4s_4^3s_5+1398000s_1^3s_2^3s_3s_4^4s_5-1736250s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_4^4s_5-6561000s_3^5s_4^2s_5^2-656100s_2s_3^7s_5^2$$
$$+60480s_1^3s_3^6s_4^3-216000s_1^3s_3^2s_4^6-876000s_1^3s_2s_4^7+486s_2^5s_3^6s_5+436800s_1^2s_3s_4^7+14136s_2^7s_3^3s_5^2$$
$$-13632s_2^7s_3s_4^4+876000s_2^7s_4s_5^3+2343750s_1^4s_2^2s_5^5-2343750s_1^5s_2^2s_4^5-21200s_1^2s_2^8s_5^3-4752s_2^5s_3^5s_4^2$$
$$-53156250s_3^3s_4s_5^4+4374s_1^2s_3^9s_4-135000s_1^5s_3^6s_5^2+14300000s_1^3s_4^5s_5^2+78336s_1s_2^4s_4^6+1082880s_2s_3s_4^7$$
$$+1536s_2^{10}s_3s_5^2+250950s_1^4s_3^3s_4^5+14656s_2^6s_3^3s_4^3-12150s_1^4s_3^7s_4^2-8748s_1s_3^8s_4^2-512s_2^9s_3s_4^3$$
$$-27000000s_3s_4^5s_5^2-129276s_2s_3^5s_4^4-15625s_1^7s_4^4s_5^2+216000s_2^6s_3^2s_5^3-91500000s_1^2s_4^4s_5^3+234375s_1^5s_2^4s_5^4$$
$$+35156250s_1s_2s_5^6+23040s_2^8s_4^3s_5+18225s_1^4s_3^8s_5+89100000s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3-1035904s_2^3s_3s_4^6-93750s_1^6s_3s_4^6$$
$$+1068750s_1^5s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2+230400s_1^2s_2^5s_3s_4^2s_5^2-176250s_1^4s_2^4s_3s_4^2s_5^2-675000s_1^6s_2s_3s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$+28512s_1s_2^3s_3^6s_4^2+18090s_1^2s_2^4s_3^5s_4^2-975000s_1^5s_3s_4^5s_5+607500s_1s_2s_3^5s_5^3+25600s_1^3s_2^6s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-56250000s_1^2s_2s_4s_5^5+2656s_1^2s_2^7s_4^3s_5-217536s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_4^5-47232s_2^8s_3s_4s_5^2+255150s_1s_2^2s_3^6s_5^2$$
$$+146250s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_4^5-1920s_2^9s_3^2s_4s_5-37500s_1^4s_2^5s_4s_5^3-975000s_1^4s_2^3s_4^2s_5^3+637500s_1^2s_2^5s_3^2s_5^3$$
$$-850000s_1^6s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2+17000s_1^4s_2^4s_4^4s_5-22560s_1^2s_2^5s_4^4s_5+30780000s_1s_2s_4^5s_5^2+111500s_1^3s_2^6s_3s_5^3$$
$$+338040s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_4^5-972s_1s_2^3s_3^7s_5+249750s_1^4s_2^2s_3^5s_5^2-58590s_1s_2^5s_3^4s_5^2-2190000s_1^2s_2^4s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-18672s_1s_2^8s_3^2s_5^2+50016s_1s_2^5s_3^2s_4^4-7290s_1^2s_2s_3^8s_5-98550000s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3-7149600s_2s_3^2s_4^5s_5$$
$$+144480s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4^6+84830s_1^2s_2^6s_3^3s_5^2-202500s_1^4s_3^4s_4^3s_5-715000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^3s_5^3-23062500s_1^4s_2^3s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-24300s_1^3s_3^7s_4s_5-378000s_1^2s_3^2s_4^5s_5-16464000s_2^4s_3s_4^3s_5^2+10125000s_1s_3^3s_4^2s_5^3+117500s_1^6s_2s_4^5s_5$$
$$-1012500s_1^2s_3^4s_4s_5^3-252s_2^7s_3^5s_4+729s_1^2s_2^2s_3^9-60300000s_2s_4^5s_5^3-60000s_1^5s_3^4s_4^4-33750000s_2^2s_4s_5^5$$

$$+1498880s_1s_2^2s_4^7+6188000s_2^5s_4^2s_5^3-5332500s_2^3s_3^4s_5^3+1408s_1s_2^8s_4^4+331875000s_1s_4^3s_5^4$$
$$+2625000s_1s_2^6s_5^4+106720s_1^3s_2^3s_4^6+103680s_1s_3^4s_4^5-18984375s_1s_3^4s_5^4-78336s_2^6s_4^4s_5$$
$$-6662400s_2^2s_4^6s_5+8748s_2^2s_3^8s_5+10546875s_1^2s_3^2s_5^5+408s_2^8s_3^4s_5+312500s_1^6s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$+7812500s_1^4s_4s_5^5-13100000s_2^3s_4^3s_5^3-243s_1s_2^4s_3^8+486s_2^4s_3^7s_4+704s_2^8s_3^3s_4^2-83835s_1^2s_3^5s_4^4$$
$$+14000s_1^5s_2^2s_4^6-2625000s_1^4s_4^6s_5-12812500s_1^2s_2^3s_5^5+1944000s_3^4s_4^4s_5-1882500s_2^5s_3s_5^4$$
$$+26112s_1s_2^6s_4^5+7328s_1^3s_2^5s_4^5+62015625s_2^2s_3^3s_5^4-1593750s_1^3s_2^5s_5^4+680832s_2^2s_3^3s_4^5$$
$$-113906250s_2s_3^2s_5^5+250000s_1^6s_3^4s_5^3+1024s_2^{10}s_4^2s_5+83835s_2^4s_3^5s_5^2+1836800s_2^4s_4^5s_5.$$

- The coefficient of s_6 is:

$$b_1=-1215000s_1s_3^6s_5^4+4s_2^6s_3^5s_4^3-9112500s_2s_3^4s_5^5+1593750s_1^4s_4^5s_5^3-1220400s_2^2s_3^3s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$-312500s_1^3s_2^3s_5^6-14136s_1^2s_3^3s_4^7-40740s_2^8s_3s_5^4-23625000s_3^2s_4^2s_5^5+1053000s_2^2s_3^5s_5^4$$
$$-40320s_3^2s_4^7s_5-648s_2^4s_3^7s_5^2-14656s_2^3s_3^3s_4^6-108s_1^3s_3^8s_4^3+10182s_1^3s_3^4s_4^6-26112s_2^5s_4^6s_5$$
$$-60928s_2^2s_3s_4^8-32s_2^7s_3^3s_4^4-23040s_1s_2^3s_4^8+476800s_1^2s_4^8s_5-128s_2^9s_4^4s_5+37422s_3^6s_4^4s_5$$
$$-1120s_1^3s_2^4s_4^7-10182s_2^6s_3^4s_5^3+4752s_2^2s_3^5s_4^5+5062500s_1^2s_3^4s_5^5-486s_1s_3^6s_4^5-337920s_2s_4^8s_5$$
$$+124000s_2^2s_4^5s_5^3-1152s_2^{10}s_4s_5^3+40740s_1^4s_3s_4^8-4374s_2s_3^9s_5^2-83900s_1^3s_2^3s_3^4s_4^2s_5^2+25700s_1^5s_2s_3s_4^6s_5$$
$$+48880s_1^3s_2^3s_3^3s_4^4s_5-62370s_1^2s_2^2s_3^4s_4^4s_5+3712500s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_5^5-576s_1s_2^7s_3^3s_5^3-777600s_2s_3^6s_4s_5^3$$
$$-88500s_1^3s_2^5s_3^2s_5^4-2250000s_1s_3^2s_4^3s_5^4-32500s_1^4s_2^4s_4^3s_5^3-105468750s_1s_4^2s_5^6$$
$$-35156250s_1s_3s_5^7-8880s_1^2s_2^7s_4^2s_5^3+6075000s_1s_2s_3^4s_4s_5^4+51384s_1^2s_2^5s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-252000s_1s_2^2s_4^6s_5^2-5344s_2^8s_4^2s_5^3+3906250s_1^2s_2s_5^7+187500s_1s_2^4s_5^6-6250000s_1^3s_3^2s_5^6$$
$$+3210000s_1s_3s_4^5s_5^3+26392s_1^2s_2^6s_3s_4^3s_5^2-12500s_1^5s_2^3s_4^2s_5^4-53750s_1^4s_2^2s_4^4s_5^3$$
$$+6250s_1^6s_2s_4^4s_5^3-125000s_1^5s_2s_4^3s_5^4-13250000s_1s_2s_4^4s_5^4-9840s_1^3s_2^5s_4^4s_5^2-637500s_1^3s_3^2s_5^4s_5^2$$
$$-10800s_1^4s_3^7s_4s_5^2+40824s_2^2s_3^7s_4s_5^2+7290s_1s_3^8s_4s_5^2+1216s_2^9s_3s_4^2s_5^2+715000s_1^3s_3^3s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$+4444000s_2^3s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3+3750000s_1^4s_3^2s_4s_5^5-5320s_1^2s_2^4s_3^4s_4^3s_5+324s_1^2s_2^3s_3^7s_5^2-810s_1^3s_2s_3^8s_5^2$$
$$+2916s_1s_2^2s_3^8s_5^2-312s_2^8s_3^3s_4s_5^2+5832s_2^5s_3^5s_4s_5^2+3230s_1s_2^6s_3^4s_4s_5^2-116640s_3^7s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-178200s_3^3s_4^5s_5^2-54s_1s_2^5s_3^6s_5^2-5700s_1^5s_3^2s_4^7+162s_1s_2^4s_3^7s_4s_5-54200s_1^4s_2s_4^7s_5$$
$$+30240s_1^2s_2^2s_4^7s_5+507600s_1^3s_3s_4^7s_5+173750s_1^2s_2^6s_5^5+343440s_1s_3^3s_4^6s_5+16736s_1^3s_2^4s_3s_4^5s_5$$
$$-28032s_1s_3^2s_4^8+2512s_1s_2^6s_3^3s_4^3s_5+1736250s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_4s_5^4-486s_2s_3^7s_4^4-2250000s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4^2s_5^4$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+2916s_2s_3^8s_4^2s_5 - 288s_2^8s_3^2s_4^3s_5 - 888s_2^5s_3^4s_4^3s_5 + 9720s_1^4s_3^6s_4^3s_5 - 28512s_2^2s_3^6s_4^3s_5 \\
&+2530000s_1^2s_2s_4^5s_5^3 - 177000s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_4^3s_5^2 - 250950s_2^5s_3^3s_5^4 - 3562500s_1^3s_2^2s_3s_4s_5^5 \\
&+162000s_2^2s_3^4s_4^2s_5^3 - 8343750s_2^3s_3s_5^6 - 1304320s_1s_2s_3s_4^7s_5 + 3523200s_2s_3s_4^6s_5^2 + 1050000s_1^2s_2^3s_4^4s_5^3 \\
&+152s_2^7s_3^4s_4^2s_5 + 108s_2^4s_3^6s_4^2s_5 - 2916s_1^2s_3^8s_4^2s_5 + 288300s_1s_2^6s_3^2s_5^4 - 500000s_1^2s_2^4s_3^3s_5^4 \\
&+7593750s_1s_3^3s_4s_5^5 + 937500s_1^4s_2s_4^2s_5^5 + 160000s_1^4s_2^3s_3^3s_5^4 - 12728s_2^6s_3^3s_4^2s_5^2 - 2475000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3s_4s_5^4 \\
&+1984500s_1s_3^5s_4^2s_5^3 + 45050s_1^5s_3^3s_4^5s_5 + 58590s_1^2s_3^4s_4^5s_5 - 284472s_2s_3^4s_4^5s_5 - 50016s_2^4s_3^2s_4^5s_5 \\
&+888s_1s_2^3s_3^4s_4^5 - 5832s_1^2s_2s_3^5s_4^5 + 972s_1s_3^7s_4^3s_5 + 1728s_2^6s_3^2s_4^4s_5 + 81720s_2^3s_3^4s_4^4s_5 - 58050s_1^3s_3^5s_4^4s_5 \\
&-1408s_1^2s_2^6s_4^5s_5 + 112500s_1^3s_3^4s_4s_5^4 - 19600s_1^3s_2^3s_3^5s_5^3 + 1408s_1^2s_2^4s_3^3s_4^5 - 58s_1^3s_2^2s_3^4s_4^5 - 432000s_1s_4^7s_5^2 \\
&+975000s_1^3s_2^2s_4^3s_5^4 - 92500s_1^5s_3^4s_4^3s_5^2 - 100000s_1^5s_2s_3^4s_5^4 - 1500000s_1^2s_2^2s_4^2s_5^5 - 4920s_1^4s_2^3s_4^6s_5 \\
&+12192s_1^2s_2^4s_4^6s_5 - 288300s_1^4s_3^2s_4^6s_5 + 494112s_2^2s_3^2s_4^6s_5 - 894s_1^2s_2^3s_3^5s_4^4 + 864s_1^3s_2s_3^6s_4^4 \\
&-108s_1s_2^2s_3^6s_4^4 - 576s_1s_2^6s_3^2s_4^5 + 10700000s_1s_2^3s_3s_4s_5^5 + 8060s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_4^7 + 1344s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4^7 \\
&-46032s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4^7 + 16800s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4^7 + 47232s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^8 - 18s_2^6s_3^6s_4s_5 + 486s_1^3s_3^9s_4s_5 \\
&+54000s_1^2s_3^5s_4^3s_5^2 + 871560s_2s_3^5s_4^3s_5^2 - 720s_1s_2s_3^4s_4^6 - 68070s_1^4s_2^2s_3^2s_4^5s_5 + 125000s_1^4s_2^3s_4s_5^5 \\
&+46032s_2^7s_3^2s_4s_5^3 + 98000s_1^2s_2^5s_4^3s_5^3 - 3996s_1^3s_2s_3^7s_4^2s_5 + 13200s_1^3s_2^2s_3s_4^6s_5 - 13320000s_2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^3 \\
&-1728s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_4^6 + 5920s_1^4s_2s_3^3s_4^6 + 12728s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3s_4^6 - 1406250s_1s_2s_3^2s_5^6 + 6875000s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_5^6 \\
&+11250000s_2s_3s_4s_5^6 + 1215000s_3^4s_4^3s_5^3 - 14250s_1^5s_2^2s_4^5s_5^2 + 217536s_2^5s_3s_4^4s_5^2 + 500000s_1^4s_3^3s_4^4s_5^2 \\
&+975000s_1s_2^5s_3s_5^5 - 7187500s_1^3s_3s_4^2s_5^5 + 8662500s_1^2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^3 - 3950000s_1s_2^3s_4^3s_5^4 + 22560s_1s_2^4s_4^5s_5^2 \\
&-32500s_1^6s_3s_4^5s_5^2 - 98000s_1^3s_2^3s_4^5s_5^2 - 67500s_1^2s_2s_3^5s_5^4 - 9250s_1^3s_2^6s_4s_5^4 - 168750s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5^5 \\
&-74088s_2^3s_3^5s_4^2s_5^2 + 51300s_1^3s_3^6s_4^2s_5^2 - 750000s_1^5s_3^2s_4^2s_5^4 - 36s_1s_2^4s_3^6s_4^3 + 108s_1^2s_2^2s_3^7s_4^3 \\
&+296s_1s_2^5s_3^4s_4^4 + 750000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^2s_5^5 - 1440s_1s_2^8s_4^3s_5^2 - 1344s_2^7s_3s_4^3s_5^2 + 102500s_2^4s_3s_4^2s_5^4 \\
&-1762500s_1^2s_2^3s_3^2s_5^5 - 750000s_1^4s_3s_4^3s_5^4 + 444000s_1s_2^5s_4^2s_5^4 - 139320s_2^4s_3^3s_4^3s_5^2 + 1824s_1^2s_2^5s_3s_4^6 \\
&-6944s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_4^6 - 151680s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_4^6s_5 - 321000s_2^7s_5^5 - 60480s_2^3s_3^6s_5^3 - 106720s_2^6s_4^3s_5^3 \\
&-580500s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_4^4s_5^2 - 230400s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4^5s_5^2 + 4170000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3 + 777000s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^5s_5^2 \\
&+24300s_1s_2s_3^7s_5^3 - 18562500s_1s_2s_3s_4^2s_5^5 + 23544s_1s_2s_3^5s_4^4s_5 + 24300s_1^4s_2s_3^5s_4^2s_5^2 \\
&+5490000s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^5s_5^2 + 214500s_1^3s_2^4s_3^3s_4s_5^3 + 14032s_1s_2^8s_3s_4s_5^3 - 77940s_1^2s_2^6s_3^2s_4s_5^3 \\
&+53750s_1^3s_2^4s_4^2s_5^4 - 13500000s_1^2s_3^3s_4^2s_5^4 - 7380000s_1s_2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^3 + 2176s_1s_2^7s_3s_4^4s_5 \\
&+83400s_1^3s_2^5s_3s_4^2s_5^3 + 135000s_1^4s_2^4s_3s_4s_5^4 - 267200s_1s_2^5s_3^3s_4s_5^3 + 326400s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4^4s_5^2
\end{aligned}
$$

$$+110700s_1s_2^2s_3^5s_4s_5^3-6660000s_1^3s_2s_3s_4^4s_5^3-384s_1s_2^5s_4^7+101750s_1^4s_2^3s_3s_4^4s_5^2-153750s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$+58050s_1s_2^4s_3^5s_5^3+399600s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_4^3s_5^2-471000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^2+250000s_1^5s_2s_3^3s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-1254000s_1s_2^3s_3^3s_4^2s_5^3+471000s_1^2s_2^4s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3-315000s_1^4s_2^3s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3+67500s_1^2s_2s_3^4s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+87500s_1^5s_2^2s_3s_4^3s_5^3-1398000s_1s_2^4s_3s_4^3s_5^3+393750s_1^5s_3s_4^4s_5^3-1215000s_3^5s_4s_5^4-3600s_1^5s_2s_4^8$$
$$+32812500s_1^2s_3s_4s_5^6-3125000s_1^3s_2s_4s_5^6-1718750s_1s_2^2s_4s_5^6+17212500s_2s_3^3s_4^2s_5^4-12192s_1s_2^6s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$-173750s_1^5s_4^6s_5^2-440000s_1s_2^2s_3s_4^4s_5^3+4912500s_2^2s_3s_4^3s_5^4-777000s_1^2s_2^5s_3s_4s_5^4-13200s_1s_2^6s_3s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+311850s_2^4s_3^4s_4s_5^3-212500s_1^4s_2^2s_3^4s_4s_5^3+177000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^4s_4s_5^3-150000s_1^5s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5^4-393750s_1^3s_2^4s_3s_5^5$$
$$+25380s_1^3s_2^2s_3^6s_4s_5^2+17125000s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^3s_5^4+50000s_1^6s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3+5962500s_3s_4^4s_5^4-18750s_1^4s_2^5s_5^5$$
$$+42812500s_1^2s_4^3s_5^5+5344s_1^3s_2^2s_4^8+648s_1^2s_3^7s_4^4+31104s_2s_3^3s_4^7-1584s_1^4s_3^5s_4^5+267200s_1^3s_2s_3^3s_4^5s_5$$
$$-51300s_1^2s_2^2s_3^6s_5^3+202500s_1s_2^3s_3^4s_5^4-135600s_1^3s_2^4s_3^2s_4^3s_5^2-10125000s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^5+105468750s_4s_5^7$$
$$+16875000s_3^3s_5^6+2343750s_2^2s_5^7+5270s_1^2s_2^5s_3^4s_5^3+9216s_3s_4^9-3996s_3^5s_4^6+27432s_1^2s_2s_3^6s_4^3s_5+64s_2^8s_3s_4^5$$
$$-1417500s_1s_3^4s_4^4s_5^2-137088s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4^5s_5+106496s_1s_2s_4^9+60000s_1^5s_3^5s_4s_5^3-117120s_2^5s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+64896s_1s_2^3s_3s_4^6s_5+4212s_1^2s_2^3s_3^6s_4^2s_5-10704s_1^2s_2^3s_3^2s_4^5s_5-16224s_1^2s_2^5s_3^2s_4^4s_5+18000s_1^4s_2s_3^6s_5^3$$
$$-40500s_1^2s_3^6s_4s_5^3-3870000s_2^3s_3^3s_4s_5^4-2250000s_1^3s_2s_3^3s_5^5+180000s_1^3s_2s_4^6s_5^2-1404s_1s_2^5s_3^5s_4^2s_5$$
$$+322500s_1^3s_2^2s_3^4s_5^4-12960s_1s_2^7s_3^2s_4^2s_5^2+3025000s_2^3s_4^2s_5^5+318750s_2^4s_3^2s_5^5+7575000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^4$$
$$+283200s_2^6s_3s_4s_5^4-3228s_1^3s_2^2s_3^5s_4^3s_5+14650s_1^2s_2^7s_3s_5^4-27432s_1s_2^3s_3^6s_4s_5^2+131250s_1^2s_2^4s_4s_5^5$$
$$+810000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_4s_5^4-3733000s_1^2s_3s_4^6s_5^2+412000s_2^4s_4^4s_5^3+13632s_2^4s_3s_4^7+10704s_1s_2^5s_3^2s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$-1545000s_2^5s_4s_5^5+54200s_1s_2^7s_4s_5^4-82560s_1^3s_4^9+9000s_1^6s_4^7s_5-9937500s_1^3s_4^4s_5^4-8662500s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^4$$
$$+17625000s_2s_4^3s_5^5+384s_2^7s_4^5s_5+48s_2^9s_3^2s_5^3-128s_1s_2^7s_4^6+6s_2^7s_3^5s_5^2-322500s_1^4s_3^4s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-810000s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3+62370s_1s_2^4s_3^4s_4^2s_5^2+109350s_3^8s_5^3-720000s_4^6s_5^3-37150s_1^4s_2s_3^4s_4^4s_5$$
$$+249000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^2-1570240s_2^3s_3s_4^5s_5^2-131220s_1s_2s_3^6s_4^2s_5^2-13572s_1^2s_2^4s_3^5s_4s_5^2$$
$$-486s_1^2s_2^2s_3^8s_4s_5-360s_1s_2^9s_5^4+190976s_2^3s_4^7s_5.$$

- The coefficient independent of s_6 is:

$$b_0 = 5700s_2^7s_3^2s_5^5+165200s_2^2s_3^2s_4^5s_5^3+102600s_1s_2s_3^6s_4s_5^4-390000s_3^2s_4^6s_5^3+13572s_1^2s_2s_3^5s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$+287500s_1s_2^3s_4^2s_5^6-864s_2^4s_3^6s_4s_5^3+1162500s_2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^5+314s_1s_2^5s_3^5s_4s_5^3+894s_2^4s_3^5s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$-1743750s_1s_3s_4^4s_5^5-52160s_2^2s_3s_4^7s_5^2-637500s_1^2s_2s_4^4s_5^5+11880s_2^2s_3^6s_4^2s_5^3+625s_1^4s_2^4s_4^2s_5^5$$
$$+2015s_1^2s_2^4s_3^5s_5^4-84830s_1^2s_3^3s_4^6s_5^2-17000s_1s_2^4s_4^4s_5^4-16s_2^8s_3s_4^4s_5^2-25600s_1^2s_2^2s_4^6s_5^3$$
$$-10825s_1^5s_3^2s_4^6s_5^2+92500s_1^2s_2^3s_3^4s_5^5-1800s_1^3s_2^2s_3^6s_5^4+18672s_1^2s_3^2s_4^8s_5+34s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_4^7$$
$$-1408s_2^5s_3^3s_4^4s_5^2-64s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_4^8+10000s_1^4s_2^2s_3^4s_5^5-704s_2^2s_3^3s_4^8+3045s_1^4s_2^2s_3s_4^6s_5^2$$
$$-55200s_2^4s_3^2s_4^4s_5^3+108s_2^3s_3^8s_5^3+486s_1s_2s_3^8s_4^2s_5^2-25700s_1s_2^6s_3s_4s_5^5+27000s_2s_3^5s_4^2s_5^4$$
$$-142500s_2^2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^4-1187500s_1s_2^3s_3s_5^7+3996s_1s_2^2s_3^7s_4s_5^3-144s_1^2s_2^4s_3^2s_4^6s_5-296s_2^4s_3^4s_4^5s_5$$
$$-48s_1^3s_2^4s_4^6s_5^2-249000s_1^2s_2^3s_3^3s_4^2s_5^4-1050s_1^4s_2^3s_4^5s_5^3-s_2^6s_3^5s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-8960s_1^2s_2^5s_3^3s_4s_5^4-18090s_2^2s_3^5s_4^4s_5^2+288s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4^8-1142500s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^4$$
$$-101750s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_4^3s_5^4+1440s_1^2s_2^3s_4^8s_5-21008s_1s_2s_3^3s_4^7s_5-196500s_1s_2^3s_3^2s_4^3s_5^4$$
$$-1216s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4^9-10000s_1^5s_3^4s_4^2s_5^4+81s_1^2s_2^2s_3^8s_5^3+11718750s_1s_4s_5^8-81s_1^3s_3^8s_4^2s_5^2$$
$$-27s_3^7s_4^6+100000s_1^4s_3^4s_4s_5^5+10750s_1^3s_2^4s_3^2s_4^2s_5^4+58s_2^5s_3^4s_4^2s_5^3-2610s_1s_2^8s_3s_5^5$$
$$-45050s_1s_2^5s_3^3s_5^5-16497s_1s_3^6s_4^4s_5^2-528s_1s_2^7s_3s_4^3s_5^3+48s_1^2s_2^6s_4^4s_5^3+9840s_1^2s_2^4s_4^5s_5^3$$
$$+89520s_1s_3^2s_4^7s_5^2-108s_2^3s_3^7s_4^2s_5^2-1734375s_2^2s_3s_4^2s_5^6+928125s_3^5s_5^6+175000s_2^5s_5^7+729s_3^{10}s_5^3$$
$$-75000s_4^5s_5^5-9000s_2s_3^4s_4^4s_5^3+7128s_2s_3^7s_4^3s_5^2+1584s_2^5s_3^5s_5^4+12960s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_4^7s_5$$
$$+6944s_2^6s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3+840s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^7s_5^2+74s_1^2s_2^3s_3^4s_4^5s_5-9000s_1s_2^7s_5^6+27540s_1s_3^7s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+15625s_1^2s_4^2s_5^7-1068750s_1^2s_2^2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^5-234375s_1^4s_4^4s_5^5+1195s_1^2s_2^4s_3^4s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$-8s_1^2s_2^3s_3^3s_4^7+556s_1^3s_2^2s_3^3s_4^6s_5+37150s_1s_2^4s_3^4s_4s_5^4+88500s_1^4s_3^2s_4^5s_5^3$$
$$-4s_1^3s_3^6s_4^6-59s_1^4s_3^3s_4^8-60000s_1^3s_2s_3^5s_5^5+8064s_2s_3^2s_4^8s_5+1800s_1^4s_3^6s_4^2s_5^3$$
$$+360s_1^4s_4^9s_5+175000s_1^3s_3^3s_4^2s_5^5+623s_1^4s_3^4s_4^6s_5-51384s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3s_4^5s_5^2-324s_1^2s_3^7s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$+16224s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_4^5s_5^2-1125000s_2s_3^3s_4s_5^6-87500s_1^3s_2^3s_3s_4^2s_5^5+19600s_1^3s_3^5s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$-135000s_1s_3^5s_4s_5^5+256s_3^3s_4^9+6264s_3^5s_4^5s_5^2+1984s_1^2s_2^5s_3^2s_4^3s_5^3-750000s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4s_5^6$$
$$+68070s_1s_2^5s_3^2s_4^2s_5^4+8960s_1^4s_2s_3^3s_4^5s_5^2-141660s_1s_2s_3^5s_4^3s_5^3-74s_1s_2^5s_3^4s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$+2728s_1s_2^7s_3^2s_4s_5^4+9s_1s_2^4s_3^6s_4^2s_5^2-2176s_1s_2^4s_3s_4^7s_5-112500s_1^2s_2s_3^4s_4s_5^5$$
$$+11136s_1s_2^2s_3s_4^8s_5-2728s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_4^7s_5-1984s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_4^5s_5^2+144s_1s_2^6s_3^2s_4^4s_5^2$$

$$-214500s_1^3s_2s_3^3s_4^4s_5^3+4400s_1s_2^2s_3^3s_4^4s_5^3+96750s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_4^2s_5^4+226800s_1s_2s_3s_4^6s_5^3$$
$$+1687500s_1s_2s_3^3s_4^2s_5^5-362500s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4s_5^6+135600s_1^2s_2^3s_3^2s_4^4s_5^3+5320s_1s_2^3s_3^4s_4^4s_5^2$$
$$-24300s_1^2s_2^2s_3^5s_4s_5^4+675000s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4s_5^6-14032s_1^3s_2s_3s_4^8s_5-3045s_1^2s_2^6s_3s_4^2s_5^4$$
$$-2512s_1s_2^3s_3^3s_4^6s_5-3230s_1^2s_2s_3^4s_4^6s_5-25380s_1^2s_2s_3^6s_4^2s_5^3-5400s_3^6s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$-8060s_2^7s_3s_4^2s_5^4+36s_2^3s_3^6s_4^4s_5-314s_1^3s_2s_3^5s_4^5s_5-250000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^3s_4s_5^5$$
$$+191625s_1^2s_3^4s_4^4s_5^3-1536s_1^2s_3s_4^{10}+6384s_2^2s_3^4s_4^6s_5+2218750s_1s_2s_4^3s_5^6+54300s_2^5s_3s_4^3s_5^4$$
$$-556s_1s_2^6s_3^3s_4^2s_5^3-135000s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^4s_5^4-83400s_1^3s_2^2s_3s_4^5s_5^3+82692s_1s_2s_3^4s_4^5s_5^2$$
$$-32s_1^2s_2^5s_4^7s_5+225000s_2^3s_3^3s_5^6+12500s_1^4s_2^2s_4^3s_5^5+2048s_1s_4^{11}+14250s_1^2s_2^5s_4^2s_5^5$$
$$+576s_1^3s_3^3s_4^7s_5+468750s_3s_4^3s_5^6-1012500s_1s_2s_3^4s_5^6+1404s_1s_2^2s_3^5s_4^5s_5-623s_1s_2^6s_3^4s_5^4$$
$$-264s_1^4s_2^2s_4^8s_5+312500s_1^2s_2^2s_4s_5^7-1024s_1s_2^2s_4^{10}+56875s_2^4s_3^3s_4^2s_5^4+8750s_1^5s_2s_3s_4^5s_5^3$$
$$+850000s_1s_2^2s_3s_4^3s_5^5+16s_1^2s_2^4s_3s_4^8+16000s_2s_4^7s_5^3-249750s_1^2s_3^5s_4^2s_5^4+544s_2^3s_3^3s_4^5s_5^2$$
$$-10000s_1^5s_2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^4+1920s_1s_2s_3^2s_4^9+468750s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5^7-2322s_2s_3^6s_4^5s_5-5859375s_1^2s_4^2s_5^7$$
$$-1050s_1^5s_2s_4^7s_5^2-3552s_2^3s_3^2s_4^7s_5-2176s_1^2s_2s_4^9s_5+18s_1s_2s_3^6s_4^6-18000s_1^3s_3^6s_4s_5^4$$
$$+54s_1^2s_3^6s_4^5s_5+10800s_1^2s_2s_3^7s_5^4-137500s_2^3s_3^2s_4^2s_5^5-5270s_1^3s_3^4s_4^5s_5^2+4920s_1s_2^6s_3^4s_5^4$$
$$+247500s_1s_3^4s_4^3s_5^4-1195s_1^3s_2^2s_3^4s_4^4s_5^2+528s_1^3s_2^3s_3s_4^7s_5+1040625s_3^3s_4^4s_5^4$$
$$+1562500s_1^3s_4^3s_5^6-117500s_1s_2^5s_4s_5^6-10750s_1^4s_2^2s_3^2s_4^4s_5^3-5920s_2^6s_3^3s_4s_5^4$$
$$-6144s_4^{10}s_5-93750s_1^3s_2^2s_4^2s_5^6+14100s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_4^5s_5^3+128s_2^6s_4^7s_5-17500s_1^3s_2^4s_3^3s_5^5$$
$$+176250s_1^2s_2^2s_3s_4^4s_5^4+216s_2^{10}s_5^5-4212s_1s_2^2s_3^6s_4^3s_5^2+1500000s_1^2s_3^3s_4s_5^6-1375000s_1^2s_2s_3s_4^2s_5^6$$
$$-486s_1s_2s_3^9s_5^3+32500s_1^3s_2^3s_4^4s_5^4-262500s_2s_3s_4^5s_5^4-146250s_2^5s_3^2s_4s_5^5+9250s_1^4s_2s_4^6s_5^3$$
$$+3234375s_1s_3^2s_4^2s_5^6+32500s_1^2s_2^5s_3s_5^6+212500s_1^3s_2s_3^4s_4^2s_5^4+732s_1^3s_2s_3^6s_4^3s_5^2-4s_2^3s_3^5s_4^6$$
$$+10825s_1^2s_2^6s_3^2s_5^5-18225s_1s_3^8s_5^4+8880s_1^3s_2^2s_4^7s_5^2+153750s_1^2s_2^4s_3^2s_4s_5^5-408s_1s_3^4s_4^8$$
$$+32s_2^9s_4^3s_5^3-1024s_2s_3s_4^{10}-250000s_1^3s_3^4s_5^6+135000s_1^2s_3^6s_5^5-2343750s_2s_4^2s_5^7-25000s_2^4s_4^3s_5^5$$
$$-2232s_3^4s_4^7s_5-26392s_1^2s_2^3s_3s_4^6s_5^2+1408s_1s_2^5s_4^6s_5^2-625s_1^6s_4^6s_5^3-48s_1^3s_3^2s_4^9$$
$$+312s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_4^8-1518750s_3^4s_4^2s_5^5+1050s_1^3s_2^5s_4^3s_5^4+625s_1^3s_2^6s_5^6-64s_2^5s_3s_4^8+59s_2^8s_3^3s_5^4$$
$$+156250s_2^3s_4s_5^7+32s_2^4s_3^3s_4^7-6s_1^2s_3^5s_4^7+252s_2s_3^5s_4^7+21200s_1^3s_4^8s_5^2+1875000s_2^2s_3^2s_5^7$$
$$-2812500s_1s_3^3s_5^7+76800s_3s_4^8s_5^2-32s_1^3s_2^3s_4^9-134000s_1^2s_4^7s_5^3+4s_2^6s_3^6s_5^3-33552s_1s_2^2s_3^2s_4^6s_5^2$$
$$-152s_1s_2^2s_3^4s_4^7-22016s_1s_3s_4^9s_5+s_1^2s_2^2s_3^5s_4^6-732s_1^2s_2^3s_3^6s_4s_5^3+15408s_2^4s_3s_4^6s_5^2$$

$$+712500s_1^3s_2s_3s_4^3s_5^5+40000s_2^3s_3^4s_4^3s_5^3+1120s_2^7s_4^4s_5^3+1152s_1^3s_2s_4^{10}+56250s_1^3s_2^2s_4^4s_5^4$$
$$-2015s_1^4s_3^5s_4^4s_5^2-10880s_1s_2s_4^8s_5^2+64s_2^8s_3^2s_4^2s_5^3-478125s_1s_2^4s_3^2s_5^6+150000s_1^4s_2s_3^2s_4^2s_5^5$$
$$+37500s_1^3s_2s_4^5s_5^4+44640s_1s_2^3s_3s_4^5s_5^3+4302s_1s_3^5s_4^6s_5+77940s_1^3s_2s_3^2s_4^6s_5^2-625s_1^5s_2^2s_4^4s_5^4$$
$$+576s_2^5s_3^2s_4^6s_5-187800s_1s_3^3s_4^5s_5^3-14000s_2^6s_4^2s_5^5-1408s_2^4s_4^8s_5-48880s_1s_2^4s_3^3s_4^3s_5^3$$
$$+128s_1s_2^4s_4^9-252s_2^9s_3s_4s_5^4+264s_1s_2^8s_4^2s_5^4-390625s_1s_2^2s_5^8+7500s_1^3s_2^3s_3^4s_4s_5^4+8s_2^7s_3^3s_4^3s_5^2$$
$$-111500s_1^3s_3s_4^6s_5^3-175500s_1s_2^2s_3^5s_5^5+245000s_1s_4^6s_5^4-7290s_2s_3^8s_4s_5^3+2610s_1^5s_3s_4^8s_5$$
$$+303750s_1s_2^4s_3s_4^2s_5^5-162s_1s_2s_3^7s_4^4s_5-6250s_1^3s_2^4s_4s_5^6+17500s_1^5s_3^3s_4^4s_5^3-50000s_2^4s_3s_4s_5^6$$
$$-50000s_1^3s_2^3s_3^2s_5^6-15720s_2s_3^3s_4^6s_5^2-160000s_1^4s_3^3s_4^3s_5^4-2656s_1s_2^3s_4^7s_5^2+32s_1s_2^7s_4^5s_5^2$$
$$-1824s_2^6s_3s_4^5s_5^2+36s_1^3s_3^7s_4^4s_5-14650s_1^4s_3s_4^7s_5^2+5120s_2^2s_4^9s_5+60000s_2^4s_3^4s_5^5+93750s_2^6s_3s_5^6$$
$$+12150s_2^2s_3^7s_5^4-7328s_2^5s_4^5s_5^3-7500s_1^4s_2s_3^4s_4^3s_5^3-1787500s_1^2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^5-200000s_1^2s_2^3s_4^3s_5^5$$
$$-9765625s_5^9+332500s_1s_2^3s_3^3s_4s_5^5+3228s_1s_2^3s_3^5s_4^2s_5^3-16736s_1s_2^5s_3s_4^4s_5^3-15000s_2^3s_3s_4^4s_5^4$$
$$-729s_3^9s_4^2s_5^2+140000s_1^2s_2s_3^3s_4^3s_5^4+1050s_1^2s_2^7s_4s_5^5-9720s_1s_2^3s_3^6s_5^4-34s_2^7s_3^4s_4s_5^3$$
$$+315000s_1^3s_2^2s_3^2s_4^3s_5^4-36s_1s_2^4s_3^7s_5^3+18750s_1^5s_4^5s_5^4+1250000s_1^2s_2s_3^2s_5^7+850000s_1^2s_2^2s_3^3s_5^6$$
$$-54000s_1s_2^2s_4^5s_5^4+83900s_1^2s_2^2s_3^4s_4^3s_5^3-8750s_1^3s_2^5s_3s_4s_5^5+3600s_2^8s_4s_5^5-9s_1^2s_2^2s_3^6s_4^4s_5$$
$$-216s_1^5s_4^{10}-73800s_2^3s_3^5s_4s_5^4+927500s_1^2s_3s_4^5s_5^4+10000s_1^4s_2^3s_3^2s_4s_5^5+5600s_2^3s_4^6s_5^3$$
$$+125000s_2^2s_4^4s_5^5+810s_1^2s_3^8s_4s_5^3+512s_2^3s_3s_4^9+252s_1^4s_2s_3s_4^9+243s_3^8s_4^4s_5.$$

5.2 Battaglini formulas; the Kummer surface

Let $Q_a = \mathrm{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ and $Q_b = \mathrm{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4)$.
We assume that these matrices Define:

$$Q = xQ_a + yQ_b = \mathrm{diag}(p, q, r, s),$$

$$p = xa_1 + yb_1,\ q = xa_2 + yb_2,\ r = xa_3 + yb_3,\ s = xa_4 + yb_4.$$

Then the Battaglini family of quadrics are:

$$Q = (p(X^1)^2 + q(X^2)^2 + r(X^3)^2 + s(X^4)^2)(p(Y^1)^2 + q(Y^2)^2 + r(Y^3)^2 + s(Y^4)^2)$$
$$-(pX^1Y^1 + qX^2Y^2 + rX^3Y^3 + sX^4Y^4)^2$$
$$= pq(X^{12})^2 + pr(X^{13})^2 + ps(X^{14})^2 + rs(X^{34})^2 + qs(X^{42})^2 + qr(X^{23})^2,$$

$$= pqP^2 + prQ^2 + psR^2 + rsX^2 + qsY^2 + qrZ^2,$$

$$X^{ij} = X^iY^j - Y^iX^j,$$

$$P = X^{12}, Q = X^{13}, R = X^{14}, X = X^{34}, Y = X^{42}, Z = X^{23}.$$

Here the X^{ij} obey the Klein quadric relation:

$$0 = X^{12}X^{34} + X^{13}X^{42} + X^{14}X^{23} = PX + QY + RZ.$$

This gives:

$$Q = x^2Q_{aa} + xyQ_{ab} + y^2Q_{bb},$$

$$Q_{aa} = a_1a_2P^2 + a_1a_3Q^2 + a_1a_4R^2 + a_3a_4X^2 + a_4a_2Y^2 + a_2a_3Z^2,$$

$$Q_{ab} = Q_{ba} = c_{12}P^2 + c_{13}Q^2 + c_{14}R^2 + c_{34}X^2 + c_{42}Y^2 + c_{34}Z^2,$$

$$Q_{bb} = b_1b_2P^2 + b_1b_3Q^2 + b_1b_4R^2 + b_3b_4X^2 + b_4b_2Y^2 + b_2b_3Z^2,$$

$$c_{ij} = a_ib_j + b_ia_j.$$

The endomorphism corresponding to Q_{ab} is:

$$Q'_{ab} = c_{12}Px + c_{13}Qy + c_{14}Rz + c_{34}Xp + c_{42}Yq + c_{23}Zr.$$

So its matrix is:

$$Q'_{ab} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{12} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{13} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{14} \\ c_{34} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{42} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{vmatrix}.$$

Its characteristic polynomial is:

$$f(s) = (s^2 - c_{12}c_{34})(s^2 - c_{13}c_{42})(s^2 - c_{14}c_{23}).$$

This has at least a pair of repeated roots, if and only if $a_ib_j = \pm b_ia_j$, for some $i \neq j$.

We now calculate the Kummer surface in twistor space, determined by the Battaglini quadric. Given a non-zero twistor $Z = (Z^1, Z^2, Z^3, Z^4)$, the corresponding quadric cone in twistor space, with vertex Z has the equation:

$$0 = c_{12}(Z^1Y^2 - Z^2Y^1)^2 + c_{13}(Z^1Y^3 - Z^3Y^1)^2 + c_{14}(Z^1Y^4 - Z^4Y^1)^2$$

$$+c_{34}(Z^3Y^4-Z^4Y^3)^2+c_{42}(Z^4Y^2-Z^2Y^4)^2+c_{34}(Z^3Y^4-Z^4Y^3)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{4}\sum_{j=1}^{4} h_{ij}Y^iY^j,$$

$$h_{11} = c_{12}u + c_{13}v + c_{14}w,$$

$$h_{22} = c_{12}t + c_{23}v + c_{42}w,$$

$$h_{33} = c_{13}t + c_{23}u + c_{34}w,$$

$$h_{44} = c_{14}t + c_{42}u + c_{34}v.$$

$$h_{ij} = -c_{ij}Z^iZ^j, \quad \text{when } i \neq j.$$

Here we have put $t = (Z^1)^2$, $u = (Z^2)^2$, $v = (Z^3)^2$ and $w = (Z^4)^2$. Geometrically this cone describes the intersection of the α-plane of Z, a projective plane inside the Klein quadric, with the Battaglini quadric. The symmetric matrix h is necessarily degenerate and has adjoint of rank at most one. Explicitly this adjoint is:

$$H^{ij} = K(t, u, v, w)Z^iZ^j,$$

$$2K(t,u,v,w) = [t,u,v,w] \begin{vmatrix} 2c_{14}c_{12}c_{13} & c_{12}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{14}c_{23}) & c_{13}(c_{12}c_{34}+c_{14}c_{23}) & c_{14}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{12}c_{34}) \\ c_{12}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{14}c_{23}) & 2c_{12}c_{42}c_{23} & c_{23}(c_{12}c_{34}+c_{13}c_{42}) & c_{42}(c_{23}c_{14}+c_{34}c_{12}) \\ c_{13}(c_{12}c_{34}+c_{14}c_{23}) & c_{23}(c_{12}c_{34}+c_{13}c_{42}) & 2c_{13}c_{23}c_{34} & c_{34}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{23}c_{14}) \\ c_{14}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{12}c_{34}) & c_{42}(c_{23}c_{14}+c_{34}c_{12}) & c_{34}(c_{42}c_{13}+c_{23}c_{14}) & 2c_{14}c_{42}c_{34} \end{vmatrix} \begin{vmatrix} t \\ u \\ v \\ w \end{vmatrix}.$$

This is a non-degenerate quadric in the (t, u, v, w) projective space, provided that $a_ib_j - b_ia_j$ is non-zero, when $i \neq j$. Then the quadric cone of Z degenerates to a pair of planes if and only if $K(t, u, v, w) = 0$. We would like to know when this pair of planes is a repeated pair. This entails that the matrix h_{ij} be of rank one. In particular we need the relation:

$$0 = h_{12}h_{34} - h_{14}h_{32} = (c_{12}c_{34} - c_{14}c_{32})Z^1Z^2Z^3Z^4.$$

So unless all of the quantities $c_{ij}c_{kl} - c_{il}c_{kj}$ vanish for all permutations $(ijkl)$ of the numbers (1234), we must have at least one Z zero.

Henceforth, we assume that the parameters c_{ij} are generic. When Z^1 vanishes, the only possibly non-zero entry of the first row and column of the matrix h is the first one. If that entry does not vanish, then it is easy to see that Z must vanish identically, contrary to our hypothesis that Z be non-zero. So when $Z^1 = 0$, we need the condition $h_{11} = 0$. Then the matrix h has rank one or less provided the adjoint of its $(1,1)$-minor vanishes. Computing this adjoint, we find it is a matrix of rank one with entries $k_1(t,u,v,w)Z^iZ^j$, for $2 \leq i,j \leq 4$, where we have:

$$k_1 = c_{23}c_{42}u + c_{23}c_{34}v + c_{42}c_{34}w.$$

Also $K(t,u,v,w) = k_1 h_{11}$ when t vanishes.

- So the cone is a repeated pair of planes when:

  $$0 = t = c_{12}u + c_{13}v + c_{14}w = c_{23}c_{42}u + c_{23}c_{34}v + c_{42}c_{34}w.$$

  This gives the projective point:

  $$(t,u,v,w) = (0, c_{34}(c_{23}c_{14} - c_{42}c_{13}), c_{42}(c_{34}c_{12} - c_{23}c_{14}), c_{23}(c_{13}c_{42} - c_{12}c_{34})).$$

- Similarly, the cone is a repeated pair of planes when:

  $$0 = u = c_{12}t + c_{23}v + c_{42}w = c_{14}c_{13}t + c_{13}c_{34}v + c_{14}c_{34}w.$$

  This gives the projective point:

  $$(t,u,v,w) = (c_{34}(c_{23}c_{14} - c_{42}c_{13}), 0, c_{14}(c_{42}c_{13} - c_{12}c_{34}), c_{13}(c_{12}c_{34} - c_{23}c_{13})).$$

- Similarly, the cone is a repeated pair of planes when:

  $$0 = v = c_{13}t + c_{23}u + c_{34}w = c_{14}c_{12}t + c_{12}c_{42}u + c_{14}c_{42}w.$$

  This gives the projective point:

  $$(t,u,v,w) = (c_{42}(c_{34}c_{12} - c_{23}c_{14}), c_{14}(c_{42}c_{13} - c_{12}c_{34}), 0, c_{12}(c_{14}c_{23} - c_{42}c_{13})).$$

- Similarly, the cone is a repeated pair of planes when:

  $$0 = w = c_{14}t + c_{42}u + c_{34}v = c_{12}c_{13}t + c_{12}c_{23}u + c_{13}c_{23}v.$$

  This gives the projective point:

  $$(t,u,v,w) = (c_{23}(c_{13}c_{42} - c_{12}c_{34}), c_{13}(c_{12}c_{34} - c_{14}c_{23}), c_{12}(c_{14}c_{23} - c_{42}c_{13}), 0).$$

These give after taking square roots, sixteen singular points for the twistor surface. These sixteen points lie in quartets on the singular cones of the pencil of quadrics given by Q_a and Q_b. In the present language the quadrics Q_a and Q_b have the respective equations:

$$a_1t + a_2u + a_3v + a_4w = 0,$$

$$b_1t + b_2u + b_3v + b_4w = 0.$$

Then for example one of these cones is:

$$0 = (a_1b_2 - a_2b_1)u + (a_1b_3 - a_3b_1)v + (a_1b_4 - a_4b_1)w = 0.$$

This has vertex $(1, 0, 0, 0)$ and polar plane with respect to the pencil $t = 0$. Then the first projective point given above, $(0, c_{34}(c_{23}c_{14} - c_{42}c_{13}), c_{42}(c_{34}c_{12} - c_{23}c_{14}), c_{23}(c_{13}c_{42} - c_{12}c_{34}))$ lies on the intersection of this cone with the plane $t = 0$, as is easily checked.

Summarizing: the condition that the cone defined by the intersection of a twistor α-plane with the Battaglini quadric should degenerate to a product of planes is the condition $K((Z^1), (Z^2)^2, (Z^3)^2, (Z^4)^2) = 0$; this is a quartic surface in the projective three-space, a Kummer surface. It then has sixteen singular points, where the two planes of the product coincide.

5.3 The cross-ratio

Let an ordered quartuple $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ of points be given in a complex vector space $\mathbb{X}$ of dimension two. Then their cross-ratio $C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is the triple:

$$(x, y, z) = C(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = ((\alpha \wedge \beta)(\gamma \wedge \delta), (\alpha \wedge \gamma)(\delta \wedge \beta), (\alpha \wedge \delta)(\beta \wedge \gamma)).$$

This is a point in the vector space $\mathbb{L}^2 \oplus \mathbb{L}^2 \oplus \mathbb{L}^2$, where $\mathbb{L}$ is the one-dimensional complex vector space $\mathbb{L} = \Omega^2(\mathbb{X})$. The points (x, y, z) of the image of the cross-ratio lie in the plane $x + y + z = 0$. Note that at least one pair of the four points is linearly dependent if and only if the product xyz vanishes. Under the permutation $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \to (\beta, \alpha, \gamma, \delta)$, we get $(x, y, z) \to (-x, -z, -y)$. Under the permutation $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \to (\gamma, \beta, \alpha, \delta)$, we get $(x, y, z) \to (-z, -y, -x)$. Under the permutation $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) \to (\delta, \beta, \gamma, \alpha)$, we get $(x, y, z) \to (-y, -x, -z)$. So even permutations permute the triple, whereas odd permutations permute and simultaneously change all the signs

of the triple.

Define:

$$(J, K) = \left(2^{-1}(x^2 + y^2 + z^2), 3^{-1}(x^3 + y^3 + z^3)\right) \in \mathbb{L}^4 \oplus \mathbb{L}^6.$$

Then, since $x + y + z = 0$, we have the relations:

$$J + xy + yz + zx = K + xyz = 0.$$

So x, y and z are the roots of the cubic:

$$s^3 - Js - K = 0.$$

Here $s \in \mathbb{L}^2$. The lambda invariant of the points $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ is then the ratio of the pair $(J^3, K^2) \in \mathbb{L}^{12} \oplus \mathbb{L}^{12}$. Notice that this ratio is a pure (extended) complex number and is well-defined provided J and K do not both vanish. The exceptional case when λ is undefined, when J and K both vanish is the case that x, y and z are all zero, so is the case that at least one of the four points α, β, γ or δ vanishes, or at least three of the four points are pairwise linearly dependent. The cubic has a repeated pair of roots, but not all three roots coincident, if and only if λ is well-defined and takes the value $\lambda = \dfrac{27}{4}$. In this case the four points are said to be harmonic. There is another special value of λ, $\lambda = 0$ (i.e $J = 0$), corresponding to x, y and z being not all zero and proportional to the cube roots of unity. In this case the four points are said to be equianharmonic.

If now the two points α and β of $\mathbb{X}$ are linearly independent, we may write $\gamma = p\alpha + q\beta$ and $\delta = r\alpha + s\beta$, for complex numbers (p, q, r, s). Then we have:

$$(x,\ y,\ z) = (\alpha\wedge\beta)^2(ps-qr,\ qr,\ -ps) = (-t-u,\ u,\ t), \quad t = -ps(\alpha\wedge\beta)^2, \quad u = qr(\alpha\wedge\beta)^2.$$

This gives the formulas:

$$J = t^2 + u^2 + tu, \quad K = tu(t + u).$$

The equianharmonic case is the case that $t = \omega u$, where $\omega^2 + \omega + 1 = 0$. The harmonic case is the case that $t = u$, or $t = -2u$, or $u = -2t$.

When the four points $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ are each non-zero elements of $\mathbb{X}$, they give rise to an homogeneous quartic $f(\pi)$ in a variable $\pi \in \mathbb{X}$ by the formula:

$$f(\pi) = (\pi \wedge \alpha)(\pi \wedge \beta)(\pi \wedge \gamma)(\pi \wedge \delta).$$

Here f takes values in $\mathbb{L}^4$ and has its roots proportional to the quartet of points. Note that the λ invariant is invariant under permutations of the points, and under scalings of the points, so depends only of the quartic f (up to scale), not on its roots.

The three-dimensional vector space $\mathbb{X} \odot \mathbb{X}$ has a natural (invertible) symmetric bilinear form $G(A, B)$, defined for any A and B in $\mathbb{X} \odot \mathbb{X}$ and taking values in $\mathbb{L}^2$, such that $G(\pi \otimes \pi, \rho \otimes \rho) = (\pi \wedge \rho)^2$ for any π and ρ in $\mathbb{X}$. Then there is a unique symmetric bilinear form F, taking values in $\mathbb{L}^4$, on the space $\mathbb{X} \odot \mathbb{X}$, such that F is trace-free with respect to the metric G and such that $F(\pi \otimes \pi, \pi \otimes \pi) = f(\pi)$, for any $\pi \in \mathbb{X}$. The characteristic polynomial of F is the cubic $s^3 - 2^{-1}sA - 3^{-1}B$, whose roots are $\frac{1}{6}(y - x)$, $\frac{1}{6}(x - z)$ and $\frac{1}{6}(z - y)$ (here s takes values in $\mathbb{L}^2$). Here we have:

$$A = \mathrm{tr}((FG^{-1})^2) \in \mathbb{L}^4, \quad B = \mathrm{tr}((FG^{-1})^3) \in \mathbb{L}^6.$$

Also we have the relations:

$$(6A)^2 = J^2, \quad (72B)^2 = 4J^3 - 27K^2.$$

The harmonic case is the case that $B = 0$.
The equianharmonic case is the case that $A = 0$.

References

[1] Albrecht A, Steinhardt P (1982) "Cosmology of Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking." *Phys Rev Lett* 48:1220–3.

[2] T.N. Bailey, M.G. Eastwood, and A.R. Gover, (1994) "Thomas's Structure Bundle for Conformal, Projective and Related Structures" *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* Volume 24, Number 4, 1191-1217.

[3] Battaglini, G (1868) "Intorno ai sistemi di retter di primo grade", *Giornale di Matematiche*, 6: 24–36.

[4] Battaglini, G (1868) "Intorno ai sistemi di retter di secondo grado", *Giornale di Matematiche*, 6: 239–259.

[5] Battaglini, G (1869) "Intorno ai sistemi di retter di secondo grado", *Giornale di Matematiche*, 7: 55–75.

[6] Berman, R. (2012) "K-polystability of Q-Fano varieties admitting Kahler-Einstein metrics", arXiv:1205.6214.

[7] The BICEP2 Collaboration, "BICEP2 2014 I: Detection of B-mode Polarization at Degree Angular Scales by BICEP2" *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 112, 241101, 2014 (25 pages).

[8] Blake, W (1803) *Auguries of Innocence*, the Pickering Manuscript.

[9] Bondi H (1960) *Cosmology.* (Cambridge University Press).

[10] Thomas Branson (2005) "Conformally invariant operators, differential forms, cohomology and a generalisation of Q-curvature", *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 30, 1611-1669.

[11] Thomas Branson and Bent Ørsted. "Explicit functional determinants in four dimensions". *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 113(3):669–682, 1991.

[12] Élie Cartan (1926), Espaces connexion affine, projective et conforme, *Acta Math.* 48: 142

[13] Sun-Yung A. Chang and Jie Qing. "Zeta functional determinants on manifolds with boundary." *Math. Res. Lett.*, 3(1):1–17, 1996.

[14] Sun-Yung A. Chang and Jie Qing. "The zeta functional determinants on manifolds with boundary. I. The formula." *J. Funct. Anal.*, 147(2):327–362, 1997.

[15] P. Yang, Dzh. King, and S.-Yu. A. Chang. "Renormalized volumes for conformally compact Einstein manifolds." *Sovrem. Mat. Fundam. Napravl.*, 17:129–142, 2006.

[16] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson, Song Sun, (2015) *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. I: Approximation of metrics with cone singularities*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28, 183-197.

[17] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson, Song Sun, (2015) *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. II: Limits with cone angle less than* 2π, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28, 199-234.

[18] Xiuxiong Chen, Simon Donaldson, Song Sun, (2015) *Kähler-Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. III: Limits as cone angle approaches* 2π *and completion of the main proof*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 28, 235-278.

[19] Cho A (2012) Higgs Boson makes its debut after decades-long search. *Science* 337:141–143.

[20] Chudnovsky E, Krive I, Linde A (1976) Spontaneous Breaking of Symmetry and the Evolution of the Universe. *Zh Eksp Teor Fiz* 71:825–839.

[21] Paul Dirac, "Quantised Singularities in the Electromagnetic Field". *Proc. Roy. Soc. (London)* A 133, 60 (1931).

[22] Igor V. Dolgachev. *Classical algebraic geometry.* Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012. A modern view.

[23] Michael Eastwood and Michael Singer, (1985) "A conformally invariant Maxwell gauge", Phys. Lett. A, 107, 73-74.

[24] Einstein A. (1905) "Zur Elektrodynamik bewegter Körper", *Annalen der Physik* 17: 891.

[25] Einstein A. (1907) "Über das Relativitätsprinzip und die aus demselben gezogene Folgerungen", *Jahrbuch der Radioaktivitt und Elektronik* 4: 411.

[26] Einstein A. (1915) "Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation", *Sitzungsberichte der Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin* 844847.

[27] Einstein, A., (1917) "Kosmologische Betrachtungen zur allgemeinen Relativiätstheorie", *Könich Preussische Akademie der Wissenschaften.*

[28] Charles Fefferman and C. Robin Graham. "Conformal invariants". *Astérisque*, (Numero Hors Serie):95–116, 1985. The mathematical heritage of Élie Cartan (Lyon, 1984).

[29] Charles Fefferman and C. Robin Graham. *The ambient metric*, volume 178 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012.

[30] Freese, Katherine; Joshua Frieman; Angela Olinto. "Natural Inflation with Pseudo-Nambu Goldstone Bosons". Physical Review Letters 65: 3233–3236.

[31] Friedrich H. (1983) "Cauchy Problems for the Conformal Vacuum Field Equations in General Relativity." *Comm Math Phys* 91:445–472.

[32] Galileo Galilei, "Dialogo sopra i due massimi sistemi del mondo" (Florence, February 22, 1632).

[33] Gauss K (1876) "Nachlass, Arithmetisch geometrisches Mittel". *Werke, Vol. 3. (Königlichen Gesell. Wiss., Göttignen)*, pp 361-403.

[34] Goldstone J (1961) "Field Theories with Superconductor Solutions." *Nuovo Cimento* 19:154–164.

[35] Goldstone J, Salam A, Weinberg S (1962) "Broken Symmetries". *Physical Review* 127(3):965–970.

[36] A. Rod Gover and Lawrence J. Peterson. "Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian, Q-curvature, and tractor calculus". *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 235(2):339–378, 2003.

[37] C. Robin Graham, Ralph Jenne, Lionel J. Mason, and George A. J. Sparling. "Conformally invariant powers of the Laplacian. I. Existence." *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 46(3):557–565, 1992.

[38] Alan H. Guth "Inflationary universe: A possible solution to the horizon and flatness problems", *Physical Review D* 23 (2): 347356.

[39] Stephen Hawking and George Ellis (1973) *The large scale structure of space-time.* (Cambridge University Press).

[40] Hilbert, D. (1915) "Die Grundlagen der Physik" *Konigl. Gesell. d. Wiss. Gttingen, Nachr. Math.-Phys. Kl.* 395-407

[41] J. Holland and G. Sparling. "The cosmology of a fundamental scalar". *General Relativity and Gravitation*, 46:1748, 2014.

[42] Edwin Hubble,(1929) *"A Relation between Distance and Radial Velocity among Extra-Galactic Nebulae"* , Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, Volume 15, Issue 3, pp. 168-173.

[43] Hughston L (1979) Twistors and particles. (Springer-Verlag).

[44] Jun-Ichi Igusa (1960) "Arithmetic variety of moduli for genus two". *Ann. Math*, 72:612649.

[45] V. A. Iskovskikh and Yu. G. Prokhorov, *Fano varieties*, Algebraic geometry, V, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 47, Springer, Berlin, 1999, pp. 1–247. MR1668579 (2000b:14051b)

[46] Jacobi, C.G.J. (1866) *Vorlesungen über Dynamik*, Königsberg.

[47] Kepler, J (1592) *Mysterium Cosmographicum*, (Túbingen, 1596)

[48] Felix Klein (1870) Zur Theorie der Linienkomplexe des ersten und zweiten Grades, *Math. Annalen, Bd. 2; Gesammelte Mathematische Abhandlungen, Abh. II.*

[49] LeBrun, C. "Einstein Metrics, Harmonic Forms, and Symplectic Four-Manifolds", arXiv:1408.1078.

[50] Minkowski H (1908) Raum und Zeit. *Physikalische Zeitschrift* 10: 75–88.

[51] J. Moser, *Various aspects of integrable Hamiltonian systems*, Dynamical systems (C.I.M.E. Summer School, Bressanone, 1978), Progr. Math., vol. 8, Birkhäuser, Boston, Mass., 1980, pp. 233–289. MR589592 (83a:58042a)

[52] Alan Nadel (1990) "Multiplier Ideal Sheaves and Kähler-Einstein Metrics of Positive Scalar Curvature", *Annals of Mathematics*, Second Series, 132, 549-596.

[53] Newman E (1976) Heaven and its properties. The riddle of gravitation. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 7(1):107–111.

[54] Sir Isaac Newton, PhilosophiæNaturalis Principia Mathematica (Samuel Pepys, July 1687)

[55] Stephen M. Paneitz. A quartic conformally covariant differential operator for arbitrary pseudo-Riemannian manifolds (summary). *SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.*, 4:Paper 036, 3, 2008.

[56] Penrose R (1967) Twistor algebra. *J Math Phys* 8:345–366.

[57] Penrose R (1976) The nonlinear graviton. *General Relativity and Gravitation* 7(2):171–176.

[58] Penrose R (2012) Cycles of time. (Vintage books).

[59] Penrose R, Rindler W (1987–1988) *Spinors and spacetime, Vol. 1–2.* (Cambridge University Press).

[60] Perlmutter S *et al* (1999), Measurements of Omega and Lambda from 42 high redshift supernovae. *Astrophysical Journal* 517(2):565–86.

[61] Riess G *et al* (1998) Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant. *Astronomical J* 116(3):1009–38.

[62] Jan Schouten (1924) *Der Ricci-Kalkül*, Berlin: Julius Springer.

[63] de Sitter W (1917) "On the curvature of space", *Proc Kon Ned Acad Wet* 20:229–243.

[64] Sparling G (1986) "Towards the geometrization of physics", *Nature* 321(6068):417–419.

[65] Steinhardt P (1981) The Weinberg-Salam Model and Early Cosmology. *Nucl Phys B* 179:492–508.

[66] Thomas, T.Y (1926) "On conformal geometry", *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 12: 352–359.

[67] Tod K (2003) "Isotropic cosmological singularities: other matter models". *Class Quant Grav* 20:521–534.

[68] Hermann Weil, (1918) "Gravitation und Elektriticitat", *Sitzungsberichte der Preussichen Akad. d. Wissenschaften.*

[69] Hermann Weyl,(1921) *Raum. Zeit. Materie: Vorlesungen über allgemeine Relativitätstheorie*, Springer.

[70] Hermann Weyl, (1929) "Elektron und Gravitation" *Zeit. für Physik*, 56, 330–352.